



Measurement of dijet k_T in p–Pb collisions at $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 5.02$ TeV

ALICE Collaboration ^{*}

ARTICLE INFO

Article history:

Received 11 March 2015

Received in revised form 5 May 2015

Accepted 16 May 2015

Available online 19 May 2015

Editor: L. Rolandi

ABSTRACT

A measurement of dijet correlations in p–Pb collisions at $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 5.02$ TeV with the ALICE detector is presented. Jets are reconstructed from charged particles measured in the central tracking detectors and neutral energy deposited in the electromagnetic calorimeter. The transverse momentum of the full jet (clustered from charged and neutral constituents) and charged jet (clustered from charged particles only) is corrected event-by-event for the contribution of the underlying event, while corrections for underlying event fluctuations and finite detector resolution are applied on an inclusive basis. A projection of the dijet transverse momentum, $k_{Ty} = p_{T,jet}^{ch+ne} \sin(\Delta\varphi_{dijet})$ with $\Delta\varphi_{dijet}$ the azimuthal angle between a full and charged jet and $p_{T,jet}^{ch+ne}$ the transverse momentum of the full jet, is used to study nuclear matter effects in p–Pb collisions. This observable is sensitive to the acoplanarity of dijet production and its potential modification in p–Pb collisions with respect to pp collisions. Measurements of the dijet k_{Ty} as a function of the transverse momentum of the full and recoil charged jet, and the event multiplicity are presented. No significant modification of k_{Ty} due to nuclear matter effects in p–Pb collisions with respect to the event multiplicity or a PYTHIA8 reference is observed.

© 2015 CERN for the benefit of the ALICE Collaboration. Published by Elsevier B.V. This is an open access article under the CC BY license (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>). Funded by SCOAP³.

1. Introduction

Dijets produced in $2 \rightarrow 2$ leading-order (LO) scattering processes are balanced in transverse momentum and back-to-back in azimuth. In proton–proton collisions a small acoplanarity appears due to intrinsic transverse momentum k_T from partonic Fermi motion [1] and initial state gluon radiation [2,3]. At large momentum transfer between the incoming partons, the phase space for hard gluon radiation in the parton shower or from next-to-leading-order (NLO) processes increases, resulting in acoplanarity of the dijet system [4–6]. This also results in an imbalance of the jet transverse momenta also referred to as a broadening of the dijet transverse momentum. The relative contribution of hard QCD radiation to the dijet k_T can be varied by applying kinematic and acceptance selections to the dijet sample.

In p–Pb collisions the dijet kinematics are potentially modified due to nuclear matter effects which are expected to induce a momentum imbalance and acoplanarity of dijet pairs with respect to pp collisions, so-called transverse momentum broadening [7]. For instance, multiple scatterings inside the nucleus of the initial- and final-state partons in hard scatterings can lead to such a transverse momentum broadening.

In heavy-ion collisions, jets produced in hard scattering processes are used to probe the properties of the produced medium. Highly energetic partons propagate through the medium, which

modifies the parton shower resulting in a modified fragmentation pattern of the final hadronization products [8,9]. Heavy-ion jet measurements are compared to measurements in pp collisions to determine the effect of hot nuclear matter on jet observables [10–13]. In the context of such studies, measurements in p–Pb collisions serve as a benchmark to study hard scattering processes in a nuclear target.

Measurements presented in [14] of the dijet transverse momentum imbalance and dijet azimuthal angle distributions show results which are comparable to results obtained with pp data and independent of the event activity. This letter presents a measurement of dijet acoplanarity in p–Pb collisions at $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 5.02$ TeV, recorded with the ALICE detector at the Large Hadron Collider (LHC). The jet azimuthal correlations are measured at mid-rapidity for jet transverse momentum between 15 and 120 GeV/c. Jets entering in the acceptance of electromagnetic calorimeter are reconstructed from charged and neutral particles (full jet) while the recoil jet is reconstructed from charged particles only (charged jet). Measurements are presented as a function of the full and associated charged jet transverse momentum in two event multiplicity classes which are correlated to the centrality of the p–Pb collisions [15].

2. Experimental setup and data sample

Collisions of proton and lead beams were provided by the LHC in the first months of 2013. The beam energies were 4 TeV for the

^{*} E-mail address: alice-publications@cern.ch.

proton beam and 1.58 TeV per nucleon for the lead beam, resulting in collisions at a center of mass energy $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 5.02$ TeV. The nucleon–nucleon center-of-mass system moves in rapidity with respect to the ALICE reference frame by -0.465 in the direction of the proton beam [16]. In the following η refers to the pseudorapidity in the ALICE reference frame.

The V0 detectors, two arrays of scintillator tiles covering the full azimuth within $2.8 < \eta < 5.1$ (VOA) and $-3.7 < \eta < -1.7$ (VOC), were used for online minimum bias event triggering, offline event selection and characterization of events in different particle multiplicity classes. The minimum bias trigger required a signal from a charged particle in both the VOA and VOC. The total integrated luminosity of the minimum bias event sample is $37 \mu\text{b}^{-1}$.

The electromagnetic calorimeter (EMCal) in ALICE [17] covers 100 degrees in azimuth, $1.4 < \varphi < \pi$, and $|\eta| < 0.7$. For the analyzed data set an online jet patch trigger of 32×32 adjacent towers, corresponding to an area of approximately 0.2 rad was used. This jet patch trigger fired if an integrated patch energy of at least 10 GeV (low-energy trigger) or 20 GeV (high-energy trigger) was found. The low-energy triggered event sample provided a significant overlap in jet energy between the minimum bias and high-energy trigger event samples, allowing assessment of the trigger biases. The event sample obtained with the low-energy trigger corresponds to a total integrated luminosity of $21 \mu\text{b}^{-1}$. The event sample with the high energy threshold has a total integrated luminosity of 1.6 nb^{-1} .

The position of the primary vertex was determined using reconstructed charged particle tracks in the ALICE tracking systems, Inner Tracking System (ITS) [18] and Time Projection Chamber (TPC) [19]. The algorithm to reconstruct the primary vertex is fully efficient for events with at least one primary track within $|\eta| < 1.4$ [20]. To ensure a high tracking efficiency uniform in η , events are accepted if the coordinate of the vertex along the beam direction is within ± 10 cm from the center of the detector.

The total event sample is divided into two multiplicity classes based on the total charge deposited in the VOA detector [21]. For the data sample used in this analysis, the VOA detector is located in the direction of the Pb remnants and thus sensitive to the fragmentation of the nucleus limiting a correlation in the definition of the multiplicity class with the dijet measurement at midrapidity. Two multiplicity classes 0–40% and 40–100% are used in this analysis. The higher multiplicity class (0–40%) corresponds to $\langle dN/d\eta \rangle_{|\eta| < 0.5} = 37.2 \pm 0.8$ and the lower multiplicity class (40–100%) to $\langle dN/d\eta \rangle_{|\eta| < 0.5} = 9.4 \pm 0.2$.

3. Jet reconstruction and dijet k_{Ty}

3.1. Jet reconstruction

Jets are reconstructed with the anti- k_{T} jet algorithm of the FastJet package [22,23] combining charged tracks measured in the central tracking detectors, ITS and TPC, and neutral fragments measured with the EMCAL [17]. Tracks from the combined ITS and TPC track reconstruction algorithm are used. Quality criteria for track selection follow the same strategy as in [24]. The tracking efficiency is 70% for tracks with a transverse momentum $p_{\text{T,track}} = 0.15$ GeV/c and increases to 85% at $p_{\text{T,track}} = 1$ GeV/c and above. The p_{T} resolution of tracks is 0.8% (3.8%) for $p_{\text{T,track}} = 1$ GeV/c (50 GeV/c). EMCAL clusters are formed by a clustering algorithm that combines signals from adjacent EMCAL towers, with cluster size limited by the requirement that each cluster contains only one local energy maximum. Energy deposited by charged particles in the EMCAL is subtracted from the measured energy in the EMCAL clusters which prevents counting the charged energy twice [20,25]. ALICE also reconstructs jets from charged particles only. These jets

are referred to as ‘charged jets’, while jets reconstructed from charged and neutral fragments are called ‘full jets’ in this letter.

In this analysis, anti- k_{T} jets are reconstructed using the boost-invariant p_{T} recombination scheme and a jet resolution parameter of $R = 0.4$. A jet is only accepted if it is fully contained in the acceptance in which the constituents are measured: for charged jets in the full azimuth and $|\eta_{\text{jet}}^{\text{ch}}| < 0.9 - R$ while for full jets $1.4 + R < \varphi_{\text{jet}}^{\text{ch+ne}} < \pi - R$ and $|\eta_{\text{jet}}^{\text{ch+ne}}| < 0.7 - R$. It was verified that reducing the acceptance with 0.05 on all edges, in η_{jet} and φ_{jet} , has a negligible effect on the measurement. Tracks with $p_{\text{T,track}} > 0.15$ GeV/c and neutral constituents with $E_{\text{T}} > 0.3$ GeV are considered. The minimum required area for jets with a resolution parameter $R = 0.4$ is equal to 0.3 ($\approx 60\%$ of the area of a rigid cone with $R = 0.4$). This selection does not affect the jet finding efficiency for jets (full and charged) with transverse momentum $p_{\text{T,jet}} > 15$ GeV/c. In addition, jets containing a track with $p_{\text{T,track}} > 100$ GeV/c, for which the track momentum resolution exceeds 6.5%, are tagged and rejected. This last requirement has negligible effect in the reported range of jet momenta. The measurement is corrected to particle level as will be explained in Section 3.3.

The measured transverse momentum of the anti- k_{T} jet is corrected for the contribution of the underlying event by subtracting the average background momentum density, ρ for full jets and ρ_{ch} for charged jets, multiplied by the area of the considered jet. The contribution of the underlying event to the charged jets is estimated using clusters reconstructed with the k_{T} jet algorithm using only charged tracks. This is achieved by calculating event-by-event the median charged background density, ρ_{ch} , from all k_{T} clusters in the event with in addition a correction for the sparsely populated p–Pb events [26,27]. The average ρ_{ch} in minimum-bias events is equal to 1.9 GeV/c for the 0–40% multiplicity class and 0.7 GeV/c for the 40–100% event multiplicity class with a negligible statistical uncertainty in both cases. Finally, ρ_{ch} is multiplied by a scale factor to account for the neutral energy to estimate ρ . The scale factor is determined by measuring the ratio between the energy of all the EMCAL clusters and the charged tracks pointing into the EMCAL acceptance in the minimum-bias event sample. The extracted scale factor 1.28 is independent of event multiplicity. The influence of background fluctuations is quantified and corrected for on an inclusive basis, see Section 3.3.

3.2. Dijet k_{Ty}

Each measured full jet is correlated with the charged jet of highest transverse momentum in the opposite hemisphere. Only pairs for which the full jet has a larger transverse momentum than the associated charged jet are considered. Furthermore only dijet pairs with $|\Delta\varphi_{\text{dijet}} - \pi| < \pi/3$, with $\Delta\varphi_{\text{dijet}}$ the angle between the jet axis of the full and charged jet, are considered in the analysis. The selection in $\Delta\varphi_{\text{dijet}}$ rejects 5–8% of the dijet pairs depending on the kinematic selection of the full and associated charged jet. The azimuthal acoplanarity of dijets is studied by measuring the transverse component of the k_{T} vector of the dijet system, k_{Ty} , defined as

$$k_{\text{Ty}} = p_{\text{T,jet}}^{\text{ch+ne}} \sin(\Delta\varphi_{\text{dijet}}), \quad (1)$$

with $p_{\text{T,jet}}^{\text{ch+ne}}$ the transverse momentum of the full jet. It should be noted that this definition differs from the one used in previous publications, for example [28]. Since dN/dk_{Ty} is a symmetric distribution around zero, $|k_{\text{Ty}}|$ is reported throughout the paper. For events from the minimum-bias sample full jets with $p_{\text{T,jet}}^{\text{ch+ne}} > 20$ GeV/c are considered, while in the jet-triggered data

samples (see Section 2) only jets with $p_{T,\text{jet}}^{\text{ch+ne}} > 40$ GeV/c for the low energy trigger and $p_{T,\text{jet}}^{\text{ch+ne}} > 60$ GeV/c for the higher energy trigger are used. In these kinematic regimes the triggers are fully efficient and no fragmentation bias is observed with respect to the minimum-bias jet sample. The $|k_{Ty}|$ distributions are reported at particle level involving a correction for detector effects and p_T -smearing due to the underlying event, see Section 3.3.

The dijet sample is biased due to the requirement that the full jet has a larger transverse momentum than the associated charged jet, while the full jet momentum is used to estimate k_{Ty} . In an unbiased measurement, the full jet would correspond to the leading jet of the event in only 50% of the cases. A PYTHIA [29,30] study was performed in which the particle-level jet was defined as the jet containing all final state particles (no kinematic selection on constituents and full azimuthal acceptance). Applying the selection of this analysis to detector-level reconstructed jets, results in a correct tagging of the leading jet in 70% of the dijet events. This results in a slightly harder $|k_{Ty}|$ distribution with a 10% smaller yield at low $|k_{Ty}|$ and 20% higher yield at large $|k_{Ty}|$. The results of the p-Pb data analysis will be compared to particle-level PYTHIA with the same dijet selection incorporating the mentioned bias.

The dijet acoplanarity is measured as a function of the transverse momentum of the full jet while the kinematic interval of the associated charged jet is also varied to explore k_{Ty} for more or less balanced dijets in transverse momentum. In addition k_{Ty} distributions are also presented for two event multiplicity classes.

3.3. Corrections and systematic uncertainties

The measured dijet $|k_{Ty}|$ distributions are corrected to the particle level, defined as the dijet $|k_{Ty}|$ from jets clustered from all prompt particles produced in the collision including all decay products, except those from weak decays of light flavor hadrons and muons. Both full and charged jets are accepted at particle level in the full azimuthal acceptance and in the pseudorapidity range of $|\eta_{\text{jet}}| < 0.5$. The correction to particle level is based on a data-driven method to correct for the influence of the underlying event fluctuations and on simulated PYTHIA events (tune Perugia-2011 [31]) transported through the ALICE detectors layout with GEANT3 [32]. The correction procedure takes into account the p_T and angular resolution of the measured dijets.

Detector-level jets are defined as jets reconstructed from reconstructed tracks and EMCal clusters after subtraction of the charged energy deposits. The jet energy scale and resolution are affected by unmeasured particles (predominantly K_L^0 and neutrons), fluctuations of the energy deposit by charged tracks in the EMCal, the EMCal energy scale and the charged particle tracking efficiency and p_T resolution. A response matrix as a function of $p_{T,\text{jet}}$ of the full and associated charged jet, $\Delta\phi_{\text{dijet}}$ and k_{Ty} is created after matching the detector-level to the particle-level jets as described in [33].

The p_T -smearing due to fluctuations of the underlying event is estimated with the random-cones technique which is also applied in the analysis of Pb-Pb data [24]. Cones with a radius equal to the resolution parameter R are placed in the measured p-Pb events at random positions in the η - ϕ plane ensuring the cone is fully contained in the detector acceptance. The fluctuations of the background are characterized by the difference between the summed p_T of all the tracks and clusters in the random cone (RC) and the estimated background: $\delta p_T = \sum_i^{\text{RC}} p_{T,i} - A \cdot \rho$, where A is the area of the random cone ($A = \pi R^2$) and the subscript i indicates a cluster or track pointing inside the random cone. A random cone can overlap with a jet but to avoid oversampling in small systems like p-Pb, a partial exclusion of overlap with the leading jet in the event is applied. This is achieved by excluding random cones

overlapping with a leading jet with a given probability, $p = 1/N_{\text{coll}}$ where N_{coll} is the number of binary collisions. N_{coll} is taken from estimates applying a Glauber fit to the multiplicity measured in the VOA detector resulting in values between 14.7 and 1.52 depending on the event activity measured in the VOA detector. The width of the background fluctuations for full (charged) jets varies between 2.12 (1.59) and 0.73 (0.56) GeV/c depending on the multiplicity of the event.

The influence of background fluctuations is added to the response extracted from detector simulation through a Monte Carlo model assuming that the background fluctuation for the full and associated charged jet are uncorrelated within 20% wide bins of VOA multiplicity classes. Within these selected multiplicity classes the variation of the background fluctuations is negligible. Since the Monte Carlo model does not generate full events and only accounts for the δp_T smearing on a jet-by-jet basis, additional jet finding inefficiencies and worsening of angular resolution due to the background fluctuation are not taken into account. These effects are negligible since the contribution of the underlying event to the jets in p-Pb collisions is small. No correction for the angular resolution of the charged jet due to missing neutral fragments is applied. This effect increases the width of $\Delta\phi_{\text{dijet}}$ by ~ 0.03 and is present, and of the same magnitude, in the p-Pb data and the PYTHIA reference.

The most probable correction to the jet energy, taking into account detector effects and background fluctuations, for fully reconstructed jets is 28% at $p_{T,\text{jet}}^{\text{ch+ne}} = 20$ GeV/c and decreases to 20% for jets with $p_{T,\text{jet}}^{\text{ch+ne}} > 40$ GeV/c. The uncertainty on the jet energy scale is evaluated by changing the tracking efficiency in data and full detector simulation [34], varying the double counting correction for the hadronic energy deposit in the EMCal and by using different estimates of the underlying-event fluctuations. The final uncertainty on the jet energy scale is 4%. The jet energy resolution for full jets is 22% at $p_{T,\text{jet}}^{\text{ch+ne}} = 20$ GeV/c and decreases gradually to 18% at $p_{T,\text{jet}}^{\text{ch+ne}} = 120$ GeV/c. The influence of the uncertainties on the jet energy scale and resolution on the dijet $|k_{Ty}|$ measurement are discussed in the following.

The measured $|k_{Ty}|$ distributions are corrected to the particle level by applying bin-by-bin correction factors, which are parametrized by a linear fit to the ratio between the particle- and detector-level $|k_{Ty}|$ distributions for a given dijet selection. The correction factors take into account the effects of feed-in and feed-out of the selected kinematic and angular intervals of the full and associated charged jets. These effects slightly change the shape of the $|k_{Ty}|$ distributions resulting in correction factors which vary between 0.9 for small $|k_{Ty}|$ to 1.2 at large $|k_{Ty}|$. The correction is relatively small, because while feed-in from lower $p_{T,\text{jet}}^{\text{ch+ne}}$ narrows the $|k_{Ty}|$ distribution, feed-in from higher $p_{T,\text{jet}}^{\text{ch+ne}}$ broadens the distribution resulting in a cancellation. Similarly the feed-out to high and low $p_{T,\text{jet}}^{\text{ch+ne}}$ has a small effect on the observable. By using a linear fit to the correction factors the statistical fluctuations of the detector simulation are not propagated to the measurement. The 95% confidence limit of the parametrization using the linear fit is included in the systematic uncertainty of the measurement. Correction factors are extracted as a function of VOA event multiplicity class and kinematic intervals of the full and associated charged jet.

The dominant systematic uncertainty on the measurement originates from the extraction of bin-by-bin correction factors. The uncertainty of the parametrization of the correction factors results in 10–20% correlated systematic uncertainty on the dijet $|k_{Ty}|$ yields. An additional 2.5% uncertainty arises from the uncertainty on the tracking efficiency which is 4%. Systematic uncertainties originating from the charged hadron energy deposit in EMCal towers,




Fig. 1. Dijet $|k_{Ty}|$ distributions in p-Pb collisions in the 0–40% V0A multiplicity event class for several kinematic intervals of the full jet ($p_{T,jet}^{ch+ne}$). The measurement is compared to PYTHIA8 (tune 4C, $K = 0.7$) with and without initial state radiation. The lower panels show the ratio between the measurement and PYTHIA8 including initial state radiation.

background fluctuations and the average momentum density ρ were evaluated and found to be negligible.

4. Results

The dijet $|k_{Ty}|$ distributions are presented as functions of the full-jet transverse momentum, the transverse momentum of the associated charged jet and event multiplicity classes. The results are compared to the predictions of the PYTHIA8.176 event generator with tune 4C and $K = 0.7$ [29,30]. This tune has been found to give a reasonable description of jet production at the LHC. The final state particles are shifted in pseudorapidity with $\eta_{shift} = -0.465$ to mimic the rapidity shift of the laboratory frame due to the energy difference of the proton and Pb beams.

4.1. Evolution with full-jet transverse momentum

Fig. 1 shows the corrected $|k_{Ty}|$ distributions for several kinematic intervals for the full jet, from $p_{T,jet}^{ch+ne} = 20$ GeV/c to $p_{T,jet}^{ch+ne} = 120$ GeV/c, in the 0–40% V0A multiplicity class. The associated charged jet has a minimum transverse momentum, $p_{T,assoc,jet}^{ch}$, of 15 GeV/c and is always of lower transverse momentum than the full jet. The mean $|k_{Ty}|$ increases with the transverse momentum of the full jet. Increasing the transverse momentum of the full jet extends the kinematic reach of $|k_{Ty}|$ by opening phase-space for more gluon radiation. This results in a harder $|k_{Ty}|$ distribution which drops at large $|k_{Ty}|$ because the kinematic limit $|k_{Ty}|_{max} = p_{T,jet,max}^{ch+ne} \sin(2\pi/3)$ is reached. The p-Pb data points and the PYTHIA8 calculation show a similar dependence on $p_{T,jet}^{ch+ne}$. The lower panels of Fig. 1 show the ratio between data and PYTHIA8, including initial state radiation (ISR), which is observed to be consistent with unity for all transverse momentum ranges studied. In the upper panels PYTHIA without the initial state radiation option is shown in addition (dashed line). Without ISR the amount of QCD radiation (which includes NLO corrections) is reduced, resulting in a steeper $|k_{Ty}|$ spectrum. The effect is most pronounced for the $p_{T,jet}^{ch+ne} > 40$ GeV/c where the p-Pb measurement is in agreement with full PYTHIA simulation but differs significantly from PYTHIA without ISR. This observation suggests that

the dijet $|k_{Ty}|$ spectrum for large Q^2 processes is highly sensitive to the increased available phase-space of QCD radiation processes. Measurements presented in [14] of the dijet transverse momentum imbalance for more energetic jets than the measurement presented here also show results which are comparable to simulated pp reference and independent of the forward transverse energy.

4.2. Evolution with event multiplicity and $p_{T,assoc,jet}^{ch}$

In addition to the measurement of $|k_{Ty}|$ in the highest multiplicity p-Pb events, the $|k_{Ty}|$ distribution is also measured in the lower multiplicity V0A event class 40–100%. If strong nuclear effects are present they are expected to be stronger in the high multiplicity events due to the larger number of participants in the collision. A comparison is shown in the left panel of Fig. 2. The systematic uncertainties between the two measurements are fully correlated since they originate from the uncertainty on the jet energy scale of the full jet. The consistency between the $|k_{Ty}|$ distributions in the high and low multiplicity event class was evaluated by taking the ratio and performing a constant fit taking into account only the statistical errors. The fit is within 1.2σ consistent with unity. This result shows that in the measured kinematical region, possible nuclear matter effects and/or shadowing in the $|k_{Ty}|$ distributions in p-Pb collisions are not observed for dijets at midrapidity.

The sensitivity to dijet acoplanarity is enhanced by selecting more p_T imbalanced jet pairs. The $|k_{Ty}|$ distribution for full jets with $70 < p_{T,jet}^{ch+ne} < 120$ GeV/c for various $p_{T,assoc,jet}^{ch}$ ranges is shown in the right panel of Fig. 2. The $|k_{Ty}|$ distribution tends to become steeper if jets are more balanced indicating that the influence of QCD radiation decreases. This behavior supports the previous observation (Section 4.1) that the dijet $|k_{Ty}|$ observable for highly energetic jets is over a wide range of $|k_{Ty}|$ mainly sensitive to QCD radiation processes rather than elastic scatterings.

4.3. Evolution and characterization via $\langle |k_{Ty}| \rangle$

The measured $|k_{Ty}|$ distributions are further characterized by reporting the mean ($\langle |k_{Ty}| \rangle$) of the distribution. To avoid that the




Fig. 2. Distributions of $|k_{Ty}|$ for two V0A event classes (left panel) and three $p_{T,\text{assoc jet}}^{\text{ch}}$ ranges (right panel).




Fig. 3. Mean of the $|k_{Ty}|$ distributions as a function of the full jet transverse momentum $p_{T,\text{jet}}^{\text{ch+ne}}$ (left) and the associated charged jet transverse momentum $p_{T,\text{assoc jet}}^{\text{ch}}$ (right) compared to PYTHIA8.

extracted moment is biased by statistical fluctuations for large values of $|k_{Ty}|$, the distributions are extrapolated using a template generated with PYTHIA8 (tune 4C, $K = 0.7$), which agrees well with the p–Pb measurement (see Fig. 1). The PYTHIA $|k_{Ty}|$ distribution is normalized to minimize the χ^2 between data and PYTHIA. The transition from the data to the normalized template is fixed at 60% of the kinematic limit $|k_{Ty}|_{\text{max}}$. The transition point is varied to estimate the systematic uncertainty from this extrapolation procedure. In addition, the normalization of the PYTHIA template is varied by one standard deviation of the fit uncertainty. This results in an additional systematic uncertainty on the extraction of $\langle |k_{Ty}| \rangle$. For low $p_{T,\text{jet}}^{\text{ch+ne}}$ the uncertainty on the extracted mean is equal to 2.9% and increases to 8.1% for the highest $p_{T,\text{jet}}^{\text{ch+ne}}$ values.

The left panel of Fig. 3 shows the mean of the measured $|k_{Ty}|$ distributions as a function of the full jet transverse momentum and is compared to the PYTHIA values. The measured moment in p–Pb collisions agrees within the uncertainties of the measurement with the PYTHIA8 expectation. The mean increases with $p_{T,\text{jet}}^{\text{ch+ne}}$ since the additional k_T due to radiative QCD processes increases with $p_{T,\text{jet}}^{\text{ch+ne}}$.

The right panel of Fig. 3 shows the evolution of $\langle |k_{Ty}| \rangle$ as a function of $p_{T,\text{assoc jet}}^{\text{ch}}$ for $70 < p_{T,\text{jet}}^{\text{ch+ne}} < 120$ GeV/c. The mean, $\langle |k_{Ty}| \rangle$, is compared to the earlier presented PYTHIA8 tune in Section 4.1 and is in agreement within the uncertainties of the measurement. The mean for $60 < p_{T,\text{jet}}^{\text{ch+ne}} < 80$ GeV/c is reported for two multiplicity event classes in Table 1. No significant difference is observed as a function of the multiplicity measured with V0A.

Table 1

Mean of the $|k_{Ty}|$ distributions for $60 < p_{T,\text{jet}}^{\text{ch+ne}} < 80$ GeV/c and $15 < p_{T,\text{assoc jet}}^{\text{ch}} < p_{T,\text{jet}}^{\text{ch+ne}}$ GeV/c in a high (0–40%) and low (40–100%) V0A multiplicity event class. The first quoted uncertainty is statistical while the second is systematic. The last column corresponds to the values from the PYTHIA8 calculation at particle level with the same kinematic selection. The uncertainty on the PYTHIA calculation is statistical.

	0–40%	40–100%	PYTHIA8 pp
$\langle k_{Ty} \rangle$ (GeV/c)	$14.7 \pm 0.8 \pm 0.3$	$13.6 \pm 1.1 \pm 0.5$	15.1 ± 0.1

5. Conclusion

The dijet acoplanarity in p–Pb collisions was studied by measuring dijet transverse momentum $|k_{Ty}|$. The evolution of $|k_{Ty}|$ as function of the transverse momentum of the full jet, associated charged jet and event multiplicity was presented. The $|k_{Ty}|$ spectra for different full and associated charged jet transverse momentum ranges in the 0–40% V0A event multiplicity class were found consistent with the PYTHIA prediction. The observed increase with jet energy from the mean $|k_{Ty}|$ of 6.6 ± 0.4 (stat.) ± 0.2 (syst.) GeV/c to 18.8 ± 1.3 (stat.) ± 1.5 (syst.) GeV/c as well as the observed narrowing of $|k_{Ty}|$ for more balanced jets suggests that the dijet $|k_{Ty}|$ spectrum for large Q^2 processes is mainly sensitive to the increased available phase-space for QCD radiation processes. Furthermore the dijet acoplanarity was found to be consistent (within 1.2σ) in the two event multiplicity classes analyzed in this study, indicating that in the measured kinematical region no strong nuclear matter effects in p–Pb collisions are observed. Since these results indicate that nuclear k_T effects are small, the p_T imbalance of jet correlations in Pb–Pb results [35,36] are unlikely to originate from multiple scatterings in the nuclear target.

Acknowledgements

The ALICE Collaboration would like to thank all its engineers and technicians for their invaluable contributions to the construction of the experiment and the CERN accelerator teams for the outstanding performance of the LHC complex. The ALICE Collaboration gratefully acknowledges the resources and support provided by all Grid centers and the Worldwide LHC Computing Grid (WLCG) Collaboration. The ALICE Collaboration acknowledges the following funding agencies for their support in building and running the ALICE detector: State Committee of Science, World Federation of Scientists (WFS) and Swiss Fonds Kidagan, Armenia, Conselho Nacional de Desenvolvimento Científico e Tecnológico (CNPq), Financiadora de Estudos e Projetos (FINEP), Fundação de Amparo à Pesquisa do Estado de São Paulo (FAPESP); National Natural Science Foundation of China (NSFC), the Chinese Ministry of Education (CMOE) and the Ministry of Science and Technology of China (MSTC); Ministry of Education and Youth of the Czech Republic; Danish Natural Science Research Council, the Carlsberg Foundation and the Danish National Research Foundation; The European Research Council under the European Community's Seventh Framework Programme; Helsinki Institute of Physics and the Academy of Finland; French CNRS-IN2P3, the 'Region Pays de Loire', 'Region Alsace', 'Region Auvergne' and CEA, France; German Bundesministerium für Bildung, Wissenschaft, Forschung und Technologie (BMBF) and the Helmholtz Association; General Secretariat for Research and Technology, Ministry of Development, Greece; Hungarian Országos Tudományos Kutatási Alapprogramok (OTKA) and National Office for Research and Technology (NKTH); Department of Atomic Energy and Department of Science and Technology of the Government of India; Istituto Nazionale di Fisica Nucleare (INFN) and Centro Fermi – Museo Storico della Fisica e Centro Studi e Ricerche "Enrico Fermi", Italy; MEXT Grant-in-Aid for Specially Promoted Research, Japan; Joint Institute for Nuclear Research, Dubna; National Research Foundation of Korea (NRF); Consejo Nacional de Ciencia y Tecnología (CONACYT), Dirección General de Asuntos del Personal Académico (DGAPA), México, Amérique Latine Formation académique–European Commission (ALFA–EC) and the EPLANET Program (European Particle Physics Latin American Network) Stichting voor Fundamenteel Onderzoek der Materie (FOM) and the Nederlandse Organisatie voor Wetenschappelijk Onderzoek (NWO), Netherlands; Research Council of Norway (NFR); National Science Centre of Poland; Ministry of National Education/Institute for Atomic Physics and Consiliul Național al Cercetării Științifice–Executive Agency for Higher Education Research Development and Innovation Funding (CNCS–UEFISCDI), Romania; Ministry of Education and Science of the Russian Federation, Russian Academy of Sciences, Russian Federal Agency of Atomic Energy, Russian Federal Agency for Science and Innovations and The Russian Foundation for Basic Research; Ministry of Education of Slovakia; Department of Science and Technology, Republic of South Africa; Centro de Investigaciones Energéticas, Medioambientales y Tecnológicas (CIEMAT), E-Infrastructure shared between Europe and Latin America (EELA), Ministerio de Economía y Competitividad (MINECO) of Spain, Xunta de Galicia (Consellería de Educación), Centro de Aplicaciones Tecnológicas y Desarrollo Nuclear (CEADEN), Cubaenergía, Cuba, and IAEA (International Atomic Energy Agency); Swedish Research Council (VR) and Knut & Alice Wallenberg Foundation (KAW); Ukraine Ministry of Education and Science; United Kingdom Science and Technology Facilities Council (STFC); The United States Department of Energy, the United States National Science Foundation, the State of Texas, and the State of Ohio; Ministry of Science, Education and Sports of Croatia and Unity through Knowledge Fund, Croatia. Council of Scientific and Industrial Research (CSIR), New Delhi, India.

References

- [1] R. Feynman, R. Field, G. Fox, Correlations among particles and jets produced with large transverse momenta, *Nucl. Phys. B* 128 (1977) 1–65.
- [2] L. Apanasevich, et al., Evidence for parton k_T effects in high- p_T particle production, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 81 (Sep. 1998) 2642–2645.
- [3] L. Apanasevich, et al., k_T effects in direct-photon production, *Phys. Rev. D* 59 (Feb. 1999) 074007.
- [4] D0 Collaboration, V. Abazov, et al., Measurement of dijet azimuthal decorrelations at central rapidities in $p\bar{p}$ collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 1.96$ TeV, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 94 (2005) 221801, arXiv:hep-ex/0409040.
- [5] CMS Collaboration, V. Khachatryan, et al., Dijet azimuthal decorrelations in pp collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 7$ TeV, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 106 (2011) 122003, arXiv:1101.5029 [hep-ex].
- [6] ATLAS Collaboration, G. Aad, et al., Measurement of dijet azimuthal decorrelations in pp collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 7$ TeV, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 106 (2011) 172002, arXiv:1102.2696 [hep-ex].
- [7] J. Albacete, N. Armesto, R. Baier, G. Barnafoldi, J. Barrette, et al., Predictions for p + Pb collisions at $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 5$ TeV, *Int. J. Mod. Phys. E* 22 (2013) 1330007, arXiv:1301.3395 [hep-ph].
- [8] M. Gyulassy, M. Plumer, Jet quenching in dense matter, *Phys. Lett. B* 243 (1990) 432–438.
- [9] R. Baier, Y.L. Dokshitzer, S. Peigne, D. Schiff, Induced gluon radiation in a QCD medium, *Phys. Lett. B* 345 (1995) 277–286, arXiv:hep-ph/9411409.
- [10] ATLAS Collaboration, G. Aad, et al., Measurements of the nuclear modification factor for jets in Pb + Pb collisions at $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 2.76$ TeV with the ATLAS detector, arXiv:1411.2357 [hep-ex].
- [11] ATLAS Collaboration, G. Aad, et al., Measurement of inclusive jet charged-particle fragmentation functions in Pb + Pb collisions at $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 2.76$ TeV with the ATLAS detector, *Phys. Lett. B* 739 (2014) 320–342, arXiv:1406.2979 [hep-ex].
- [12] CMS Collaboration, S. Chatrchyan, et al., Measurement of jet fragmentation in PbPb and pp collisions at $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 2.76$ TeV, *Phys. Rev. C* 90 (2) (2014) 024908, arXiv:1406.0932 [nucl-ex].
- [13] CMS Collaboration, S. Chatrchyan, et al., Modification of jet shapes in PbPb collisions at $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 2.76$ TeV, *Phys. Lett. B* 730 (2014) 243–263, arXiv:1310.0878 [nucl-ex].
- [14] CMS Collaboration, S. Chatrchyan, et al., Studies of dijet transverse momentum balance and pseudorapidity distributions in pPb collisions at $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 5.02$ TeV, *Eur. Phys. J. C* 74 (7) (2014) 2951, arXiv:1401.4433 [nucl-ex].
- [15] ALICE Collaboration, J. Adam, et al., Centrality dependence of particle production in p–Pb collisions at $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 5.02$ TeV, arXiv:1412.6828 [nucl-ex].
- [16] ALICE Collaboration, B. Abelev, et al., Pseudorapidity density of charged particles in p–Pb at $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 5.02$ TeV, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 110 (2013) 032301, arXiv:1210.3615 [nucl-ex].
- [17] ALICE EMCAL Collaboration, U. Abeysekara, et al., ALICE EMCAL physics performance report, arXiv:1008.0413 [physics.ins-det].
- [18] ALICE Collaboration, K. Aamodt, et al., Alignment of the ALICE Inner Tracking System with cosmic-ray tracks, *J. Instrum.* 5 (2010) P03003, arXiv:1001.0502 [physics.ins-det].
- [19] J. Alme, Y. Andres, H. Appelshauser, S. Bablok, N. Bialas, et al., The ALICE TPC, a large 3-dimensional tracking device with fast readout for ultra-high multiplicity events, *Nucl. Instrum. Methods Phys. Res., Sect. A, Accel. Spectrom. Detect. Assoc. Equip.* 622 (2010) 316–367, arXiv:1001.1950 [physics.ins-det].
- [20] ALICE Collaboration, B. Abelev, et al., Performance of the ALICE experiment at the CERN LHC, *Int. J. Mod. Phys. A* 29 (2014) 1430044, arXiv:1402.4476 [nucl-ex].
- [21] ALICE Collaboration, B. Abelev, et al., Multiplicity dependence of jet-like two-particle correlations in p–Pb collisions at $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 5.02$ TeV, *Phys. Lett. B* 741 (2014) 38–50, arXiv:1406.5463 [nucl-ex].
- [22] M. Cacciari, G.P. Salam, G. Soyez, FastJet user manual, *Eur. Phys. J. C* 72 (2012) 1896, arXiv:1111.6097 [hep-ph].
- [23] M. Cacciari, G.P. Salam, Dispelling the n^3 myth for the k_t jet-finder, *Phys. Lett. B* 641 (2006) 57–61, arXiv:hep-ph/0512210.
- [24] ALICE Collaboration, B. Abelev, et al., Measurement of event background fluctuations for charged particle jet reconstruction in Pb–Pb collisions at $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 2.76$ TeV, *J. High Energy Phys.* 1203 (2012) 053, arXiv:1201.2423 [hep-ex].
- [25] ALICE Collaboration, B. Abelev, et al., Measurement of the inclusive differential jet cross section in pp collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 2.76$ TeV, *Phys. Lett. B* 722 (2013) 262–272, arXiv:1301.3475 [nucl-ex].
- [26] CMS Collaboration, S. Chatrchyan, et al., Measurement of the underlying event activity in pp collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 0.9$ and 7 TeV with the novel jet-area/median approach, *J. High Energy Phys.* 1208 (2012) 130, arXiv:1207.2392 [hep-ex].
- [27] ALICE Collaboration, J. Adam, et al., Measurement of charged jet production cross sections and nuclear modification in p–Pb collisions at $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 5.02$ TeV, arXiv:1503.00681 [nucl-ex].
- [28] A. Angelis, et al., A measurement of the transverse momenta of partons, and of jet fragmentation as a function of $\sqrt{s}$ in p–p collisions, *Phys. Lett. B* 97 (1) (1980) 163–168.

- [29] T. Sjostrand, S. Mrenna, P. Skands, PYTHIA 6.4 physics and manual, *J. High Energy Phys.* 05 (2006) 026, arXiv:hep-ph/0603175.
- [30] T. Sjostrand, S. Mrenna, P.Z. Skands, A brief introduction to PYTHIA 8.1, *Comput. Phys. Commun.* 178 (2008) 852–867, arXiv:0710.3820 [hep-ph].
- [31] P.Z. Skands, Tuning Monte Carlo generators: the Perugia tunes, *Phys. Rev. D* 82 (2010) 074018, arXiv:1005.3457 [hep-ph].
- [32] R. Brun, F. Carminati, S. Giani, GEANT detector description and simulation tool, CERN-W5013, CERN-W-5013, 1994.
- [33] ALICE Collaboration, B. Abelev, et al., Measurement of charged jet suppression in Pb–Pb collisions at $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 2.76$ TeV, *J. High Energy Phys.* 1403 (2014) 013, arXiv:1311.0633 [nucl-ex].
- [34] ALICE Collaboration, B.B. Abelev, et al., Charged jet cross sections and properties in proton–proton collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 7$ TeV, arXiv:1411.4969 [nucl-ex].
- [35] ATLAS Collaboration, G. Aad, et al., Observation of a centrality-dependent di-jet asymmetry in lead–lead collisions at $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 2.77$ TeV with the ATLAS detector at the LHC, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 105 (2010) 252303, arXiv:1011.6182 [hep-ex].
- [36] CMS Collaboration, S. Chatrchyan, et al., Observation and studies of jet quenching in PbPb collisions at nucleon–nucleon center-of-mass energy = 2.76 TeV, *Phys. Rev. C* 84 (2011) 024906, arXiv:1102.1957 [nucl-ex].

ALICE Collaboration

J. Adam³⁹, D. Adamová⁸², M.M. Aggarwal⁸⁶, G. Aglieri Rinella³⁶, M. Agnello¹¹⁰, N. Agrawal⁴⁷, Z. Ahammed¹³⁰, S.U. Ahn⁶⁷, I. Aimo^{93,110}, S. Aiola¹³⁵, M. Ajaz¹⁶, A. Akindinov⁵⁷, S.N. Alam¹³⁰, D. Aleksandrov⁹⁹, B. Alessandro¹¹⁰, D. Alexandre¹⁰¹, R. Alfaro Molina⁶³, A. Alici^{104,12}, A. Alkin³, J. Alme³⁷, T. Alt⁴², S. Altinpinar¹⁸, I. Altsybeev¹²⁹, C. Alves Garcia Prado¹¹⁸, C. Andrei⁷⁷, A. Andronic⁹⁶, V. Anguelov⁹², J. Anielski⁵³, T. Antičić⁹⁷, F. Antinori¹⁰⁷, P. Antonioli¹⁰⁴, L. Aphecetche¹¹², H. Appelshäuser⁵², S. Arcelli²⁸, N. Armesto¹⁷, R. Arnaldi¹¹⁰, T. Aronsson¹³⁵, I.C. Arsene²², M. Arslandok⁵², A. Augustinus³⁶, R. Auerbeck⁹⁶, M.D. Azmi¹⁹, M. Bach⁴², A. Badalà¹⁰⁶, Y.W. Baek⁴³, S. Bagnasco¹¹⁰, R. Bailhache⁵², R. Bala⁸⁹, A. Baldissari¹⁵, F. Baltasar Dos Santos Pedrosa³⁶, R.C. Baral⁶⁰, A.M. Barbano¹¹⁰, R. Barbera²⁹, F. Barile³³, G.G. Barnaföldi¹³⁴, L.S. Barnby¹⁰¹, V. Barret⁶⁹, P. Bartalini⁷, J. Bartke¹¹⁵, E. Bartsch⁵², M. Basile²⁸, N. Bastid⁶⁹, S. Basu¹³⁰, B. Bathen⁵³, G. Batigne¹¹², A. Batista Camejo⁶⁹, B. Batyunya⁶⁵, P.C. Batzing²², I.G. Bearden⁷⁹, H. Beck⁵², C. Bedda¹¹⁰, N.K. Behera^{48,47}, I. Belikov⁵⁴, F. Bellini²⁸, H. Bello Martinez², R. Bellwied¹²⁰, R. Belmont¹³³, E. Belmont-Moreno⁶³, V. Belyaev⁷⁵, G. Bencedi¹³⁴, S. Beole²⁷, I. Berceanu⁷⁷, A. Bercuci⁷⁷, Y. Berdnikov⁸⁴, D. Berenyi¹³⁴, R.A. Bertens⁵⁶, D. Berzano^{36,27}, L. Betev³⁶, A. Bhasin⁸⁹, I.R. Bhat⁸⁹, A.K. Bhati⁸⁶, B. Bhattacharjee⁴⁴, J. Bhom¹²⁶, L. Bianchi^{27,120}, N. Bianchi⁷¹, C. Bianchin^{133,56}, J. Bielčik³⁹, J. Bielčiková⁸², A. Bilandžić⁷⁹, S. Biswas⁷⁸, S. Bjelogrić⁵⁶, F. Blanco¹⁰, D. Blau⁹⁹, C. Blume⁵², F. Bock^{73,92}, A. Bogdanov⁷⁵, H. Bøggild⁷⁹, L. Boldizsár¹³⁴, M. Bombara⁴⁰, J. Book⁵², H. Borel¹⁵, A. Borissov⁹⁵, M. Borri⁸¹, F. Bossú⁶⁴, M. Botje⁸⁰, E. Botta²⁷, S. Böttger⁵¹, P. Braun-Munzinger⁹⁶, M. Bregant¹¹⁸, T. Breitner⁵¹, T.A. Broker⁵², T.A. Browning⁹⁴, M. Broz³⁹, E.J. Brucken⁴⁵, E. Bruna¹¹⁰, G.E. Bruno³³, D. Budnikov⁹⁸, H. Buesching⁵², S. Bufalino^{110,36}, P. Buncic³⁶, O. Busch^{92,126}, Z. Buthelezi⁶⁴, J.T. Buxton²⁰, D. Caffarri^{36,30}, X. Cai⁷, H. Caines¹³⁵, L. Calero Diaz⁷¹, A. Caliva⁵⁶, E. Calvo Villar¹⁰², P. Camerini²⁶, F. Carena³⁶, W. Carena³⁶, J. Castillo Castellanos¹⁵, A.J. Castro¹²³, E.A.R. Casula²⁵, C. Cavicchioli³⁶, C. Ceballos Sanchez⁹, J. Cepila³⁹, P. Cerello¹¹⁰, B. Chang¹²¹, S. Chapeland³⁶, M. Chartier¹²², J.L. Charvet¹⁵, S. Chattopadhyay¹³⁰, S. Chattopadhyay¹⁰⁰, V. Chelnokov³, M. Cherney⁸⁵, C. Cheshkov¹²⁸, B. Cheynis¹²⁸, V. Chibante Barroso³⁶, D.D. Chinellato¹¹⁹, P. Chochula³⁶, K. Choi⁹⁵, M. Chojnacki⁷⁹, S. Choudhury¹³⁰, P. Christakoglou⁸⁰, C.H. Christensen⁷⁹, P. Christiansen³⁴, T. Chujo¹²⁶, S.U. Chung⁹⁵, Z. Chunchui⁵⁶, C. Cicalo¹⁰⁵, L. Cifarelli^{12,28}, F. Cindolo¹⁰⁴, J. Cleymans⁸⁸, F. Colamaria³³, D. Colella³³, A. Collu²⁵, M. Colocci²⁸, G. Conesa Balbastre⁷⁰, Z. Conesa del Valle⁵⁰, M.E. Connors¹³⁵, J.G. Contreras^{39,11}, T.M. Cormier⁸³, Y. Corrales Morales²⁷, I. Cortés Maldonado², P. Cortese³², M.R. Cosentino¹¹⁸, F. Costa³⁶, P. Crochet⁶⁹, R. Cruz Albino¹¹, E. Cuautle⁶², L. Cunqueiro³⁶, T. Dahms⁹¹, A. Dainese¹⁰⁷, A. Danu⁶¹, D. Das¹⁰⁰, I. Das^{100,50}, S. Das⁴, A. Dash¹¹⁹, S. Dash⁴⁷, S. De¹¹⁸, A. De Caro^{31,12}, G. de Cataldo¹⁰³, J. de Cuveland⁴², A. De Falco²⁵, D. De Gruttola^{12,31}, N. De Marco¹¹⁰, S. De Pasquale³¹, A. Deisting^{96,92}, A. Deloff⁷⁶, E. Dénes¹³⁴, G. D'Erasmo³³, D. Di Bari³³, A. Di Mauro³⁶, P. Di Nezza⁷¹, M.A. Diaz Corchero¹⁰, T. Dietel⁸⁸, P. Dillenseger⁵², R. Divià³⁶, Ø. Djuvsland¹⁸, A. Dobrin^{56,80}, T. Dobrowolski^{76,i}, D. Domenicis Gimenez¹¹⁸, B. Dönigus⁵², O. Dordic²², A.K. Dubey¹³⁰, A. Dubla⁵⁶, L. Ducroux¹²⁸, P. Dupieux⁶⁹, R.J. Ehlers¹³⁵, D. Elia¹⁰³, H. Engel⁵¹, B. Erasmus^{112,36}, F. Erhardt¹²⁷, D. Eschweiler⁴², B. Espagnon⁵⁰, M. Estienne¹¹², S. Esumi¹²⁶, J. Eum⁹⁵, D. Evans¹⁰¹, S. Evdokimov¹¹¹, G. Eyyubova³⁹, L. Fabbietti⁹¹, D. Fabris¹⁰⁷, J. Faivre⁷⁰, A. Fantoni⁷¹, M. Fasel⁷³, L. Feldkamp⁵³, D. Felea⁶¹, A. Feliciello¹¹⁰, G. Feofilov¹²⁹, J. Ferencei⁸², A. Fernández Téllez², E.G. Ferreira¹⁷, A. Ferretti²⁷, A. Festanti³⁰, J. Figiel¹¹⁵, M.A.S. Figueredo¹²², S. Filchagin⁹⁸, D. Finogeev⁵⁵, F.M. Fionda¹⁰³, E.M. Fiore³³, M.G. Fleck⁹², M. Floris³⁶, S. Foertsch⁶⁴, P. Foka⁹⁶, S. Fokin⁹⁹, E. Fragiacomo¹⁰⁹, A. Francescon^{36,30}, U. Frankenfeld⁹⁶, U. Fuchs³⁶, C. Furget⁷⁰, A. Furs⁵⁵,

M. Fusco Girard³¹, J.J. Gaardhøje⁷⁹, M. Gagliardi²⁷, A.M. Gago¹⁰², M. Gallio²⁷, D.R. Gangadharan⁷³, P. Ganoti⁸⁷, C. Gao⁷, C. Garabatos⁹⁶, E. Garcia-Solis¹³, C. Gargiulo³⁶, P. Gasik⁹¹, M. Germain¹¹², A. Gheata³⁶, M. Gheata^{61,36}, P. Ghosh¹³⁰, S.K. Ghosh⁴, P. Gianotti⁷¹, P. Giubellino³⁶, P. Giubilato³⁰, E. Gladysz-Dziadus¹¹⁵, P. Glässel⁹², A. Gomez Ramirez⁵¹, P. González-Zamora¹⁰, S. Gorbunov⁴², L. Görlich¹¹⁵, S. Gotovac¹¹⁴, V. Grabski⁶³, L.K. Graczykowski¹³², A. Grelli⁵⁶, A. Grigoras³⁶, C. Grigoras³⁶, V. Grigoriev⁷⁵, A. Grigoryan¹, S. Grigoryan⁶⁵, B. Grinyov³, N. Grion¹⁰⁹, J.F. Grosse-Oetringhaus³⁶, J.-Y. Grossiord¹²⁸, R. Grosso³⁶, F. Guber⁵⁵, R. Guernane⁷⁰, B. Guerzoni²⁸, K. Gulbrandsen⁷⁹, H. Gulkanyan¹, T. Gunji¹²⁵, A. Gupta⁸⁹, R. Gupta⁸⁹, R. Haake⁵³, Ø. Haaland¹⁸, C. Hadjidakis⁵⁰, M. Haiduc⁶¹, H. Hamagaki¹²⁵, G. Hamar¹³⁴, L.D. Hanratty¹⁰¹, A. Hansen⁷⁹, J.W. Harris¹³⁵, H. Hartmann⁴², A. Harton¹³, D. Hatzifotiadou¹⁰⁴, S. Hayashi¹²⁵, S.T. Heckel⁵², M. Heide⁵³, H. Helstrup³⁷, A. Herghelegiu⁷⁷, G. Herrera Corral¹¹, B.A. Hess³⁵, K.F. Hetland³⁷, T.E. Hilden⁴⁵, H. Hillemanns³⁶, B. Hippolyte⁵⁴, P. Hristov³⁶, M. Huang¹⁸, T.J. Humanic²⁰, N. Hussain⁴⁴, T. Hussain¹⁹, D. Hutter⁴², D.S. Hwang²¹, R. Ilkaev⁹⁸, I. Ilkiv⁷⁶, M. Inaba¹²⁶, C. Ionita³⁶, M. Ippolitov^{75,99}, M. Irfan¹⁹, M. Ivanov⁹⁶, V. Ivanov⁸⁴, V. Izucheev¹¹¹, P.M. Jacobs⁷³, C. Jahnke¹¹⁸, H.J. Jang⁶⁷, M.A. Janik¹³², P.H.S.Y. Jayarathna¹²⁰, C. Jena³⁰, S. Jena¹²⁰, R.T. Jimenez Bustamante⁶², P.G. Jones¹⁰¹, H. Jung⁴³, A. Jusko¹⁰¹, P. Kalinak⁵⁸, A. Kalweit³⁶, J. Kamin⁵², J.H. Kang¹³⁶, V. Kaplin⁷⁵, S. Kar¹³⁰, A. Karasu Uysal⁶⁸, O. Karavichev⁵⁵, T. Karavicheva⁵⁵, E. Karpechev⁵⁵, U. Kebschull⁵¹, R. Keidel¹³⁷, D.L.D. Keijndener⁵⁶, M. Keil³⁶, K.H. Khan¹⁶, M.M. Khan¹⁹, P. Khan¹⁰⁰, S.A. Khan¹³⁰, A. Khanzadeev⁸⁴, Y. Kharlov¹¹¹, B. Kileng³⁷, B. Kim¹³⁶, D.W. Kim^{43,67}, D.J. Kim¹²¹, H. Kim¹³⁶, J.S. Kim⁴³, M. Kim⁴³, M. Kim¹³⁶, S. Kim²¹, T. Kim¹³⁶, S. Kirsch⁴², I. Kisel⁴², S. Kiselev⁵⁷, A. Kisiel¹³², G. Kiss¹³⁴, J.L. Klay⁶, C. Klein⁵², J. Klein⁹², C. Klein-Bösing⁵³, A. Kluge³⁶, M.L. Knichel⁹², A.G. Knospe¹¹⁶, T. Kobayashi¹²⁶, C. Kobdaj¹¹³, M. Kofarago³⁶, M.K. Köhler⁹⁶, T. Kollegger^{42,96}, A. Kolojvari¹²⁹, V. Kondratiev¹²⁹, N. Kondratyeva⁷⁵, E. Kondratyuk¹¹¹, A. Konevskikh⁵⁵, C. Kouzinopoulos³⁶, O. Kovalenko⁷⁶, V. Kovalenko¹²⁹, M. Kowalski^{36,115}, S. Kox⁷⁰, G. Koyithatta Meethalevedu⁴⁷, J. Kral¹²¹, I. Králik⁵⁸, A. Kravčáková⁴⁰, M. Krelina³⁹, M. Kretz⁴², M. Krivda^{101,58}, F. Krizek⁸², E. Kryshen³⁶, M. Krzewicki^{96,42}, A.M. Kubera²⁰, V. Kučera⁸², T. Kugathasan³⁶, C. Kuhn⁵⁴, P.G. Kuijer⁸⁰, I. Kulakov⁴², J. Kumar⁴⁷, L. Kumar^{78,86}, P. Kurashvili⁷⁶, A. Kurepin⁵⁵, A.B. Kurepin⁵⁵, A. Kuryakin⁹⁸, S. Kushpil⁸², M.J. Kweon⁴⁹, Y. Kwon¹³⁶, S.L. La Pointe¹¹⁰, P. La Rocca²⁹, C. Lagana Fernandes¹¹⁸, I. Lakomov^{36,50}, R. Langoy⁴¹, C. Lara⁵¹, A. Lardeux¹⁵, A. Lattuca²⁷, E. Laudi³⁶, R. Lea²⁶, L. Leardini⁹², G.R. Lee¹⁰¹, S. Lee¹³⁶, I. Legrand³⁶, R.C. Lemmon⁸¹, V. Lenti¹⁰³, E. Leogrande⁵⁶, I. León Monzón¹¹⁷, M. Leoncino²⁷, P. Lévai¹³⁴, S. Li^{7,69}, X. Li¹⁴, J. Lien⁴¹, R. Lietava¹⁰¹, S. Lindal²², V. Lindenstruth⁴², C. Lippmann⁹⁶, M.A. Lisa²⁰, H.M. Ljunggren³⁴, D.F. Lodato⁵⁶, P.I. Loenne¹⁸, V.R. Loggins¹³³, V. Loginov⁷⁵, C. Loizides⁷³, X. Lopez⁶⁹, E. López Torres⁹, A. Lowe¹³⁴, P. Luettig⁵², M. Lunardon³⁰, G. Luparello^{26,56}, P.H.F.N.D. Luz¹¹⁸, A. Maevskaya⁵⁵, M. Mager³⁶, S. Mahajan⁸⁹, S.M. Mahmood²², A. Maire⁵⁴, R.D. Majka¹³⁵, M. Malaev⁸⁴, I. Maldonado Cervantes⁶², L. Malinina⁶⁵, D. Mal'Kevich⁵⁷, P. Malzacher⁹⁶, A. Mamonov⁹⁸, L. Manceau¹¹⁰, V. Manko⁹⁹, F. Manso⁶⁹, V. Manzari^{103,36}, M. Marchisone²⁷, J. Mareš⁵⁹, G.V. Margagliotti²⁶, A. Margotti¹⁰⁴, J. Margutti⁵⁶, A. Marín⁹⁶, C. Markert¹¹⁶, M. Marquard⁵², N.A. Martin⁹⁶, J. Martin Blanco¹¹², P. Martinengo³⁶, M.I. Martínez², G. Martínez García¹¹², M. Martinez Pedreira³⁶, Y. Martynov³, A. Mas¹¹⁸, S. Masciocchi⁹⁶, M. Masera²⁷, A. Masoni¹⁰⁵, L. Massacrier¹¹², A. Mastroserio³³, H. Masui¹²⁶, A. Matyja¹¹⁵, C. Mayer¹¹⁵, J. Mazer¹²³, M.A. Mazzoni¹⁰⁸, D. McDonald¹²⁰, F. Meddi²⁴, A. Menchaca-Rocha⁶³, E. Meninno³¹, J. Mercado Pérez⁹², M. Meres³⁸, Y. Miake¹²⁶, M.M. Mieskolainen⁴⁵, K. Mikhaylov^{57,65}, L. Milano³⁶, J. Milosevic^{22,131}, L.M. Minervini^{103,23}, A. Mischke⁵⁶, A.N. Mishra⁴⁸, D. Miśkowiec⁹⁶, J. Mitra¹³⁰, C.M. Mitu⁶¹, N. Mohammadi⁵⁶, B. Mohanty^{130,78}, L. Molnar⁵⁴, L. Montaño Zetina¹¹, E. Montes¹⁰, M. Morando³⁰, D.A. Moreira De Godoy¹¹², S. Moretto³⁰, A. Morreale¹¹², A. Morsch³⁶, V. Muccifora⁷¹, E. Mudnic¹¹⁴, D. Mühlheim⁵³, S. Muhuri¹³⁰, M. Mukherjee¹³⁰, H. Müller³⁶, J.D. Mulligan¹³⁵, M.G. Munhoz¹¹⁸, S. Murray⁶⁴, L. Musa³⁶, J. Musinsky⁵⁸, B.K. Nandi⁴⁷, R. Nania¹⁰⁴, E. Nappi¹⁰³, M.U. Naru¹⁶, C. Nattrass¹²³, K. Nayak⁷⁸, T.K. Nayak¹³⁰, S. Nazarenko⁹⁸, A. Nedosekin⁵⁷, L. Nellen⁶², F. Ng¹²⁰, M. Nicassio⁹⁶, M. Niculescu^{61,36}, J. Niedziela³⁶, B.S. Nielsen⁷⁹, S. Nikolaev⁹⁹, S. Nikulin⁹⁹, V. Nikulin⁸⁴, F. Noferini^{104,12}, P. Nomokonov⁶⁵, G. Nooren⁵⁶, J. Norman¹²², A. Nyanin⁹⁹, J. Nystrand¹⁸, H. Oeschler⁹², S. Oh¹³⁵, S.K. Oh⁶⁶, A. Ohlson³⁶, A. Okatan⁶⁸, T. Okubo⁴⁶, L. Olah¹³⁴, J. Oleniacz¹³², A.C. Oliveira Da Silva¹¹⁸, M.H. Oliver¹³⁵, J. Onderwaater⁹⁶, C. Oppedisano¹¹⁰, A. Ortiz Velasquez⁶²,

A. Oskarsson³⁴, J. Otwinowski^{96,115}, K. Oyama⁹², M. Ozdemir⁵², Y. Pachmayer⁹², P. Pagano³¹, G. Paic⁶², C. Pajares¹⁷, S.K. Pal¹³⁰, J. Pan¹³³, A.K. Pandey⁴⁷, D. Pant⁴⁷, V. Papikyan¹, G.S. Pappalardo¹⁰⁶, P. Pareek⁴⁸, W.J. Park⁹⁶, S. Parmar⁸⁶, A. Passfeld⁵³, V. Paticchio¹⁰³, B. Paul¹⁰⁰, T. Peitzmann⁵⁶, H. Pereira Da Costa¹⁵, E. Pereira De Oliveira Filho¹¹⁸, D. Peresunko^{75,99}, C.E. Pérez Lara⁸⁰, V. Peskov⁵², Y. Pestov⁵, V. Petráček³⁹, V. Petrov¹¹¹, M. Petrovici⁷⁷, C. Petta²⁹, S. Piano¹⁰⁹, M. Pikna³⁸, P. Pillot¹¹², O. Pinazza^{104,36}, L. Pinsky¹²⁰, D.B. Piyarathna¹²⁰, M. Płoskoń⁷³, M. Planinic¹²⁷, J. Pluta¹³², S. Pochybova¹³⁴, P.L.M. Podesta-Lerma¹¹⁷, M.G. Poghosyan⁸⁵, B. Polichtchouk¹¹¹, N. Poljak¹²⁷, W. Poonsawat¹¹³, A. Pop⁷⁷, S. Porteboeuf-Houssais⁶⁹, J. Porter⁷³, J. Pospisil⁸², S.K. Prasad⁴, R. Preghenella^{36,104}, F. Prino¹¹⁰, C.A. Pruneau¹³³, I. Pshenichnov⁵⁵, M. Puccio¹¹⁰, G. Puddu²⁵, P. Pujahari¹³³, V. Punin⁹⁸, J. Putschke¹³³, H. Qvigstad²², A. Rachevski¹⁰⁹, S. Raha⁴, S. Rajput⁸⁹, J. Rak¹²¹, A. Rakotozafindrabe¹⁵, L. Ramello³², R. Raniwala⁹⁰, S. Raniwala⁹⁰, S.S. Räsänen⁴⁵, B.T. Rascanu⁵², D. Rathee⁸⁶, K.F. Read¹²³, J.S. Real⁷⁰, K. Redlich⁷⁶, R.J. Reed¹³³, A. Rehman¹⁸, P. Reichelt⁵², M. Reicher⁵⁶, F. Reidt^{92,36}, X. Ren⁷, R. Renfordt⁵², A.R. Reolon⁷¹, A. Reshetin⁵⁵, F. Rettig⁴², J.-P. Revol¹², K. Reygers⁹², V. Riabov⁸⁴, R.A. Ricci⁷², T. Richert³⁴, M. Richter²², P. Riedler³⁶, W. Riegler³⁶, F. Riggi²⁹, C. Ristea⁶¹, A. Rivetti¹¹⁰, E. Rocco⁵⁶, M. Rodríguez Cahuantzi^{11,2}, A. Rodríguez Manso⁸⁰, K. Røed²², E. Rogochaya⁶⁵, D. Rohr⁴², D. Röhrich¹⁸, R. Romita¹²², F. Ronchetti⁷¹, L. Ronflette¹¹², P. Rosnet⁶⁹, A. Rossi³⁶, F. Roukoutakis⁸⁷, A. Roy⁴⁸, C. Roy⁵⁴, P. Roy¹⁰⁰, A.J. Rubio Montero¹⁰, R. Rui²⁶, R. Russo²⁷, E. Ryabinkin⁹⁹, Y. Ryabov⁸⁴, A. Rybicki¹¹⁵, S. Sadovsky¹¹¹, K. Šafařík³⁶, B. Sahlmuller⁵², P. Sahoo⁴⁸, R. Sahoo⁴⁸, S. Sahoo⁶⁰, P.K. Sahu⁶⁰, J. Saini¹³⁰, S. Sakai⁷¹, M.A. Saleh¹³³, C.A. Salgado¹⁷, J. Salzwedel²⁰, S. Sambyal⁸⁹, V. Samsonov⁸⁴, X. Sanchez Castro⁵⁴, L. Šándor⁵⁸, A. Sandoval⁶³, M. Sano¹²⁶, G. Santagati²⁹, D. Sarkar¹³⁰, E. Scapparone¹⁰⁴, F. Scarlassara³⁰, R.P. Scharenberg⁹⁴, C. Schiaua⁷⁷, R. Schicker⁹², C. Schmidt⁹⁶, H.R. Schmidt³⁵, S. Schuchmann⁵², J. Schukraft³⁶, M. Schulc³⁹, T. Schuster¹³⁵, Y. Schutz^{112,36}, K. Schwarz⁹⁶, K. Schweda⁹⁶, G. Scioli²⁸, E. Scomparin¹¹⁰, R. Scott¹²³, K.S. Seeder¹¹⁸, J.E. Seger⁸⁵, Y. Sekiguchi¹²⁵, I. Selyuzhenkov⁹⁶, K. Senosi⁶⁴, J. Seo^{66,95}, E. Serradilla^{10,63}, A. Sevcenco⁶¹, A. Shabanov⁵⁵, A. Shabetai¹¹², O. Shadura³, R. Shahoyan³⁶, A. Shangaraev¹¹¹, A. Sharma⁸⁹, N. Sharma^{60,123}, K. Shigaki⁴⁶, K. Shtejer^{9,27}, Y. Sibiriyak⁹⁹, S. Siddhanta¹⁰⁵, K.M. Sielewicz³⁶, T. Siemiarz⁷⁶, D. Silvermyr^{83,34}, C. Silvestre⁷⁰, G. Simatovic¹²⁷, G. Simonetti³⁶, R. Singaraju¹³⁰, R. Singh⁷⁸, S. Singha^{78,130}, V. Singhal¹³⁰, B.C. Sinha¹³⁰, T. Sinha¹⁰⁰, B. Sitar³⁸, M. Sitta³², T.B. Skaali²², M. Slupecki¹²¹, N. Smirnov¹³⁵, R.J.M. Snellings⁵⁶, T.W. Snellman¹²¹, C. Søgaard³⁴, R. Soltz⁷⁴, J. Song⁹⁵, M. Song¹³⁶, Z. Song⁷, F. Soramel³⁰, S. Sorensen¹²³, M. Spacek³⁹, E. Spiriti⁷¹, I. Sputowska¹¹⁵, M. Spyropoulou-Stassinaki⁸⁷, B.K. Srivastava⁹⁴, J. Stachel⁹², I. Stan⁶¹, G. Stefanek⁷⁶, M. Steinpreis²⁰, E. Stenlund³⁴, G. Steyn⁶⁴, J.H. Stiller⁹², D. Stocco¹¹², P. Strmen³⁸, A.A.P. Suaide¹¹⁸, T. Sugitate⁴⁶, C. Suire⁵⁰, M. Suleymanov¹⁶, R. Sultanov⁵⁷, M. Šumbera⁸², T.J.M. Symons⁷³, A. Szabo³⁸, A. Szanto de Toledo¹¹⁸, I. Szarka³⁸, A. Szczepankiewicz³⁶, M. Szymanski¹³², J. Takahashi¹¹⁹, N. Tanaka¹²⁶, M.A. Tangaro³³, J.D. Tapia Takaki^{50,ii}, A. Tarantola Pelsoni⁵², M. Tariq¹⁹, M.G. Tarzila⁷⁷, A. Tauro³⁶, G. Tejeda Muñoz², A. Telesca³⁶, K. Terasaki¹²⁵, C. Terrevoli^{30,25}, B. Teyssier¹²⁸, J. Thäder^{96,73}, D. Thomas¹¹⁶, R. Tieulent¹²⁸, A.R. Timmins¹²⁰, A. Toia⁵², S. Trogolo¹¹⁰, V. Trubnikov³, W.H. Trzaska¹²¹, T. Tsuji¹²⁵, A. Tumkin⁹⁸, R. Turrisi¹⁰⁷, T.S. Tveter²², K. Ullaland¹⁸, A. Uras¹²⁸, G.L. Usai²⁵, A. Utrobicic¹²⁷, M. Vajzer⁸², M. Vala⁵⁸, L. Valencia Palomo⁶⁹, S. Vallero²⁷, J. Van Der Maarel⁵⁶, J.W. Van Hoorne³⁶, M. van Leeuwen⁵⁶, T. Vanat⁸², P. Vande Vyvre³⁶, D. Varga¹³⁴, A. Vargas², M. Vargyas¹²¹, R. Varma⁴⁷, M. Vasileiou⁸⁷, A. Vasiliev⁹⁹, A. Vauthier⁷⁰, V. Vechernin¹²⁹, A.M. Veen⁵⁶, M. Veldhoen⁵⁶, A. Velure¹⁸, M. Venaruzzo⁷², E. Vercellin²⁷, S. Vergara Limón², R. Vernet⁸, M. Verweij¹³³, L. Vickovic¹¹⁴, G. Viesti^{30,i}, J. Viinikainen¹²¹, Z. Vilakazi¹²⁴, O. Villalobos Baillie¹⁰¹, A. Vinogradov⁹⁹, L. Vinogradov¹²⁹, Y. Vinogradov⁹⁸, T. Virgili³¹, V. Vislavicius³⁴, Y.P. Viyogi¹³⁰, A. Vodopyanov⁶⁵, M.A. Völkl⁹², K. Voloshin⁵⁷, S.A. Voloshin¹³³, G. Volpe^{36,134}, B. von Haller³⁶, I. Vorobyev⁹¹, D. Vranic^{96,36}, J. Vrláková⁴⁰, B. Vulpescu⁶⁹, A. Vyushin⁹⁸, B. Wagner¹⁸, J. Wagner⁹⁶, H. Wang⁵⁶, M. Wang^{7,112}, Y. Wang⁹², D. Watanabe¹²⁶, M. Weber³⁶, S.G. Weber⁹⁶, J.P. Wessels⁵³, U. Westerhoff⁵³, J. Wiechula³⁵, J. Wikne²², M. Wilde⁵³, G. Wilk⁷⁶, J. Wilkinson⁹², M.C.S. Williams¹⁰⁴, B. Windelband⁹², M. Winn⁹², C.G. Yaldo¹³³, Y. Yamaguchi¹²⁵, H. Yang⁵⁶, P. Yang⁷, S. Yano⁴⁶, Z. Yin⁷, H. Yokoyama¹²⁶, I.-K. Yoo⁹⁵, V. Yurchenko³, I. Yushmanov⁹⁹, A. Zaborowska¹³², V. Zaccolo⁷⁹, A. Zaman¹⁶, C. Zampolli¹⁰⁴, H.J.C. Zanoli¹¹⁸, S. Zaporozhets⁶⁵, A. Zarochentsev¹²⁹, P. Závada⁵⁹, N. Zaviyalov⁹⁸, H. Zbroszczyk¹³²,

I.S. Zgura⁶¹, M. Zhalov⁸⁴, H. Zhang⁷, X. Zhang⁷³, Y. Zhang⁷, C. Zhao²², N. Zhigareva⁵⁷, D. Zhou⁷,
Y. Zhou⁵⁶, Z. Zhou¹⁸, H. Zhu⁷, J. Zhu^{7,112}, X. Zhu⁷, A. Zichichi^{12,28}, A. Zimmermann⁹²,
M.B. Zimmermann^{53,36}, G. Zinovjev³, M. Zyzak⁴²

¹ A.I. Alikhanyan National Science Laboratory (Yerevan Physics Institute) Foundation, Yerevan, Armenia

² Benemérita Universidad Autónoma de Puebla, Puebla, Mexico

³ Bogolyubov Institute for Theoretical Physics, Kiev, Ukraine

⁴ Bose Institute, Department of Physics and Centre for Astroparticle Physics and Space Science (CAPSS), Kolkata, India

⁵ Budker Institute for Nuclear Physics, Novosibirsk, Russia

⁶ California Polytechnic State University, San Luis Obispo, CA, United States

⁷ Central China Normal University, Wuhan, China

⁸ Centre de Calcul de l'IN2P3, Villeurbanne, France

⁹ Centro de Aplicaciones Tecnológicas y Desarrollo Nuclear (CEADEN), Havana, Cuba

¹⁰ Centro de Investigaciones Energéticas Medioambientales y Tecnológicas (CIEMAT), Madrid, Spain

¹¹ Centro de Investigación y de Estudios Avanzados (CINVESTAV), Mexico City and Mérida, Mexico

¹² Centro Fermi – Museo Storico della Fisica e Centro Studi e Ricerche “Enrico Fermi”, Rome, Italy

¹³ Chicago State University, Chicago, IL, United States

¹⁴ China Institute of Atomic Energy, Beijing, China

¹⁵ Commissariat à l'Energie Atomique, IRFU, Saclay, France

¹⁶ COMSATS Institute of Information Technology (CIIT), Islamabad, Pakistan

¹⁷ Departamento de Física de Partículas and IGFAE, Universidad de Santiago de Compostela, Santiago de Compostela, Spain

¹⁸ Department of Physics and Technology, University of Bergen, Bergen, Norway

¹⁹ Department of Physics, Aligarh Muslim University, Aligarh, India

²⁰ Department of Physics, Ohio State University, Columbus, OH, United States

²¹ Department of Physics, Sejong University, Seoul, South Korea

²² Department of Physics, University of Oslo, Oslo, Norway

²³ Dipartimento di Elettrotecnica ed Elettronica del Politecnico, Bari, Italy

²⁴ Dipartimento di Fisica dell'Università 'La Sapienza' and Sezione INFN Rome, Italy

²⁵ Dipartimento di Fisica dell'Università and Sezione INFN, Cagliari, Italy

²⁶ Dipartimento di Fisica dell'Università and Sezione INFN, Trieste, Italy

²⁷ Dipartimento di Fisica dell'Università and Sezione INFN, Turin, Italy

²⁸ Dipartimento di Fisica e Astronomia dell'Università and Sezione INFN, Bologna, Italy

²⁹ Dipartimento di Fisica e Astronomia dell'Università and Sezione INFN, Catania, Italy

³⁰ Dipartimento di Fisica e Astronomia dell'Università and Sezione INFN, Padova, Italy

³¹ Dipartimento di Fisica 'E.R. Caianiello' dell'Università and Gruppo Collegato INFN, Salerno, Italy

³² Dipartimento di Scienze e Innovazione Tecnologica dell'Università del Piemonte Orientale and Gruppo Collegato INFN, Alessandria, Italy

³³ Dipartimento Interateneo di Fisica 'M. Merlin' and Sezione INFN, Bari, Italy

³⁴ Division of Experimental High Energy Physics, University of Lund, Lund, Sweden

³⁵ Eberhard Karls Universität Tübingen, Tübingen, Germany

³⁶ European Organization for Nuclear Research (CERN), Geneva, Switzerland

³⁷ Faculty of Engineering, Bergen University College, Bergen, Norway

³⁸ Faculty of Mathematics, Physics and Informatics, Comenius University, Bratislava, Slovakia

³⁹ Faculty of Nuclear Sciences and Physical Engineering, Czech Technical University in Prague, Prague, Czech Republic

⁴⁰ Faculty of Science, P.J. Šafárik University, Košice, Slovakia

⁴¹ Faculty of Technology, Buskerud and Vestfold University College, Vestfold, Norway

⁴² Frankfurt Institute for Advanced Studies, Johann Wolfgang Goethe-Universität Frankfurt, Frankfurt, Germany

⁴³ Gangneung-Wonju National University, Gangneung, South Korea

⁴⁴ Gauhati University, Department of Physics, Guwahati, India

⁴⁵ Helsinki Institute of Physics (HIP), Helsinki, Finland

⁴⁶ Hiroshima University, Hiroshima, Japan

⁴⁷ Indian Institute of Technology Bombay (IIT), Mumbai, India

⁴⁸ Indian Institute of Technology Indore, Indore (IITI), India

⁴⁹ Inha University, Incheon, South Korea

⁵⁰ Institut de Physique Nucléaire d'Orsay (IPNO), Université Paris-Sud, CNRS-IN2P3, Orsay, France

⁵¹ Institut für Informatik, Johann Wolfgang Goethe-Universität Frankfurt, Frankfurt, Germany

⁵² Institut für Kernphysik, Johann Wolfgang Goethe-Universität Frankfurt, Frankfurt, Germany

⁵³ Institut für Kernphysik, Westfälische Wilhelms-Universität Münster, Münster, Germany

⁵⁴ Institut Pluridisciplinaire Hubert Curien (IPHC), Université de Strasbourg, CNRS-IN2P3, Strasbourg, France

⁵⁵ Institute for Nuclear Research, Academy of Sciences, Moscow, Russia

⁵⁶ Institute for Subatomic Physics of Utrecht University, Utrecht, Netherlands

⁵⁷ Institute for Theoretical and Experimental Physics, Moscow, Russia

⁵⁸ Institute of Experimental Physics, Slovak Academy of Sciences, Košice, Slovakia

⁵⁹ Institute of Physics, Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic, Prague, Czech Republic

⁶⁰ Institute of Physics, Bhubaneswar, India

⁶¹ Institute of Space Science (ISS), Bucharest, Romania

⁶² Instituto de Ciencias Nucleares, Universidad Nacional Autónoma de México, Mexico City, Mexico

⁶³ Instituto de Física, Universidad Nacional Autónoma de México, Mexico City, Mexico

⁶⁴ iThemba LABS, National Research Foundation, Somerset West, South Africa

⁶⁵ Joint Institute for Nuclear Research (JINR), Dubna, Russia

⁶⁶ Konkuk University, Seoul, South Korea

⁶⁷ Korea Institute of Science and Technology Information, Daejeon, South Korea

⁶⁸ KTO Karatay University, Konya, Turkey

⁶⁹ Laboratoire de Physique Corpusculaire (LPC), Clermont Université, Université Blaise Pascal, CNRS-IN2P3, Clermont-Ferrand, France

⁷⁰ Laboratoire de Physique Subatomique et de Cosmologie, Université Grenoble-Alpes, CNRS-IN2P3, Grenoble, France

⁷¹ Laboratori Nazionali di Frascati, INFN, Frascati, Italy

⁷² Laboratori Nazionali di Legnano, INFN, Legnano, Italy

⁷³ Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory, Berkeley, CA, United States

⁷⁴ Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory, Livermore, CA, United States

- ⁷⁵ Moscow Engineering Physics Institute, Moscow, Russia
- ⁷⁶ National Centre for Nuclear Studies, Warsaw, Poland
- ⁷⁷ National Institute for Physics and Nuclear Engineering, Bucharest, Romania
- ⁷⁸ National Institute of Science Education and Research, Bhubaneswar, India
- ⁷⁹ Niels Bohr Institute, University of Copenhagen, Copenhagen, Denmark
- ⁸⁰ Nikhef, National Institute for Subatomic Physics, Amsterdam, Netherlands
- ⁸¹ Nuclear Physics Group, STFC Daresbury Laboratory, Daresbury, United Kingdom
- ⁸² Nuclear Physics Institute, Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic, Řež u Prahy, Czech Republic
- ⁸³ Oak Ridge National Laboratory, Oak Ridge, TN, United States
- ⁸⁴ Petersburg Nuclear Physics Institute, Gatchina, Russia
- ⁸⁵ Physics Department, Creighton University, Omaha, NE, United States
- ⁸⁶ Physics Department, Panjab University, Chandigarh, India
- ⁸⁷ Physics Department, University of Athens, Athens, Greece
- ⁸⁸ Physics Department, University of Cape Town, Cape Town, South Africa
- ⁸⁹ Physics Department, University of Jammu, Jammu, India
- ⁹⁰ Physics Department, University of Rajasthan, Jaipur, India
- ⁹¹ Physik Department, Technische Universität München, Munich, Germany
- ⁹² Physikalisches Institut, Ruprecht-Karls-Universität Heidelberg, Heidelberg, Germany
- ⁹³ Politecnico di Torino, Turin, Italy
- ⁹⁴ Purdue University, West Lafayette, IN, United States
- ⁹⁵ Pusan National University, Pusan, South Korea
- ⁹⁶ Research Division and ExtreMe Matter Institute EMMI, GSI Helmholtzzentrum für Schwerionenforschung, Darmstadt, Germany
- ⁹⁷ Rudjer Bošković Institute, Zagreb, Croatia
- ⁹⁸ Russian Federal Nuclear Center (VNIIEF), Sarov, Russia
- ⁹⁹ Russian Research Centre Kurchatov Institute, Moscow, Russia
- ¹⁰⁰ Saha Institute of Nuclear Physics, Kolkata, India
- ¹⁰¹ School of Physics and Astronomy, University of Birmingham, Birmingham, United Kingdom
- ¹⁰² Sección Física, Departamento de Ciencias, Pontificia Universidad Católica del Perú, Lima, Peru
- ¹⁰³ Sezione INFN, Bari, Italy
- ¹⁰⁴ Sezione INFN, Bologna, Italy
- ¹⁰⁵ Sezione INFN, Cagliari, Italy
- ¹⁰⁶ Sezione INFN, Catania, Italy
- ¹⁰⁷ Sezione INFN, Padova, Italy
- ¹⁰⁸ Sezione INFN, Rome, Italy
- ¹⁰⁹ Sezione INFN, Trieste, Italy
- ¹¹⁰ Sezione INFN, Turin, Italy
- ¹¹¹ SSC IHEP of NRC Kurchatov institute, Protvino, Russia
- ¹¹² SUBATECH, Ecole des Mines de Nantes, Université de Nantes, CNRS-IN2P3, Nantes, France
- ¹¹³ Suranaree University of Technology, Nakhon Ratchasima, Thailand
- ¹¹⁴ Technical University of Split FESB, Split, Croatia
- ¹¹⁵ The Henryk Niewodniczanski Institute of Nuclear Physics, Polish Academy of Sciences, Cracow, Poland
- ¹¹⁶ The University of Texas at Austin, Physics Department, Austin, TX, United States
- ¹¹⁷ Universidad Autónoma de Sinaloa, Culiacán, Mexico
- ¹¹⁸ Universidade de São Paulo (USP), São Paulo, Brazil
- ¹¹⁹ Universidade Estadual de Campinas (UNICAMP), Campinas, Brazil
- ¹²⁰ University of Houston, Houston, TX, United States
- ¹²¹ University of Jyväskylä, Jyväskylä, Finland
- ¹²² University of Liverpool, Liverpool, United Kingdom
- ¹²³ University of Tennessee, Knoxville, TN, United States
- ¹²⁴ University of the Witwatersrand, Johannesburg, South Africa
- ¹²⁵ University of Tokyo, Tokyo, Japan
- ¹²⁶ University of Tsukuba, Tsukuba, Japan
- ¹²⁷ University of Zagreb, Zagreb, Croatia
- ¹²⁸ Université de Lyon, Université Lyon 1, CNRS/IN2P3, IPN-Lyon, Villeurbanne, France
- ¹²⁹ V. Fock Institute for Physics, St. Petersburg State University, St. Petersburg, Russia
- ¹³⁰ Variable Energy Cyclotron Centre, Kolkata, India
- ¹³¹ Vinča Institute of Nuclear Sciences, Belgrade, Serbia
- ¹³² Warsaw University of Technology, Warsaw, Poland
- ¹³³ Wayne State University, Detroit, MI, United States
- ¹³⁴ Wigner Research Centre for Physics, Hungarian Academy of Sciences, Budapest, Hungary
- ¹³⁵ Yale University, New Haven, CT, United States
- ¹³⁶ Yonsei University, Seoul, South Korea
- ¹³⁷ Zentrum für Technologietransfer und Telekommunikation (ZTT), Fachhochschule Worms, Worms, Germany

ⁱ Deceased.

ⁱⁱ Also at: University of Kansas, Lawrence, KS, United States.