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Synopsis

Background

An integral part of the research conducted at the Sasol Advanced Fuels Laboratory involves the characterisation of fuel combustion properties such as autoignition behaviour. To facilitate autoignition research, the laboratory was equipped with a rapid compression machine capable of measuring ignition delays ranging from 1 to 50 ms at test temperatures of up to 1000 K. However, the need to access higher test temperatures and shorter ignition delays led to the requirement for a shock tube apparatus.

Conventionally, a shock tube, which is a conceptually simple device, relies on the sudden rupture of a diaphragm separating a chamber of high pressure (driver) gas from a chamber of low pressure (driven) gas to generate the shock wave. Although the device’s most direct application is as a test facility for studying the shock wave phenomenon, it has become an indispensable experimental tool in the field of high temperature chemical kinetics and autoignition research. When applied to this field, the shock wave is utilised to transform the test gas almost instantaneously to a high temperature state which can be well over 1000 K.

Objectives

The Sasol Advanced Fuels Laboratory undertook to develop a shock tube facility suitable for conducting autoignition research at test conditions beyond the capability of the rapid compression machine. It was specified that the facility should be capable of accessing test temperatures in the range 1000 to 1500 K for a test duration of at least 1 ms. Given the repetitive nature of the experimentation, it was required that the facility be capable of performing multiple tests in rapid succession under automated computer control. Due to the scale of the undertaking, its development was split into two phases. The scope of the present project covered the initial phase of the development, which
included the design, manufacture, installation, instrumentation and commissioning of the basic shock tube. The second phase of the development would involve the addition of automation, thermal control and fuel preparation, supply and purging systems to the facility. Although the development of these systems was beyond the scope of this project, provision for their incorporation was to be considered in the design. It was specified that the basic shock tube was to be commissioned by demonstrating its ability to establish appropriate test conditions with a high degree of repeatability.

Test facility design

A novel, diaphragmless type of shock tube was developed. A specially designed, pneumatically operated rapid opening sleeve valve replaced the function of the diaphragm. The valve was a significantly modified version of the two-stage, piston actuated concept upon which several existing diaphragmless installations are based. The primary reason for adopting a diaphragmless configuration was to facilitate the automation of the apparatus. For convenience, the shock tube was designed to operate using compressed air as the driver gas. A high pressure reservoir, consisting of a bank of gas bottles connected in parallel with a SCUBA compressor, was designed and installed to provide the supply of compressed air. To enable the test conditions established in the shock tube to be determined, it was instrumented with a sequence of high frequency, charge output piezoelectric pressure transducers which were set up to record the propagation of the shock wave. Note that in accordance with The University of Cape Town’s strict health and safety policy, all aspects of the facility were designed with safety considerations as a requisite priority.

Commissioning

A commissioning programme was undertaken to make the basic shock tube fully operational, investigate its performance and make refinements to improve its performance. The initial tests were conducted at relatively low driver pressures to verify correct, safe operation of the apparatus. Once this had been established, the apparatus was tested at gradually increasing driver pressures all the way up to the maximum rated driver pressure.

By the end of the commissioning and refinement process, the shock tube functioned reliably and consistently over the entire operating range. Starting with both the driver and driven gases at ambient temperature and the driven gas at atmospheric pressure,
it was capable of accessing a maximum test temperature of $973K$ with a repeatability of $\pm 25K$. The test duration at these conditions was $1.3ms$. It was shown that, by incorporating initial pre-heating and driven gas rarefaction in the second phase of the development, a maximum test temperature of over $1500K$ would potentially be attainable.

**Concluding remarks**

As required by the scope of this project, a potentially automatable shock tube suitable for use as a high temperature autoignition research apparatus was successfully designed, installed and commissioned. The apparatus was capable of accessing useful test conditions with a high degree of repeatability.

In this application the specially developed rapid opening sleeve valve represented a significant improvement to existing diaphragmless shock tube technology. Its design enabled extremely short opening durations, in the region of $0.5ms$, to be attained and also featured a streamlined flow path between the driver and driven sections. Control of the valve operation could easily be automated and, compared to conventional diaphragmed shock tubes, the test turn around time was reduced by the period required to replace a diaphragm.

The following recommendations are made regarding the implementation of the second phase of the development of the facility:

- Incorporate an air-fuel mixture preparation and supply system with the shock tube. The system must ensure accurate air-fuel ratio metering and complete, homogeneous vaporisation of the mixture. It must also perform the function of purging the combusted test gas after each test. Consider integrating the fuel system with a vacuum system to rarefy the driven gas, as this will enable test temperatures in excess of $1500K$ to be accessed.

- Incorporate a system for controlling the initial driver and driven gas temperature. The system must be capable of uniformly raising the gas temperature to $410K$, and should make use of the water cooling jacket which was purposely designed to ensure thermal isolation of the rapid opening sleeve valve.

- Use computer control to automate the test sequence in order to convenience the repetitive nature of fuel characterisation experimentation.
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**Symbols**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Symbol</th>
<th>Meaning</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$a$</td>
<td>sound speed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$A$</td>
<td>flange outside diameter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$B$</td>
<td>flange inside diameter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$c$</td>
<td>weld leg size</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$C$</td>
<td>bolt circle diameter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$C_v$</td>
<td>specific heat at constant volume</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$D$</td>
<td>flange raised face diameter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$f$</td>
<td>frequency</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$G$</td>
<td>o-ring mean diameter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$h$</td>
<td>specific enthalpy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$L$</td>
<td>length</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$L_d$</td>
<td>driven tube length</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$L_D$</td>
<td>driver tube length</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$m$</td>
<td>molecular weight, mass</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$M$</td>
<td>Mach number</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$M_r$</td>
<td>reflected shock Mach number</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$M_s$</td>
<td>incident shock Mach number</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$n$</td>
<td>bolt load factor, resonance node</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$n_f$</td>
<td>safety factor against fatigue failure</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$n_o$</td>
<td>safety factor against joint separation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$p$</td>
<td>pressure</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$Q_{HV}$</td>
<td>fuel heating value</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$R$</td>
<td>gas constant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$S_f$</td>
<td>maximum allowable material stress</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$S_H$</td>
<td>flange hub stress</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$S_R$</td>
<td>flange radial stress</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$S_T$</td>
<td>flange tangential stress</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$T$</td>
<td>temperature</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$t$</td>
<td>time, flange thickness</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$v$</td>
<td>absolute velocity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$v_c$</td>
<td>contact surface velocity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$v_h$</td>
<td>expansion head velocity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$v_r$</td>
<td>reflected shock velocity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$v_s$</td>
<td>incident shock velocity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$v_w$</td>
<td>shock wave velocity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$x$</td>
<td>distance</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\gamma$</td>
<td>specific heat ratio</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\Delta t$</td>
<td>test duration</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\Delta T_c$</td>
<td>combustion temperature rise</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\rho$</td>
<td>density</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\phi$</td>
<td>fuel/air equivalence ratio</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Subscripts**

- $1$ initial driven state
- $2$ behind incident shock
- $3$ behind expansion wave
- $4$ initial driver state
- $5$ behind reflected shock
- $a$ air
- $f$ fuel, final
- $i$ initial
1 Introduction

1.1 Background

The Sasol Advanced Fuels Laboratory (SAFL), based at the University of Cape Town, was established to research the application of synthetic fuels to combustion engine technologies, with the intent to identify potential for the future growth of Sasol. An integral part of this research involves the characterisation of fuel combustion properties such as autoignition behaviour. Autoignition is the process whereby a combustible substance spontaneously ignites due to its thermal energy - there is no external source of ignition such as a spark or a flame. The time taken for a substance to autoignite at a given thermodynamic state is termed the ignition delay. Autoignition is relevant to a wide range of fuel applications, including compression ignition, spark ignition and jet turbine engines.

To facilitate autoignition research, the Laboratory was equipped with a rapid compression machine (RCM). The principle of RCM operation is as follows: a piston rapidly performs a single compression stroke and is held stationary at the top dead center (TDC) position, allowing the ignition delay of the compression heated substance to be measured. The Laboratory’s RCM was capable of measuring ignition delays ranging from 1 to 50 ms at test temperatures of up to 1000 K. However, the need to access higher test temperatures and shorter ignition delays led to the requirement for a shock tube apparatus.

Conventionally, a shock tube, which is a conceptually simple device, relies on the sudden rupture of a diaphragm separating a chamber of high pressure gas from a chamber of low pressure gas to generate the shock wave. Although the shock tube’s most direct application is as a test facility for studying the shock wave phenomenon, it has become an indispensable experimental tool in the field of high temperature chemical kinetics and autoignition research. When applied to this field, the shock wave is utilised to transform the test gas - in this case a mixture of fuel and air - almost instantaneously to
a high temperature state which can be well over 1000K. At such temperatures, ignition delays are generally below about 2ms.

1.2 Project scope

The Laboratory undertook to develop a complete shock tube facility suitable for conducting autoignition research at temperatures beyond the capability of the rapid compression machine. Given the repetitive nature of the experimentation, it was required that the facility be capable of performing multiple tests in rapid succession under automated computer control. Due to the scale of the undertaking, its development was split into two phases. The scope of the present project covered the initial phase of the development, which included the design, manufacture, installation, instrumentation and commissioning of the basic shock tube. The second phase of the development would involve the addition of automation, thermal control and fuel mixture preparation, supply and purging systems to the facility. Although the development of these systems was beyond the scope of this project, provision for their incorporation was to be considered in the design. Performing actual fuel characterisation experiments was not a requirement of the present project; it was specified that the basic shock tube was to be commissioned by demonstrating its ability to establish appropriate test conditions with a high degree of repeatability. As there was no existing expertise or experience in shock tube technology at the University of Cape Town, a preliminary objective was to develop a sound knowledge base from which to approach the design by researching the topic and studying the underlying shock wave theory.

1.3 Plan of development

The report begins with a review of the literature on shock tube techniques, followed by a theoretical overview of the method. Then, to clarify the design problem, the specifications to be met by the proposed shock tube are identified, and the factors which affect its ability to comply with them are investigated. The installed facility is then introduced, and details of its features, operation and safety considerations are given. The results of the commissioning and testing programme are then presented and discussed against the context of required performance and theoretical behaviour. Finally, conclusions are drawn based on the test results, and recommendations for further development of the facility are made.

Note that throughout the report, stated pressures are absolute.
2 Literature review

2.1 Development of the shock tube

The shock tube is a well described, conceptually simple apparatus for creating shock waves in a controlled environment. The first published work relating to such a device was authored by the Frenchman Paul Vieille in 1899 [1]. His apparatus consisted of a cylindrical tube more than 6m long, partitioned into two sections by a thin membrane made of collodion. The shock wave was created by increasing the air pressure on one side of the membrane until it burst. This fundamental concept remains the basis for shock tube operation.

In subsequent years, the theoretical analysis of the flow processes occurring in a shock tube was developed and refined by combining the work of a number of independent researchers. According to Glass and Hall [2], at around the time of the second world war, G.I. Taylor [3], G.N. Patterson [4] and A.H. Taub [5] independently deduced the principle shock tube equation which relates the initial pressure ratio to the pressure jump across the shock wave. For a detailed description of both shock tube theory and technology, the Handbook of Shock Waves is recommended [6].

2.2 Description of a conventional shock tube

This section is intended to give an overview of the components and working of a basic shock tube setup. Although there is no unique design, in general the apparatus consists of the following elements:

- a high pressure tube, known as the driver tube
- a low pressure tube, known as the driven tube
- a membrane, which is normally a thin metallic disk, known as the diaphragm.
In the most simple case, both tubes are blanked off at one end and have the same constant, circular cross section. They are aligned axially and joined together at their open ends - with the diaphragm forming a seal between them - as shown in Figure 2.1.

The shock tube operates as follows: the driver and driven sections are filled with gas, the type of which depends on the application of the apparatus. The gas pressure in the driver section is increased until the diaphragm bursts. This generates a shock wave, which propagates rightwards into the driven gas, causing a step jump in its thermodynamic state. When the shock wave encounters the endwall of the driven tube, it reflects off it and propagates leftwards, back through the tube, causing another step jump in the state of the driven gas. Figure 2.1 shows the propagation of the various waves created in a shock tube. It also illustrates the pressure and temperature profiles of the gas within the shock tube at a time $t \rightarrow t_\infty$ after rupture of the diaphragm.

Figure 2.1: Wave propagation and thermodynamic profile in a shock tube (modified from [7])
As illustrated, eventually the reflected shock wave collides with the interface between the driver and driven gases, termed the contact surface, which had been traveling rightwards from the location of the diaphragm at a velocity less than that of the initial shock wave. This collision results in the partial reflection of the shock wave, and thus usually signifies the end of an experiment.

It can also be seen that from the instant of diaphragm rupture, as the shock wave propagates into the driven gas, the high pressure driver gas is being processed by a series of expansion waves, known as the expansion fan, which propagate from the location of the diaphragm, back into the driver gas. The expansion process is isentropic.

Note that the wave fronts shown in Figure 2.1 distinguish the different thermodynamic regions established within the shock tube: region (1) contains driven gas at the initial conditions, region (2) contains shocked driven gas, region (3) contains isentropically expanded driver gas, region (4) contains high pressure driver gas at the initial conditions and region (5) contains driven gas which has been re-shocked by the reflected shock wave. Knowing only the initial conditions in the shock tube, shock wave theory can be used to predict the conditions in each of these regions. This ability of a shock tube to establish a number of distinct, theoretically describable regions of high energy flow makes it a suitable instrument for a diverse range of applications.

Comment:
Although the use of a bursting diaphragm is a simple way to achieve the near instantaneous removal of the separation between the driver and driven sections necessary to generate a shock wave, the method has several practical disadvantages: a new diaphragm must be used for each test, making the method costly, time consuming and inconvenient to automate. It also results in poor repeatability due to the inconsistent rupture of each diaphragm, even when techniques such as cross scratching are employed (see Figure 2.2). Fragments of the burst diaphragm have been known to impact and damage the expensive pressure transducers mounted along the driven tube [8], and can potentially contaminate the test gas. Additionally, when fragmentation occurs it is necessary to at least partially dismantle the shock tube after the test in order to remove the debris. In attempts to overcome these issues, various ‘diaphragmless’ shock tube configurations have been developed - see Section 2.5.
Since its invention about a hundred years ago, the shock tube has found use in a broad spectrum of research areas. In fact, its use is so extensive that only some of the more common applications are discussed here. A thorough record of shock tube related research is detailed in the various Proceedings of the Shock Tube Symposium.

As the shock tube is principally a simple device for creating a shock wave in a laboratory environment, its most direct application is as a tool for investigating the shock wave phenomenon. From a gas dynamics perspective, topics studied include shock wave reflection, focusing, bifurcation and transitions through the shock front. From a mechanical design point of view, it has been used to study the shock loading response of structures and materials (see, for example, [10]).

One of the first applications of the shock tube in the U.S.A. was as a device for calibrating piezoelectric blast pressure gauges [5]. Another use is as an aerodynamic test facility capable of producing flows in the subsonic, transonic, supersonic and hypersonic regions, provided that only short flow durations are required [11]. Commercially, an explosives detonator similar in nature to a shock tube is replacing electrical detonators in the mining industry for safety reasons [12].

An important utility of the shock tube at present is as an experimental tool in the field of chemical kinetics research. In this application, it is the ability of a shock tube to almost instantly alter the state of a test gas from an initial, low energy and unreactive condition, to a comparatively high energy condition at which the chemical reactions of interest are triggered, that makes it so useful. This jump in conditions is achieved across the shock wave. The near instant change in state is required to ensure that any chemical events which occur during the transition do not contribute significantly to the overall reaction.

Figure 2.2: Bursting of a cross scratched diaphragm [9]
progress. The categories of chemical kinetics where shock tubes have found use are numerous and include reaction rate chemistry, combustion, dissociation and pyrolysis. A review of these experimental methods is given in [13].

2.4 The shock tube for autoignition research

In the combustion branch of chemical kinetics research, autoignition is an important topic. Autoignition is the process whereby a combustible substance spontaneously ignites due to its thermal energy - there is no external source of ignition such as a spark or a flame. It is a topic that is particularly relevant to combustion engine technology. For example, compression ignition (diesel) engines rely on autoignition to ignite the fuel, unlike spark ignition (gasoline) engines, where autoignition can cause the undesirable phenomenon of ‘engine knock’. Additionally, autoignition is the principle which underpins flame propagation and is therefore highly relevant to jet turbine engines. The time taken for a substance to autoignite at a given thermodynamic state is termed the ignition delay. In general, the ignition delay decreases with increasing temperature. For a more detailed description of autoignition, see [14].

The aim of this project was to design and build a shock tube for investigating the high temperature autoignition of combustion engine fuels. More specifically the apparatus was intended for characterising fuels by measuring their ignition delay at high temperatures, above 1000K. For a review of the operation of a shock tube in this mode, see [15]. The basic principle of operation is as follows: as was shown in Section 2.2, the incident shock wave causes a sudden jump in the temperature of the driven gas. The reflected shock wave then causes a further, even greater temperature jump, effectively leaving in its wake a uniform region of stationary, high temperature driven gas referred to as region (5). If a gaseous mixture of fuel and air is used as the driven gas, the initial conditions in the shock tube can be chosen such that the high temperature regime within region (5) triggers the autoignition of the fuel air mixture, whilst the relatively moderate temperature behind the incident shock is below the autoignition threshold.

The ignition delay can be measured by using a fast response pressure transducer to record the pressure history of region (5), as shown in Figure 2.3. Note that this pressure transducer is usually mounted in the endwall of the driven tube, where the shock reflects, as the parcel of gas at that location is the first to be elevated to region (5) conditions and hence will autoignite first. The test conditions can be determined using one dimensional shock wave theory, as shown in Section 3.6.
The major advantage of using a shock tube for autoignition research is that it offers a simple way of almost instantly preparing a test gas to a very high temperature, quiescent state. The rapidity of the temperature rise, which can be well over 1000K in magnitude, is required so that its duration does not contribute significantly to the ignition delay period. The main drawback is that the test conditions can only be maintained for a very short duration - from the instant the shock reflects to the instant it collides with the contact surface, shown as $A_1$ in Figure 2.1. (If only the gas immediately next to the endwall is of interest the test duration is slightly longer - it lasts until reflections off the contact surface collide with the endwall.) Although the test duration increases with driven tube length, so do the frictional flow losses. Therefore, extremely long tubes are inefficient and cause a severe reduction in shock strength. Typically, existing autoignition facilities have a driven tube length in the range of 5 to 10m, and are capable of measuring ignition delays no longer than a few milliseconds (see, for example, [16]).

Another practical consideration is that, due to the sudden impact of the shock wave against the endwall, mechanical vibration noise is superimposed on the recorded pressure trace. Techniques such as coupling the driven tube to a large, vibration damping inertial mass have been employed to minimise the severity of the noise (see, for example, [17]).
2.5 Diaphragmless shock tubes

As an alternative to the conventional bursting diaphragm method, various ‘diaphragmless’ shock tube configurations have been developed whereby the diaphragm is replaced with a quick action valve. Such a configuration is advantageous when highly repeatable test conditions and/or automation are required, as in the present case. As discussed in [18], the longer the diaphragm opening duration the longer the distance required for the shock to attain its peak velocity, hence the primary difficulty with the diaphragmless approach is achieving a sufficiently rapid valve opening time to generate a well formed shock wave in a reasonable tube length.

For a review of some alternative diaphragmless shock tube designs, see [19], however, most are similar in nature to the two-stage, piston actuated concept developed by Oguehi et al [20]. A derivative of this concept is shown schematically in Figure 2.4. Note that the driver and driven tubes are arranged in an annular configuration instead of the conventional series arrangement. The valve function is achieved by the motion of two pneumatically operated sliding pistons: the main piston, which replaces the diaphragm at the interface between the tubes, and the auxiliary piston, which operates the outlet ports of the actuating chamber.

![Figure 2.4: The two-stage, piston actuated diaphragmless shock tube concept](image-url)
With reference to Figure 2.4, the device functions as follows: a backpressure is applied to the auxiliary piston, causing it to slide forward and block the outlet of the actuating chamber. Compressed gas flows through a small orifice in the auxiliary piston, pressurising the actuating chamber and causing the main piston to move forward and block the flow path between the driver and driven tubes. Once the tubes have been charged to the desired conditions, the shock tube is triggered by releasing the backpressure behind the auxiliary piston. The compressed gas in the actuating chamber causes the auxiliary piston to slide backwards and quickly uncover the large outlet ports, out of which the gas rapidly escapes. When the pressure in the actuating chamber drops below a threshold level, the high pressure driver gas propels the main piston backward, opening the flow path between the driver and driven tubes. If the main piston opens sufficiently quickly, a shock wave is generated. Note that the sole purpose of the auxiliary piston is to ensure the rapid evacuation of the actuating chamber so as to maximise the opening acceleration of the main piston.

**Comment:**
The positioning of the valve at the end of the shock tube enhances its accessibility and servicibility and facilitates its thermal isolation from the potentially heated shock tube. However, the concept does not attempt to streamline the flow path, and thereby minimise the flow losses, where the driver gas is redirected around the 180° bend. Furthermore, the fact that the main piston begins the opening process from a stationary start is not optimal, as a reduction in opening time would be possible if the piston was already moving at speed as the opening process was begun.
3 Theoretical approach to shock tube flow

3.1 Introduction

The underlying theory upon which shock tube operation is based is described here. To lay a foundation upon which to build the theory, a fundamental understanding of the shock wave is first discussed. Then, a theoretical description of the gas flow in a shock tube is developed, and the principles for determining the test conditions in an autoignition shock tube are covered more specifically. In general, the approach to the theory follows that of the Handbook of Shock Waves [6].

3.2 A fundamental understanding of a shock wave

In a gaseous substance, a shock wave is a jump discontinuity in the state of the gas. In other words, it is a very thin region, of the order of a few mean free paths of the molecules involved, across which there is a sudden change in the state of an otherwise continuous gas. A change in state implies a change in energy, and indeed a shock wave is essentially the means by which a sudden energy release is distributed. The jump discontinuity associated with a shock wave is a result of the fact that a shock wave always travels faster than the speed of sound in the gas into which it is moving. This means that the unshocked gas has no way of anticipating the approach of the shock wave, and is therefore unable to react gradually to any changes caused by the shock to the gas in its wake. Thus the change in the gas’ state, from unshocked to shocked, occurs in a sudden jump across the shock wave.

It is also insightful to note that the flow behind the shock wave is subsonic relative to the shock wave. If this was not the case, energy would be unable to propagate up to the shock wave to sustain it.
3.3 Flow across a planar, one-dimensional shock wave

To facilitate the analysis of the flow within a shock tube, a mathematical description of the flow across a shock wave is developed here. Consider the simplest case of a planar shock wave, normal to a uni-directional, inviscid gas flow, as shown in Figure 3.1. Ideally, this is the type of shock wave generated within a shock tube. According to the chosen coordinate system, the shock wave is traveling at an absolute velocity \( v_w \) in the positive \( x \) direction. Analyzing the flow relative to an observer moving along with the shock wave, and noting that the gas flow is also in the \( x \) direction, the case can be treated as simple one-dimensional steady state flow, where properties of the gas vary only in the \( x \) direction. The passage of the shock wave causes a jump in the absolute velocity, density, pressure and temperature of the gas from \( v_1, \rho_1, p_1 \) and \( T_1 \) to \( v_2, \rho_2, p_2 \) and \( T_2 \) respectively.

![Figure 3.1: Planar shock wave normal to the direction of flow (modified from [21])](image-url)
The relations governing the flow across the moving shock wave are derived by applying the steady state, one-dimensional versions of the fundamental laws of conservation of mass, momentum and energy:

**Conservation of mass**

\[
\rho_1 (v_w - v_1) = \rho_2 (v_w - v_2) \tag{3.1}
\]

**Conservation of momentum**

\[
\rho_1 (v_w - v_1)^2 + p_1 = \rho_2 (v_w - v_2)^2 + p_2 \tag{3.2}
\]

**Conservation of energy**

\[
h_1 + \frac{(v_w - v_1)^2}{2} = h_2 + \frac{(v_w - v_2)^2}{2} \tag{3.3}
\]

where \( h \) is the specific enthalpy of the flowing gas, and heat transfer has been assumed to be negligible. To obtain a solvable system of equations, an equation of state is needed. Assuming the gas is ideal and calorifically perfect, then

\[
p = \rho RT \quad \text{and} \quad h = \frac{\gamma RT}{\gamma - 1} \tag{3.4}
\]

where \( R \) is the gas constant and \( \gamma \) is the constant ratio of specific heats and both are properties of the gas. At this stage it is convenient to define two terms, namely the speed of sound in a gas and the Mach number, which will be useful in simplifying the subsequent analysis. The speed of sound in a gas, denoted by \( a \), represents the speed at which small pressure disturbances travel in that gas and is given by

\[
a = \sqrt{\gamma RT} \tag{3.5}
\]

The Mach number of a shock wave, denoted by \( M \), is defined as the ratio of the speed of the shock wave (relative to the unshocked gas into which it is moving) to the speed of sound in the unshocked gas. The Mach number gives an indication of the severity of the shock wave; the greater the Mach number the 'stronger' the shock wave. \( M \) is calculated as follows:

\[
M = \frac{v_w - v_1}{a_1} \tag{3.6}
\]
Equations 3.1 to 3.6 can be combined to yield the relations which describe the steady state, one-dimensional flow across a moving shock in terms of its Mach number [21]:

\[
\frac{\rho_2}{\rho_1} = \frac{(\gamma_1 + 1)M^2}{2 + (\gamma_1 - 1)M^2} \\

v_2 - v_1 = \frac{2a_1}{\gamma_1 + 1} \left( M - \frac{1}{M} \right) \\

\frac{p_2}{p_1} = 1 + \frac{2\gamma_1}{\gamma_1 + 1}(M^2 - 1) \\

\frac{T_2}{T_1} = 1 + \frac{2(\gamma_1 - 1)(\gamma_1 M^2 + 1)}{(\gamma_1 + 1)^2 M^2}(M^2 - 1)
\]

### 3.4 Ideal analysis of shock tube flow

Consider Section 2.2, in which the operation of a conventional shock tube was described. Figure 2.1 illustrated the various regions established within the shock tube at a time \(t = t_x\) after the rupture of the diaphragm. According to the diagram, regions (1) and (4) were at the initial conditions of the driven and driver tubes respectively. If these conditions are known, the flow subsequent to diaphragm rupture can be predicted. In other words, the boundaries and states of regions (2), (3), (5) can be determined with respect to time. The theory of ideal shock tube flow is presented below. Note that throughout the analysis, the properties of region (1) are denoted by the subscript 1 and so on. In developing the theory, the following assumptions were made:

1. The flow is inviscid and one-dimensional, and there is no heat transfer.
2. The diaphragm rupture is instantaneous.
3. All gases are ideal and have constant specific heats.

#### 3.4.1 Change in conditions across the incident shock wave

The incident shock wave defines the boundary between regions (1) and (2). It can be shown that the Mach number of the incident shock wave, denoted by \(M_s\), is a function of the initial gas properties and pressure ratio across the diaphragm [22]:

\[
\frac{p_4}{p_1} = \left[1 + \frac{2\gamma_1}{\gamma_1 + 1}(M_s^2 - 1)\right] \left[\frac{1}{1 - \frac{\gamma_1 - 1}{\gamma_1 + 1}a_1 \left(M_s - \frac{1}{M_s}\right)}\right]^{\frac{2\gamma_1}{\gamma_1 - 1}}
\]
The state of region (2) can easily be determined by substituting $M_s$ for $M$ in equations 3.7 to 3.10, which describe the flow across a shock wave. Note that initially the driven and driver gases are stationary, therefore $v_1 = v_4 = 0$.

\[
\frac{\rho_2}{\rho_1} = \frac{(\gamma_1 + 1)M_s^2}{2 + (\gamma_1 - 1)M_s^2} \quad (3.12)
\]

\[
v_2 = \frac{2a_1}{\gamma_1 + 1} \left( M_s - \frac{1}{M_s} \right) \quad (3.13)
\]

\[
\frac{p_2}{p_1} = 1 + \frac{2\gamma_1}{\gamma_1 + 1} (M_s^2 - 1) \quad (3.14)
\]

\[
\frac{T_2}{T_1} = 1 + \frac{2(\gamma_1 - 1)(\gamma_1 M_s^2 + 1)}{\gamma_1 + 1} M_s^2 (M_s^2 - 1) \quad (3.15)
\]

### 3.4.2 Change in conditions across the contact surface

The contact surface defines the boundary between regions (2) and (3), and represents the interface between the driven and driver tube gases. For continuity, the velocity of the contact surface, $v_c$, is equal to the velocity of the gas in region (2). The density and temperature may vary across the contact surface, however the pressures and velocities immediately adjacent to it must be equal:

\[
v_c = v_2 \quad (3.16)
\]

\[
p_3 = p_2 \quad (3.17)
\]

\[
v_3 = v_2 \quad (3.18)
\]

### 3.4.3 Change in conditions across the expansion fan

The expansion fan defines the boundary between regions (3) and (4). It consists of a series of expansion waves which isentropically expand the driver gas from the initial pressure of the driver gas to the pressure of the shocked gas. The leading expansion wave in the fan is called the expansion head, and it travels back into the driver tube at a velocity $v_h$, equal to the speed of sound in region (4):

\[
v_h = -a_4 \quad (3.19)
\]
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As the expansion process is isentropic, the temperature of region (3) can be determined as follows:

\[ \frac{T_3}{T_4} = \left( \frac{p_3}{p_4} \right)^{\frac{\gamma - 1}{\gamma}} \]  \hspace{1cm} (3.20)

Knowing the temperature and pressure of region (3), the density can be calculated from the ideal gas law (see equation 3.4).

3.4.4 Change in conditions across the reflected shock wave

As described in Section 2.2, when the incident shock wave reaches the endwall of the driven tube, it is reflected and propagates back up the tube, effectively re-shocking the gas through which it passes. In Figure 2.1, region (5) consists of the re-shocked driven tube gas. The reflected shock wave defines the boundary between regions (5) and (2). It can be shown that its Mach number, denoted by \( M_r \), is a function of the Mach number of the incident shock wave [23]:

\[ M_r = \left[ \frac{\gamma_s M_s^2 - \frac{\gamma - 1}{2} \frac{1}{M_r^2}}{1 + \frac{\gamma - 1}{2} M_s^2} \right]^{\frac{1}{2}} \]  \hspace{1cm} (3.21)

The conditions in region (5) can be determined by applying equations 3.7 to 3.10 across the reflected shock wave:

\[ \frac{\rho_5}{\rho_2} = \frac{(\gamma_1 + 1)M_r^2}{2 + (\gamma_1 - 1)M_r^2} \]  \hspace{1cm} (3.22)

\[ v_5 = 0 \]  \hspace{1cm} (3.23)

\[ \frac{p_5}{p_2} = 1 + \frac{2\gamma_1}{\gamma_1 + 1} (M_r^2 - 1) \]  \hspace{1cm} (3.24)

\[ \frac{T_5}{T_2} = 1 + \frac{2(\gamma_1 - 1) (\gamma_1 M_r^2 + 1)}{(\gamma_1 + 1)^2 \frac{M_r^2}{M_s^2}} (M_r^2 - 1) \]  \hspace{1cm} (3.25)
3.4.5 Subsequent processes in the shock tube

When the reflected shock wave collides with the contact surface it is partially reflected. The interaction is complex and the thermodynamic profile within the shock tube is no longer straightforward to analyse, hence this is normally regarded as the instant beyond which the shock tube ceases to maintain useful flow. After this event, the conditions within the shock tube gradually equalise to stagnation values.

However, it should be noted that the initial conditions within the shock tube may be ‘tailored’ such that the reflected shock wave is completely transmitted through the contact surface such that it leaves the contact surface stationary at the point of collision. This enables the conditions in region (5) to be maintained until the arrival of the reflected expansion fan. A method for determining the tailored condition is described in [24]. Assuming that the same gas is used in the driver and driven sections, tailored operation is obtained when the initial conditions are tuned such that the speed of sound either side of the contact surface is equal:

\[ a_3 = a_2 \]  

Note that within a given range of initial conditions tailoring is not necessarily attainable.

3.5 Non-idealities in real shock tube flow

In reality, all of the assumptions listed in the ideal analysis of shock tube flow above are violated to some degree. As real gases are not inviscid, a boundary layer develops behind the shock wave. This viscous boundary layer results in attenuation of the shock wave, which is seen as a reduction in the shock Mach number with axial distance along the driven tube [25]. The attenuation due to the boundary layer becomes more significant with an increase in shock Mach number, an increase in wall surface roughness and a decrease in driven tube diameter [26].

It has also been shown that an increase in diaphragm rupture time causes an increase in shock formation length. This means that the shock attains its peak velocity at a location further downstream from the diaphragm [27].

Furthermore, real gases have temperature dependent specific heats and can undergo chemical reactions such as dissociation and ionization in the region of elevated temperature behind a shock [28]. All of the above non-idealities result in a reduced shock Mach number compared to the ideal theory prediction. Alpher and White [29]
have shown that the loss in shock strength can be partially offset by using a variable cross section shock tube, whereby the driver tube has a greater cross sectional flow area than the driven tube. As the area ratio approaches infinity, the Mach number may be increased by a factor of up to 1.1 as compared to the constant area case.

For a given initial diaphragm pressure ratio, a measure of the overall efficiency of a particular shock tube is obtained by comparing the actual Mach number with the ideal value as predicted by equation 3.11. Such a comparison is given in Figure 3.2. The shock tube to which it applies was a variation of the piston actuated, diaphragmless type. The driven tube had a diameter of 56mm, and the driver to driven area ratio was 1.65. Both the driver and driven gases were air at room temperature. For more details of the apparatus, see [19]. Figure 3.2 clearly illustrates that the deviation from ideal theory increases with an increase in shock Mach number [39].

![Shock Mach number vs. p/p0](image)

**Figure 3.2:** Efficiency of a diaphragmless, piston actuated shock tube (adapted from [19])

### 3.6 Determining the test conditions in an autoignition shock tube

The test conditions, namely the temperature and pressure of region (5), at which a particular ignition delay experiment is to be conducted, are a function of the Mach number of the incident shock wave. If the initial conditions within the shock tube
are known, equation 3.11 can be used to estimate the Mach number, however, due to
the non-idealities discussed in Section 3.5, the actual Mach number may be somewhat
different.

To ensure accurate determination of the test conditions, the Mach number must be
measured. In practice, this can be done by recording the passage of the shock using
a series of two or more pressure transducers near the end of the driven tube. Knowing
the distance between the transducers and the time interval between the arrival of the
shock at each sensors, the velocity and hence Mach number of the shock wave can be
calculated.

A comprehensive review describing the effects of non-idealities on the test conditions is
given in [26]. However, it can be shown that by manipulating the equations presented in
Section 3.4, one can obtain, with reasonable accuracy, the conditions in region (5) as a
function of the measured Mach number and the initial conditions of the driven gas [31]:

\[
\frac{p_5}{p_1} = \left[ \frac{2\gamma_1 M_s^2 - (\gamma_1 - 1)}{\gamma_1 + 1} \right] \left[ \frac{-2(\gamma_1 - 1) + M_s^2(3\gamma_1 - 1)}{2 + M_s^2(\gamma_1 - 1)} \right] \tag{3.27}
\]

\[
\frac{T_5}{T_1} = \frac{2(\gamma_1 - 1)M_s^2 + 3 - \gamma_1}{(\gamma_1 + 1)^2 M_s^2} \tag{3.28}
\]

\[
\frac{\rho_5}{\rho_1} = \frac{p_5 T_1}{p_1 T_5} \tag{3.29}
\]
4 Preliminary investigation of the design problem

4.1 Introduction

Despite the conceptual simplicity of a shock tube, its design presented many technical challenges and required several conflicting requirements to be balanced. To clarify the design problem, the desired specifications to be met by the shock tube were identified, and the factors which affect the device’s ability to comply with them were examined.

4.2 Design specifications

The manufacture of the shock tube was undertaken to meet the need for an experimental apparatus capable of characterising the autoignition behavior of fuels at conditions beyond the range of the RCM, which could access a maximum test temperature of 1000K and measure ignition delays down to 1ms. As such, the main criteria to be met by the design were as follows:

1. The apparatus should be capable of accessing test temperatures, i.e $T_s$, in the range 1000 to 1500K.

2. The apparatus should be capable of measuring ignition delays of below and up to 1ms at such temperatures.

3. The apparatus should be compatible with the use of a fuel-air mixture as the test gas. It was anticipated that the apparatus would primarily be used to test gasoline and jet fuel.

4. The design should facilitate the planned future automation of the apparatus to do multiple tests under computer control.
5. The design should make provision for the incorporation of thermal control and fuel mixture supply and purging systems.

6. The apparatus is to be made cost effectively at UCT's engineering workshop. It should therefore be manufactured using readily available materials and standard fitting and turning equipment.

7. The apparatus should produce valid results with good repeatability.

4.3 Problem clarification

The primary step in the design of the shock tube was to determine the initial conditions and functional dimensions necessary to achieve the desired performance specifications listed above. In this way, the specifications were translated into physical constraints which defined the essential features of, and provided a useful starting point for, the detailed design of the apparatus. The secondary step was to consider the challenges associated with the need for an automatable test sequence and good test repeatability. A summary of the findings of the problem clarification process is given in Table 4.1 at the end of this chapter.

4.3.1 Factors affecting test temperature

4.3.1.1 Initial pressure ratio

An increase in the initial driver/driven pressure ratio causes an increase in the Mach number of the shock wave and hence a greater temperature jump in the driven gas - see equations 3.11 and 3.28. For convenience, the driven (or test) gas, which consists of the fuel-air mixture, was constrained to be at approximately atmospheric pressure:

\[ p_1 \approx 1 \text{bar} \]  

(4.1)

As will be shown, a suitable driver pressure, \( p_4 \), can only be determined once the driver gas type has been selected.
4.3.1.2 Initial gas temperatures

Equation 3.28 indicates that an increase in the initial temperature of the driven gas results in an increase in the final test temperature. Additionally, it was desirable to raise the initial temperature of the test gas to promote vaporisation of the fuel. Jet fuel has a higher boiling range than gasoline and must be heated to about $400K$ to ensure it fully evaporates. Practically, design complexity increases with temperature, hence it was decided to limit the temperature of the driven gas to $410K$ - just sufficiently high to vaporise jet fuel:

$$T_1 \leq 410K$$ (4.2)

As will be shown, an increase in the initial driver/driven temperature ratio causes an increase in the Mach number of the shock wave, however, the temperature of the driver gas was also constrained to be below the chosen practical limit of $410K$:

$$T_4 \leq 410K$$ (4.3)

4.3.1.3 Driver gas type

According to equation 3.11, an increase in the driver/driven sound speed ratio, which is given by

$$\frac{a_4}{a_1} = \sqrt{\frac{\gamma_4 m_1 T_4}{\gamma_1 m_4 T_1}}$$ (4.4)

where $m$ is the molecular weight, results in an increase in the Mach number of the shock wave and hence a greater jump in the temperature of the test gas [22]. Thus to produce strong shock waves it is desirable to use a high temperature, low molecular weight driver gas, one example of which is Helium. However, this requires pressurised cylinders of Helium to be bought. A more convenient alternative is to use atmospheric air, supplied directly from a compressor, as the driver gas. Although air has a higher molecular weight than Helium, this can be compensated for by compressing it to a higher pressure. The graph over the page compares Helium and air (assuming constant $\gamma$ values of 1.67 and 1.40 respectively) in terms of the initial driver pressure required to obtain a suitable range of test temperatures. All the other initial conditions are at the limiting values determined above of $p_1 = 1\text{bar}$, $T_1 = 410K$ and $T_4 = 410K$. 
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It was decided to use air as the driver gas as the convenience of having an unlimited, free source of driver gas was deemed highly desirable, despite the fact that the shock tube would have to be designed to sustain higher pressures. From Figure 4.1, it can be seen that a driver pressure of nearly 200 bar is required to obtain test temperatures in the region of 1500 K:

\[ p_t \approx 200 \text{ bar} \quad (4.5) \]

### 4.3.2 Factors affecting test duration

#### 4.3.2.1 Driven tube length

With reference to Figure 2.1 which shows the propagation of the various waves within a shock tube, the length of the driven tube, \( L_d \), required to give a minimum test duration of \( \Delta t \), can be estimated as follows:

\[ L_d = v_d t_1 \quad \text{and} \quad v_c (t_1 - \Delta t) + v_r \Delta t = v_c t_1 \]

\[ \Rightarrow L_d = \frac{\Delta t v_d (v_c + v_r)}{v_c - v_r} \quad (4.6) \]
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where \( v_s \), \( v_r \) and \( v_c \) denote the speed of the incident shock, the reflected shock and the contact surface respectively (calculated as per Chapter 3). For a shock tube operating on air at the previously determined initial conditions of \( p_1 = 1\text{bar}, \ p_4 = 200\text{bar} \) and \( T_1 = T_4 = 410K \), the wave speeds are as follows:

\[
v_s = 1059m/s
\]
\[
v_r = 457m/s
\]
\[
v_c = 753m/s
\]

Substituting these values into equation 4.6, the driven tube must be more than 4.19m long in order to ensure a test duration of at least 1ms:

\[ L_d > 4.19m \quad (4.7) \]

### 4.3.2.2 Driver tube length

The driver tube must be sufficiently long so that the reflected expansion fan does not overtake the contact surface until the test is complete. This is easily ensured by choosing the length of the driver tube, denoted by \( L_D \), such that the expansion head only reflects after the test is complete. The expansion head travels back into the driver tube at the speed of sound in the driver gas, \( a_4 \), hence the minimum driver tube length is given by

\[
L_D = a_4(t_1 + \Delta t) \quad (4.8)
\]

Noting that \( t_1 = \frac{L_d}{v_s} \), and substituting in \( \Delta t \) from equation 4.6, the expression can be re-written as

\[
L_D = \frac{L_d a_4}{v_s} \left( \frac{v_s + v_r}{v_c + v_r} \right) \quad (4.9)
\]

For the initial conditions above, \( a_4 = 406m/s \), hence the driver must be greater than 0.48 times the length of the driven tube:

\[ L_D > 0.48L_d \quad (4.10) \]
4.3.3 Factors affecting fuel compatibility

4.3.3.1 Combustion pressure rise

During an experiment in an autoignition shock tube, the reflected shock wave triggers the ignition of the combustible test gas contained within the driven tube. The driven tube must be designed to withstand the resulting combustion pressure rise over and above the pressure rise caused by the shock wave, as well as the increased stagnation pressure. If it is assumed the test gas is a stoichiometric mixture of a typical combustion engine fuel, for example gasoline, and air, representative values of the heating value, $Q_{HV}$, air to fuel mass ratio, $m_a/m_f$, and specific heat at constant volume of the mixture, $C_v$, are as follows [32]:

$$Q_{HV} = 44MJ/kg$$

$$m_a/m_f = 14.6kg/kg$$

$$C_v = 1.1kJ/kg.K$$

For the most severe case in terms of combustion pressure rise, of adiabatic constant volume combustion without dissociation, the temperature rise due to combustion, $\Delta T_c$, can be estimated as follows:

$$m_fQ_{HV} = (m_a + m_f)C_v\Delta T_c$$

$$\Rightarrow \Delta T_c = \frac{Q_{HV}}{(1 + \frac{m_a}{m_f})C_v} \quad (4.11)$$

Substituting in the above values, $\Delta T_c = 2564K$. For a constant volume heat addition process, the temperature and pressure before and after heat addition, denoted by subscripts $i$ and $f$ respectively, are related as follows:

$$\frac{p_i}{R_iT_i} = \frac{p_f}{R_fT_f}$$

$$\Rightarrow p_f = \frac{p_iR_f}{R_i} \left[1 + \frac{T_f - T_i}{T_i}\right] \quad (4.12)$$

For an experiment at the previously determined initial conditions, shock tube theory indicates that the temperature and pressure behind the reflected shock are $T_5 = 1547K$ and $p_5 = 34.6bar$ respectively. Substituting in these values of $T_5$, $p_5$ and $\Delta T_c$ for $T_i$, $p_i$ and $(T_f - T_i)$ respectively, and neglecting the change in gas composition due to combustion, the post-combustion pressure in the driven tube (given by $p_f$ in equation 4.12) is about 92bar. As this is less than the initial driver pressure of 200bar, the final
pressure in the shock tube will equalise to a value between 92 and 200 bar. Thus it was decided that the design pressure of both the driver and driven tubes would be 200 bar, with an appropriate safety factor.

4.3.3.2 Material selection

All components of the shock tube that come into contact with the fuel-air test gas had to be selected so that they would not chemically react with, or be degraded by, the fuel. It was decided to construct the driven tube from carbon steel, as although stainless steel is less reactive and would be preferred, the cost of using it was prohibitive. Where seals were required, it was decided that Viton® o-rings would be used, as they are compatible with hydrocarbon fuels, can withstand ambient temperatures of over 450 K, and provide a cheap, reliable and simple means of sealing.

4.3.4 Factors affecting shock tube performance

4.3.4.1 Shock tube diameter

It was desired to keep the overall size of the shock tube as compact as possible in order to minimise manufacturing costs and complexity, thus the nominal internal diameter of the driven tube was set at 50 mm, which is the minimum diameter below which boundary layer build up behind the shock wave causes significant deviation from ideal theory [26]. As discussed in Section 3.5, improved performance is obtained if the driver tube is designed to have a larger cross sectional area than the driven tube.

4.3.4.2 Operational concept

As the specifications stipulated that the shock tube was to be automatable and produce highly repeatable results, it was decided that a diaphragmless type of shock tube was most suitable. It was felt that significant improvements could be made to the existing diaphragmless concepts described in Section 2.5. As discussed there, the main challenge in designing a diaphragmless shock tube is to minimise the opening time of the valve which replaces the diaphragm, such that a shock is formed within a reasonable tube length. A rule of thumb suggests that for every millisecond of opening time, the shock requires a length of about 100 tube diameters to form adequately [33]. For a driven tube of diameter 50 mm and length around 5 m, it was clear that the aim should be to design a rapid opening valve that would open fully in well under 1 ms, thereby ensuring that
the incident shock would be adequately formed well before it reflected off the endwall of the driven tube.

### 4.3.5 Summary of problem clarification

By investigating the principles of shock tube operation, the design specifications, which define the desired performance of the shock tube, were translated into physical constraints which define the essential features of the required product. The most important of these constraints are the initial conditions at which the shock tube must operate, the functional dimensions of the shock tube and the operational concept. Table 4.1 lists these constraints.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Initial conditions</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Driven tube pressure, $p_1$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Driver tube pressure, $p_4$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Driven gas temperature, $T_1$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Driver gas temperature, $T_4$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Driven gas type</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Driver gas type</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Functional dimensions</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Driven tube length, $L_d$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Driver tube length, $L_D$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Driven tube internal diameter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Driver tube cross section</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Operation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Principle of operation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diaphragmless valve opening time</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
5 The solution: a diaphragmless, high pressure air driven shock tube facility

5.1 Introduction

As required for this project, a basic shock tube apparatus was designed, built and installed. It will be shown that the working concept for the shock tube possessed some novelty. A number of subsystems were developed and integrated with the shock tube to produce a basic, functioning facility suitable for the intended application of autoignition research. These subsystems included a high pressure air supply, a pneumatic control circuit, a mounting system and a diagnostic and data capture system. Throughout the design, planned future developments of the facility were borne in mind, and provision was made for the incorporation of automation, thermal control and fueling systems. This chapter summarises the components, features and operation of the installed shock tube facility. Details of the subsystems are given in Appendix A. A complete set of manufacturing drawings for the apparatus is contained in Appendix G.

5.2 General description of the facility

A novel, diaphragmless type of shock tube was developed. A rapid opening valve replaced the function of the diaphragm. The primary reason for adopting a diaphragmless configuration was to facilitate the automation of the apparatus. The driver tube was a 2.9\text{m} long carbon steel tube, of the type commonly used to make high pressure hydraulic cylinders. It had an internal diameter of 110\text{mm} and an external diameter of 140\text{mm}. The driven tube was 6.1\text{m} long, was also made from hydraulic cylinder tube and had an internal diameter of 50\text{mm} and an external diameter of 65\text{mm}. The shock tube was designed to operate at initial driver conditions of up to 200\text{bar} and 410\text{K}, and initial
driven conditions in the region of 1 bar and up to 410 K. A pneumatic circuit controlled its operation. Figure 5.1 is a photograph of the shock tube apparatus. It was mounted on a portable frame which allowed for height adjustment. When fully assembled and mounted, the shock tube - excluding all connected subsystems - occupied a space 7 m long by 0.9 m wide by 1.4 m high.
The rapid opening valve was a significantly modified version of the two-stage, piston-actuated concept described in Section 2.5. It was pneumatically operated and could be reset in under one minute. This gave the facility a greatly reduced test turn-around time compared to conventional diaphragm shock tubes. The design of the valve is discussed in more detail in Section 5.4.

The shock tube used compressed air as the driver gas. A high pressure reservoir was designed to supply the compressed air. The reservoir consisted of a bank of three 50l high pressure gas bottles connected in parallel with a SCUBA compressor. The system was automated to run independently of the shock tube, whereby the compressor switched on when the reservoir pressure dropped below 190bar and switched off again when the pressure rose above 200bar. The compressor pumped air to 200bar at a rate of 1.1l/min. Each shock tube experiment required about 20l of air at the driver pressure. Thus each test at a driver pressure of 200bar required about 20 minutes of compressor operation. Figure 5.2 is a photograph of the compressed air reservoir.

Figure 5.2: Photograph of the compressed air reservoir
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A high frequency piezoelectric pressure transducer (Kistler model 603B1) was mounted 1 m from the endwall of the driven tube, and another was mounted in the endwall itself. The pressure signals from these sensors were sampled simultaneously at 200 kHz, giving a time resolution of 5 μs. The data was captured on a computer, and was used to determine the speed of the shock wave and the ignition delay for a given experiment.

Table 5.1 gives the rated operating conditions of the shock tube and compressed air reservoir. Also given are the test conditions which were theoretically accessible by the apparatus. Note that the overall fuel-air equivalence ratio, denoted by φ, of the driven gas was limited to values lean of stoichiometric. This prevented the possibility of excess fuel combusting with the oxygen in the driver gas and thereby causing overpressurisation of the shock tube. However, it was possible to test fuel-rich mixtures by diluting the test gas with an inert bath gas such as Argon.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Shock tube operating conditions</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Driven tube pressure range [bar]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Driver tube pressure range [bar]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Driven tube temperature range [K]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Driver tube temperature range [K]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Driven gas type</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Driver gas type</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Compressed air reservoir</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Maximum reservoir pressure [bar]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maximum reservoir temperature [K]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reservoir capacity [l]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reservoir gas type</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Ideal test conditions (assuming γ = 1.40)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Maximum test temperature [K]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maximum test pressure [bar]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Test duration at these conditions [ms]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Throughout the development of the shock tube facility, safety was the major priority. Safety issues are discussed in Section 5.5. Furthermore, an evaluation of the operational safety risks associated with the facility, and details of the steps taken to address them, are given in Appendix D.
5.3 Provisions for future development of the facility

As permitted by the scope of this project, the basic shock tube was manually operated with the driver and driven gases initially at ambient temperature. For the purpose of evaluating the performance of the shock tube, it was sufficient to use atmospheric air as the driven gas, because the test conditions achieved in air are similar to those achieved in a lean fuel-air mixture. Furthermore, it was possible to conduct a proof of concept ignition delay experiment by injecting a precise amount of liquid fuel into the driven tube and allowing sufficient time for it to completely evaporate and diffuse. The following provisions were made for incorporating those aspects of the design which were beyond the scope of this project:

- An axial flow inlet valve for the fuel-air mixture was provided - see Figure 5.3. Additionally, Viton® o-ring seals were used throughout the shock tube as they are compatible with fuel vapour.

- The driver and driven tubes can be heated by wrapping insulated electrical heating coils along their length. To thermally isolate the rapid opening valve, a water cooling jacket was incorporated - see Figure 5.3. Heating of the valve was undesirable as thermal expansion could upset the small tolerances between the sliding valve components.

- The use of a rapid opening valve instead of a diaphragm facilitates computerised control of the shock tube. The pneumatic control circuit shown in Figure 5.1 can easily be automated by replacing the manual levers on the two-way ball valves with computer activated quarter-turn solenoids.

Important notes:

1. The two-way ball valves were rated for use up to 350K, therefore when the heating system is installed, these valves need to be replaced if they will be exposed to higher temperatures.

2. It is recommended that before any new development is added to the shock tube facility, a complete risk assessment should be conducted and documented.
5.4 The rapid opening diaphragmless valve

5.4.1 Mode of operation

 Developing the rapid opening valve presented the major design challenge of the project. A cross section through the center of the valve is shown in Figure 5.3. As illustrated, the driver tube surrounded a section of the driven tube in an annular arrangement, and the valve acted at their interface, where the flow was redirected through 180°.
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With reference to Figure 5.3, the valve mechanism functioned as follows: compressed gas was supplied to the trigger chamber, causing the ring piston to slide forward and close the exhaust ports of the actuating chamber. Compressed gas was then supplied to the actuating chamber through small leakage holes in the one-way valve, causing the sliding sleeve to move forward and block the shock flow ports between the driver and driven tubes. The driver tube was then charged with compressed gas, and the driven tube filled with test gas at the desired conditions. The apparatus was now ready to perform a test.

The test was initiated by opening the trigger valve to release the pressure in the trigger chamber. When the pressure there dropped below a certain threshold, the compressed gas in the actuating chamber forced the ring piston to snap backward, and flowed through the large exhaust ports into the exhaust chamber, which was vented to the atmosphere through several orifices. This caused the pressure in the actuating chamber to drop rapidly. The high pressure gas in the driver tube then propelled the sleeve backward into the actuating chamber, rapidly opening the shock flow ports. The subsequent rush of driver gas through the shock flow ports generated the shock wave.

5.4.2 Design features

The valve mechanism had some novel design features which warrant special mention. Firstly, the valve was designed to operate using compressed gas from the same supply and at the same pressure as the gas used in the driver tube. This aided convenience and eliminated the need to prevent leakage between the valve and driver through the clearance space around the outside of the sliding sleeve.

Secondly, the mechanism was designed such that when the ring piston was in the closed position, the pressure of the gas in the actuating chamber acted on a smaller area of the piston than the pressure of the gas in the trigger chamber. As these pressures were equal just prior to a test, the pressure in the trigger chamber had to drop below a threshold level before the ring piston would unseat. This allowed time for the trigger valve to be fully opened before the threshold level was reached - in other words the valve action was insensitive to the rate at which the trigger valve was opened. Additionally, as the ring piston unseated it exposed more of its area to the actuating chamber gas and hence was forced open quickly, thereby promoting rapid evacuation of the actuating chamber. Note that a similar area differential technique was used to ensure that the sliding sleeve only started moving once the ring piston had opened fully.
Thirdly, the sliding sleeve was made from high strength aluminium (which is a lightweight metal), and had a 'run-up length' whereby, as it started sliding backward, it had to travel a distance more than twice the length of the shock flow port openings before it actually uncovered them. Thus by the time it did uncover them, the sleeve had been accelerated to a high speed and the opening process was rapid.

Fourthly, the flow path between the driver and driven tubes was sculpted so as to streamline the flow, and therefore minimise the losses, as the avalanche of driver gas was redirected around the 180° bend.

Fifthly, a self-regulating pneumatic damper was designed to decelerate the sliding sleeve once it uncovered the shock flow ports. A damper was necessary as the sliding sleeve travelled at such a high speed that it would have been be damaged were it stopped by metal on metal impact. The pneumatic damper functioned as follows: at the instant the sleeve fully uncovered the shock flow ports, it also blocked the exhaust ports, trapping the gas still remaining behind the sleeve in the actuating chamber. As the sleeve continued to move backward, it compressed this trapped gas and consequently slowed down. To prevent the sleeve from rebounding excessively, the trapped compressed gas was allowed to escape through a throttle and one-way valve into the throttle chamber. The throttle chamber was maintained at the same pressure as the driver gas propelling the sleeve via a direct connecting gas line. Thus gas could only flow from the actuating chamber into the throttle chamber once the back-pressure in the actuating chamber exceeded the pressure propelling the sleeve - at which stage the sleeve was decelerating. The major feature of the pneumatic damper design was that it was inherently self-regulating in terms of operating pressure. In other words, it was not necessary to make any adjustments to the setup of the damper when different driver tube pressures were used.

Additionally, as the valve contained a number of annular sliding parts, much attention was paid to ensuring good concentricity and accurate location of the various sliding surfaces. The valve components were spigoted so that they would be naturally aligned and located during the assembly process. And finally, o-rings were used to provide seals wherever needed throughout the valve and shock tube. O-rings were chosen as they provide a simple, reliable, reusable and cheap method of sealing.
5.4.3 Valve performance model

During the design of the rapid opening valve, a model for simulating and optimising its performance was developed and programmed into Microsoft Excel®. The main aim of the model was to predict the motion of the sliding sleeve during the opening process. Inputs to the model were the dimensions and masses of the various valve components, volumes of its chambers and the initial conditions such as gas pressure and temperature. Gas dynamics and thermodynamics theory were used to model the gas flow processes within the valve, and basic kinetic theory was used to model the resulting motion of the valve’s moving parts.

Some design phase simulations of valve performance are illustrated as follows. Figures 5.4 and 5.5 show the anticipated performance at the maximum rated driver pressure of $p_4 = 200\text{bar}$. Then to demonstrate the versatility of the concept, Figures 5.6 and 5.7 show the anticipated performance at the minimum rated driver pressure of $p_4 = 7\text{bar}$. In both cases, all other parameters were equal, with $p_1 = 1\text{bar}$ and $T_1 = T_4 = 300\text{K}$.

The simulation of valve performance at the maximum driver pressure indicated a valve opening time, measured from the first open to the fully open position, of $0.54\text{ms}$. Once the valve was fully open, the pressure in the actuating chamber built up, causing the sleeve to decelerate, and then fluctuated as the sleeve rebounded slightly. After a few of these damped oscillations, the sleeve was brought to rest in the fully open position. Note that the sleeve never impacted the end stop, nor did it rebound past the fully open position. The slope of the line indicating sleeve motion gives the velocity of the sleeve. The average sleeve velocity during the opening process was about $46\text{m/s}$. The simulation at the minimum driver pressure also indicated correct valve operation. However, in this case the opening time and velocity were slower at $2.7\text{ms}$ and $9\text{m/s}$ respectively.

As shown in Figure 5.4, the modelled pressure in the actuating chamber built up to about twice the initial driver pressure, hence the components of the actuating chamber were designed to withstand twice the maximum driver pressure, which equates to $400\text{bar}$, with an adequate safety factor.

During commissioning of the shock tube, the model was used to check that the valve was functioning properly. This was achieved by recording the pressure in the actuating chamber during testing of the shock tube, and then fine tuning the model parameters until good agreement with the experimental data was obtained. The validated model was then used to provide an indication of the actual motion of the sliding sleeve.
Figure 5.4: Pressures in the various valve chambers at maximum driver pressure

Figure 5.5: Predicted sleeve motion at maximum driver pressure
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Figure 5.6: Pressures in the various valve chambers at minimum driver pressure

Figure 5.7: Predicted sleeve motion at minimum driver pressure
5.5 Safety considerations

5.5.1 General safety instructions

In accordance with The University of Cape Town’s strict health and safety policy, the shock tube facility was designed with safety considerations as a requisite priority. To this end, a risk assessment was conducted to identify and address any potential operational hazards. The results of the risk assessment are presented in Appendix D. To ensure the safety of the operator and those in the vicinity of the facility, the following safety instructions were made mandatory:

• Wear eye and ear protection when operating any part of the facility. If the shock tube is heated, or if handling fuels, also wear gloves. If other people are in the vicinity, also provide them with this equipment and make them aware of potential hazards.

• Adhere strictly to the operating procedures described in Appendix C.

• Never operate the facility outside of the rated conditions listed in Table 5.1.

• Adhere strictly to the assembly, inspection and maintenance instructions described in Appendices A and B.

• Perform and document a thorough risk assessment a) before making any modifications to the facility, and b) before using the facility or any of its components for any purpose other than they were specifically was designed for.

5.5.2 High pressure equipment safety issues

The shock tube assembly included three main pressure containing vessels: the driven tube, the driver tube and the outer cylinder of the rapid opening valve. Each of these vessels was constructed from a section of seamless hydraulic cylinder tube and two boilerplate steel flanges - one welded at each end of the tube. South African law regulates the manufacture of pressure vessels that have a nominal internal diameter over 150mm [34], hence the shock tube components, which all had internal diameters under 150mm, were not subject to this legislation. However, in the design phase careful consideration was given to the fact that during operation the vessels would contain very high pressure gas, which is inherently dangerous, and would undergo cyclic pressurisation, making them susceptible to fatigue. To ensure the safety of the pressure containing vessels, they were designed using the ASME code for pressure vessel design
as a guide [35]. Note that safeguard against fatigue is intrinsically built into the code. In addition, to double check that safe stress levels would not be exceeded during pressurisation, a basic stress analysis of the vessels was carried out using the Abaqus® finite element analysis (FEA) software package. Details of the design calculations are given in Appendix E.

During the manufacture and commissioning of the facility, the following important safety measures were implemented to minimise the potential danger of the high pressure equipment:

- All critical welding of the flanges onto the tube sections was done by certified welders, and was stress relieved and tested for cracks. The welding report is contained in Appendix F.

- The assembled shock tube apparatus, comprising of the driver tube, the driven tube and the rapid opening valve, was hydrostatically pressure tested to 250bar. The test certificate is contained in Appendix F.

- The high pressure gas bottles used as the compressed air reservoir were inspected, hydrostatically pressure tested to 358bar and re-certified to their design pressure of 208bar by an accredited authority prior to their installation. The test certificate is contained in Appendix F.

- All components of the high pressure pneumatic circuitry, such as valves, air supply lines, seals and fittings were purchased new from reputable OEM suppliers, and were rated for safe operation at the conditions to which they would be exposed during normal shock tube operation.

- Inspection and maintenance schedules, assembly instructions and operational procedures for the subsystems of the facility were compiled. They are contained in the relevant appendices.

**Important note:** The shock tube apparatus was designed to be a full scale, working prototype. Although every effort was made to ensure high levels of safety, if the prototype is to be put into regular service the dangers associated with the high pressure equipment warrant the extra precaution of having the facility independently evaluated by an experienced professional engineer, particularly with regard to the long term fatigue life of the pressure containing vessels and the safety of the high pressure circuits/systems.
6 Commissioning of the shock tube

6.1 Introduction

The objectives of the commissioning programme were to make the shock tube facility fully operational, investigate its performance and make refinements to improve its performance. The commissioning strategy was to conduct initial tests at relatively low driver pressures to verify correct, safe operation of the facility, and then to test at gradually increasing driver pressures all the way up to the maximum rated driver pressure. Once reliable operation over the complete operating pressure range was obtained, the focus shifted to improving the performance of the shock tube. At the end of the chapter, the final performance of the shock tube is discussed.

As the installation of a heating system and a fuel mixture preparation system were beyond the scope of this project, all commissioning tests were done using air at room temperature and pressure as the driven gas, and compressed air at room temperature as the driver gas. The diagnostic equipment for evaluating the shock tube’s performance consisted of three high frequency piezoelectric pressure transducers. Two of these sensors were mounted in the driven tube - one was located in the sidewall of the tube exactly 1m from the endwall, the other was mounted in the endwall itself. Both sensors were flush mounted. They provided a means of analysing the propagation, rise time and magnitude of the pressure jump caused by, and indicative of, the shock wave. The third transducer was used to measure the pressure in the actuating chamber of the rapid opening valve for the purpose of validating the valve performance model.

All commissioning tests were conducted according to the following basic procedure:

1. Set the rapid opening valve to the closed position.
2. Fill the driver tube with compressed air at the desired pressure.
3. Normalise the driven tube to atmospheric pressure.
4. Record the initial test conditions \((p_1, T_1, p_2,\) and \(T_2\)).

5. Ready the data capture system to record the signals from the pressure transducers.

6. Operate the shock tube by triggering the rapid opening valve.

7. Examine the test results to check that the shock tube operated correctly.

8. Repeat to perform another test, or discharge and shut down the facility.

6.2 Preliminary low pressure commissioning

For the initial tests, the shock tube was operated at a driver pressure below 10bar. No difficulties were encountered during these tests. The facility as a whole operated reliably. Most notably, the rapid opening sleeve valve functioned well. When the valve was closed, no leakage occurred between the driver and driven tubes, and when it was triggered, it opened sufficiently quickly for a shock wave to be generated, as evidenced by the recorded driven tube pressure traces. A typical test result is shown in Figure 6.1. Note that the pressure traces were not smoothed or filtered in any way. The initial conditions at which the test was performed are given in Table 6.1.

![Figure 6.1: Driven tube pressure traces from a typical low pressure test](image)

Figure 6.1 shows that the incident shock wave travelled down the driven tube, passing the sidewall pressure transducer at \(t = 104.625\text{ms}\). It reached and was reflected off the endwall at \(t = 106.580\text{ms}\). Thus the endwall pressure transducer experienced a
Table 6.1: Initial conditions for the test result shown in Figure 6.1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Driven gas pressure, $p_1 \pm 0.01$ [bar]</th>
<th>1.01</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Driver gas pressure, $p_4 \pm 0.2$ [bar]</td>
<td>7.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Driven gas temperature, $T_1 \pm 5$ [K]</td>
<td>295</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Driver gas temperature, $T_4 \pm 5$ [K]</td>
<td>295</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

single pressure jump equal in magnitude to the sum of the incident and reflected shock wave pressure jumps. The reflected shock wave then propagated back up the driven tube, eventually passing the sidewall pressure transducer at $t = 109.760 ms$. The small pressure jump trailing the reflected shock wave was a partial reflection of the reflected shock wave off the contact surface. The gradual pressure decay behind the incident shock was attributed to boundary layer growth.

The rapid opening sleeve valve operated reliably and consistently throughout the low pressure commissioning phase. After the testing had been completed, the valve was dismantled and inspected for wear or damage. All components were found to be in good order. There was no evidence of impact between the sliding sleeve and endstop, which indicated that the pneumatic damper was performing its primary function. From the success of the low pressure tests it was concluded that the shock tube was functioning correctly and operating safely. The next step was to commence the high pressure commissioning phase.

6.3 High pressure commissioning

During the high pressure commissioning phase, the shock tube was tested at gradually increasing driver pressures, up to the maximum rating of $200 bar$. This section first details the difficulties that were encountered in trying to obtain reliable operation at high pressure and the solutions implemented to address those difficulties. Then, the refinements made to optimise the efficiency of the shock tube in comparison to ideal shock tube flow are described.

6.3.1 Operational difficulties encountered during testing

6.3.1.1 Seal failures

The rapid opening valve operated reliably at driver pressures below $100 bar$, and the driven tube pressure traces indicated that the shock tube was consistently generating
a shock wave. However, when the rapid opening valve was reloaded for the next test following the first 100 bar test, it was discovered that compressed gas was leaking into the driven tube. Disassembly and inspection of the valve revealed that the o-ring which seals between the actuating chamber and the driven tube had been blown from its groove. It was found, broken into three pieces, near the end of the driven tube. Figure 6.2 illustrates the mechanism by which this was thought to have happened. Just after the valve was tried and the sliding sleeve was moving off the o-ring, the pressure in the actuating chamber would still be high relative to the (atmospheric) driven tube pressure. This pressure differential across the o-ring caused it to squeeze out of its groove, between the edges of the groove and the sleeve, and subsequently get blown down the driven tube by the avalanche of driver gas.

![Diagram of the process by which the o-ring seal failed.](image)

**Figure 6.2:** Illustration of the process by which the o-ring seal failed

The problem was resolved by replacing the original o-ring with one that had a smaller internal diameter but a greater cross sectional diameter, so that when in its groove the o-ring was significantly stretched and its elasticity held it in place. Table 6.2 compares the dimensions of the original and replacement o-rings.

| **Table 6.2:** Comparison between original and replacement o-rings |
|----------------|----------------|----------------|
| **Dimension**          | **Original** | **Replacement** |
| Internal diameter [mm]  | 13            | 35             |
| Cross section diameter [mm] | 4             | 5              |

After this modification, the shock tube operated without incident as the driver pressure was increased to just below 200 bar. The first two tests at 200 bar were successful, and the driven tube pressure traces indicated that the shock tube continued to effectively generate shock waves. However, when the rapid opening valve was reloaded after
the second 200\text{bar} test, two leaks were noticed. Compressed gas was leaking into the exhaust chamber of the valve, and once again there was leakage of compressed air into the driven tube. Disassembly and inspection of the valve revealed the two o-ring seals indicated in Figure 6.3 to be at fault. Both o-rings had been fitted into dove-tail grooves designed to retain them, however at the extremely high test pressure they had both partially popped out of their grooves.

![Diagram of a valve with problematic o-ring seals](image)

Figure 6.3: Problematic o-ring seals

It was suspected that in both cases this had occurred as follows: when the valve was loaded and pressurised, compressed air was forced into the groove around the o-ring. Then when the valve was triggered, causing the pressure within it to drop suddenly, the o-ring was pushed out of its groove by the expanding gas trapped behind it. The problem was resolved by gluing both o-rings into their grooves using a cyanoacrylate adhesive. Subsequently the rapid opening valve operated reliably.

### 6.3.1.2 Component wear

When the rapid opening valve was disassembled after the second 200\text{bar} test to investigate the causes of the leaks, all of its components were inspected for wear. There was no indication of impact between the sliding sleeve and the endstop, however there was some scratching on the outer surface of the sleeve. This was expected given that the sleeve was made from aluminium and slid within a much harder steel cylinder. The scratches were very minor and were easily polished away using a scouring pad and polishing fluid. Figure 6.4 shows a photograph of the scratches on the sleeve.
All of the other valve components were in good condition and showed virtually no signs of wear. Inspection of the driver and driven tubes revealed only one issue: the gasket that sealed between the flanges at the endwall of the driven tube had been burnt through. A photograph of the gasket is shown in Figure 6.5. This was seen as evidence that the shock tube had established a region of very high temperature gas behind the reflected shock wave. The gasket was replaced.
6.3.2 Shock tube performance investigation

Consider Figure 6.6 which shows the driven tube pressure traces from the first two tests nominally at the maximum rated driver pressure of 200 bar. The initial conditions for these tests are given in Table 6.3. The high degree of reproducibility obtained was a feature of all repeated tests, and indicated that the rapid opening valve was operating consistently. For a comparison between the calculated test conditions, see Table 6.4.

![Figure 6.6: Driven tube pressure traces for the first two nominally 200 bar tests](image)

The incident and reflected shock waves are indicated on the pressure traces. The incident shock wave had a rise time of under 5 μs. The reflected shock wave had a rise time of under 10 μs. Note that the recorded signals had a time resolution of 5 μs, hence the rise times may in fact have been significantly shorter. The noise on the pressure traces immediately after the passage of the shock waves was most likely due to mechanical vibration of the pressure transducer mountings as they were impacted by the shock wave, and was not actual gas phenomena. This issue has been reported previously, and in some cases has been addressed by coupling the shock tube to a large mass.

Table 6.3: Initial conditions for the test results shown in Figure 6.6

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Test number</th>
<th>Test 1</th>
<th>Test 2</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Driven gas pressure, $p_1 \pm 0.01$ [bar]</td>
<td>1.01</td>
<td>1.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Driver gas pressure, $p_2 \pm 1$ [bar]</td>
<td>203</td>
<td>204</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Driven gas temperature, $T_1 \pm 5$ [K]</td>
<td>295</td>
<td>295</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Driver gas temperature, $T_2 \pm 5$ [K]</td>
<td>295</td>
<td>295</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
whose inertia helps minimise vibration [17]. Installing such an inertial mass may be a worthwhile future modification to the facility.

Excluding the noise, the endwall pressure traces indicate a steep pressure rise coinciding with the reflected shock wave, followed by a period of steady, elevated pressure more than 1ms in duration. This is exactly the pulse shape required of the apparatus. However, for the given initial driver to driven pressure ratio, ideal shock tube theory predicts a significantly higher shock Mach number, and therefore reflected shock pressure, than that which was actually obtained. The reasons for this inefficiency of the shock tube relative to ideal performance were discussed in Section 3.5. A comparison of the actual and ideal test results is given in Table 6.4.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Test result</th>
<th>Test 1</th>
<th>Test 2</th>
<th>Ideal</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Incident shock Mach number, $M_s$</td>
<td>2.34</td>
<td>2.33</td>
<td>2.61</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Incident shock pressure, $p_2$ [bar]</td>
<td>6.3</td>
<td>6.2</td>
<td>7.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Test pressure, $p_5$ [bar]</td>
<td>25.1</td>
<td>24.7</td>
<td>34.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Test temperature, $T_5$ [K]</td>
<td>935</td>
<td>929</td>
<td>1113</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In Section 4.2 it was specified that the shock tube should be capable of accessing test temperatures of up to 1500K. Extrapolation from the above results indicated that, assuming both the driver and driven gases were initially heated to 410K, the shock tube was actually only capable of accessing a maximum test temperature of about 1300K. Clearly the efficiency of the shock tube would have to be improved if it was to meet the test temperature specification. Considering the non-idealities discussed in Section 3.5, it was evident that improvements could potentially be made by:

- reducing the opening time of the rapid opening valve,
- polishing the inside of the driven tube to reduce its surface roughness and thereby decrease attenuation of the shock wave,
- further streamlining the flow path between the driver and driven tubes to minimise frictional flow losses.

The strategy for improving the efficiency of the shock tube was as follows: firstly, the performance of the rapid opening valve was evaluated to check that it was operating optimally. Then, the driven tube was polished internally to see what gains could be made. Finally, the webs between the driver and driven tubes were streamlined to see
if doing so yielded any further improvement. Note that the shock tube was tested in between polishing and streamlining so that the relative gains from each of these modifications could be assessed.

6.3.2.1 Evaluating the performance of the rapid opening valve

The valve performance model was fine tuned to fit the experimentally recorded actuating chamber pressure history by adjusting the model parameters. Figure 6.7 is a comparison of the recorded and modelled actuating chamber pressure profiles for Test 1 in Table 6.3. The high frequency oscillations on the recorded pressure trace were most likely due to resonance of the air in the connecting passageway between the actuating chamber and the pressure transducer. This is proved as follows: the resonant frequencies, \( f \), of a gas passageway closed at one end are given by the following equation:

\[
f = \frac{na}{4L}
\]  

(6.1)

where \( a \) is the speed of sound in the gas, \( L \) is the length of the passageway and \( n \) is an odd, positive integer (1, 3, 5...) representing the resonance node. The speed of sound in air at room temperature is \( \approx 340m/s \). The connecting passageway is a straight drilled hole, through the flange on the outer cylinder of the actuating chamber, of length 57mm. Substituting these values into Equation 6.1 and setting \( n = 3 \), one obtains a resonant frequency of 4473Hz. This compares very well to the frequency of 4317Hz measured as the gas in the actuating chamber drops back down to the initial valve pressure (see Figure 6.7), at which instant the gas would be at approximately room temperature.

Excluding these oscillations from the comparison, good agreement between the model and experimental data is evident from the instant the sliding sleeve begins to move. The accuracy of the model's calculations for sleeve velocity and opening time was estimated at \( \pm 20\% \). The motion of the sleeve according to the validated model is shown in Figure 6.8. It indicates that the sleeve had accelerated to 48m/s by the time it opened the shock flow ports. Although the process of decelerating the sleeve was not identical to the motion anticipated in Figure 5.5, the desired function of bringing the sleeve to rest without either impacting the endstop or re-bounding past the fully open position was achieved. Also note that the deceleration process has no effect on shock tube efficiency. The predicted opening time of 0.52ms meets the requirement stated in Table 4.1 that for effective shock wave formation, the opening time should be below 1ms. Hence it was decided that the valve was performing sufficiently well and further attempts to reduce the opening time were unnecessary.
Figure 6.7: Comparison between the actual and modelled actuating chamber pressure

Figure 6.8: Motion of the sliding sleeve according to the validated model
6.3.2.2 Effect of polishing the inside of the driven tube

To reduce the surface roughness of the inside of the driven tube, it was thoroughly polished using a fine grit cylinder hone. The improvement in surface finish this yielded was substantial, as previously the only surface preparation had been to use a sanding flap wheel to remove scale and rust from the as manufactured tube. The shock tube was then tested again at a nominal driver pressure of 200 bar. The results of the test are shown in Figure 6.9. The initial conditions for the test are given in Table 6.5.

Figure 6.9: Driven tube pressure traces obtained subsequent to polishing

Table 6.5: Initial conditions for the test results shown in Figure 6.9

<p>| | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Driven gas pressure, $p_1$</td>
<td>1.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Driver gas pressure, $p_2$</td>
<td>202</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Driven gas temperature, $T_1$</td>
<td>295</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Driver gas temperature, $T_1$</td>
<td>295</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Compared to the tests performed prior to polishing the driven tube, a significant improvement in efficiency was evident. The shock Mach number increased from 2.31 to 2.39, and the reflected shock pressure increased by about 2 bar. It was suspected that the slight dip in pressure at the endwall immediately after the reflection of the shock wave was due to leakage past the endwall gasket, which again showed evidence of burn through. It was replaced with a new one made from high temperature gasket material, and the endwall was reattached using extra high tensile bolts (property class 12.9) to increase the clamping force on the gasket.
6.3.2.3 Effect of streamlining the flow path between the driver and driven tubes

The design of the shock tube had incorporated sculpting of the 180° bend between the driver and driven tubes for optimal flow. However, the driven tube support webs indicated in Figure 5.3 had only been rounded at their leading edges. To try reduce the flow losses due to these webs, it was decided to shape them by hand to be as aerodynamically streamlined as possible. The trailing edges were tapered so that the webs had the cross section of an aerofoil, and the web surfaces were polished. The shock tube was then re-assembled and tested once again at a nominal driver pressure of 200 bar. The results of the test are shown in Figure 6.10. The initial conditions at which the test was performed are given in Table 6.6.

![Figure 6.10: Driven tube pressure traces obtained subsequent to streamlining the webs](image)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Driven gas pressure, ( p_1 \pm 0.01 \text{ bar} )</th>
<th>Driver gas pressure, ( p_4 \pm 1 \text{ bar} )</th>
<th>Driver gas temperature, ( T_4 \pm 5 \text{ K} )</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Driven gas pressure, ( p_1 \pm 0.01 \text{ bar} )</td>
<td>1.01</td>
<td>205</td>
<td>295</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Driver gas pressure, ( p_4 \pm 1 \text{ bar} )</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Driver gas temperature, ( T_4 \pm 5 \text{ K} )</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

When compared to Figure 6.9, Figure 6.10 shows that streamlining the flow path resulted in a minor increase in shock tube efficiency over and above the improvement already gained by polishing the driven tube. The shock Mach number increased from 2.39 to 2.40. More significantly the tighter clamping of the new high temperature gasket helped reduce the dip in pressure upon reflection of the shock wave.
6.4 Final test results and discussion

6.4.1 Shock tube performance

To assess the final performance of the shock tube after all modifications had been made, a series of tests were conducted over a range of driver pressures between 10 and 200 bar. Note that for all these tests, the driven gas was air at room temperature and pressure (approximately 295 K and 1.01 bar respectively), and the driver gas was compressed air at room temperature. The incident shock Mach number obtained in each test was measured and used to calculate the test pressure and temperature according to equations 3.27 and 3.28 respectively. The results of these tests are presented and discussed below.

6.4.1.1 Efficiency of the shock tube

Figure 6.11 gives an indication of the efficiency of the shock tube relative to ideal performance. As expected, the deviation from ideal theory increased with the shock Mach number. Real gas effects are a cause of this non-ideal behaviour (see Section 3.5). Comparison with figure 3.2 shows the shock tube to be more efficient than the similar diaphragmless installation described in Section 3.5. In that case, no attempt had been made to optimise the flow path between the driver and driven tubes. It is likely that further improvements in efficiency can be made by additional polishing and streamlining of all flow surfaces, particularly the inside of the driven tube - whose surface finish was shown to have a significant effect on efficiency, as well as the inside of the driver tube - whose surface finish was not improved from the as manufactured condition.

Figure 6.11: Incident shock Mach number as a function of initial pressure ratio
6.4.1.2 Attained test conditions

Figure 6.12 shows the test conditions attained by shock tube as a function of initial driver pressure. At the maximum driver pressure, where test to test variation was most severe, test temperature was repeatable to within ±25°C, and test pressure was repeatable to within 11.2 bar. As expected, test temperature increased diminishingly with an increase in pressure ratio. The recorded pressure traces from a test performed at the maximum rated driver pressure are shown in Figure 6.10. Apart from the mechanical vibration noise, the shape of the pressure pulse at the endwall of the driven tube is well suited for the intended application of autoignition research: the pressure rise due to the reflected shock wave has an extremely rapid rise time of under 10 μs, and subsequently the elevated pressure in the test section of the driven tube remains approximately steady for the entire test duration of 1.3 ms. The measured Mach number of the incident shock wave was 2.40. The calculated test pressure and temperature were 27.1 bar and 973 K respectively. Note that the calculated test pressure agrees well with the recorded test pressure shown in Figure 6.10.

6.4.1.3 Maximum accessible test conditions

Extrapolating from the observed shock tube performance, when a heating system is installed to pre-heat the driver and driven gases to an initial temperature of 410 K, the shock tube will be capable of accessing a maximum test temperature of 1353 K. Although this is still below the target test temperature of 1500 K, it was deemed high
enough for the shock tube to be a valuable and useful research apparatus. A further increase in test temperature, beyond the target of $1500\, K$, could be obtained by operating the shock tube at a driven gas pressure below $0.6\, bar$. This would necessitate the design of a vacuum system for rarefying the driven gas. The obvious solution is to incorporate the vacuum system into the future development of the fuel mixture preparation system. The potential testing capability of the shock tube is summarised in Table 6.7.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Tested range</th>
<th>Potential ability</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Driven gas pressure, $p_1 , [bar]$</td>
<td>1.01</td>
<td>0.80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Driver gas pressure, $p_1 , [bar]$</td>
<td>2.00</td>
<td>2.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Driven gas temperature, $T_1 , [K]$</td>
<td>295</td>
<td>410</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Driver gas temperature, $T_1 , [K]$</td>
<td>295</td>
<td>410</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Test pressure, $p_2 , [bar]$</td>
<td>27.1</td>
<td>20.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Test temperature, $T_2 , [K]$</td>
<td>973</td>
<td>1518</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Test duration, $\Delta t , [ms]$</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>0.9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### 6.4.2 Performance of the rapid opening valve

Figure 6.13 shows the valve opening time as a function of driver pressure as calculated by the experimentally validated valve performance model. The other initial conditions were set at $p_1 = 1\, bar$ and $T_1 = T_2 = 300\, K$. Note that for all driver pressures higher than $50\, bar$ the opening time is under $1\, ms$.

![Figure 6.13: Calculated valve opening time as a function of driver pressure](image-url)
6.4.3 Autoignition experiment

To prove that the shock tube was capable of performing useful autoignition experiments, a final test was conducted using a fuel-air mixture as the driven gas. In the absence of a dedicated mixture preparation system, the test procedure was as follows:

1. Set the rapid opening valve to the closed position. Note this requires at least partial charging of the driver tube.

2. Remove the pressure transducer in the endwall of the driven tube and inject precisely the mass of fuel required to achieve a stoichiometric air-fuel ratio within the driven tube.

3. Replace the pressure transducer and leave the apparatus overnight so that the fuel has time to evaporate and diffuse throughout the driven tube.

4. Charge the driver tube and ready the data capture system.

5. Operate the shock tube by triggering the rapid opening valve.

6. Safely discharge the shock tube.

7. Examine the recorded pressure traces for evidence of autoignition.

The initial conditions at which the test was performed are given in Table 6.8. The fuel tested was n-heptane, as it readily evaporates at room temperature. 1.38 cm$^3$ of n-heptane was injected into the driven tube; precisely the amount required to give a stoichiometric air-fuel ratio when mixed completely with the driven gas.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fuel tested</th>
<th>n-heptane</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Fuel-air equivalence ratio, $\phi \pm 0.05$</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Driven gas pressure, $p_1 \pm 0.01 [bar]$</td>
<td>1.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Driver gas pressure, $p_4 \pm 1 [bar]$</td>
<td>195</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Driven gas temperature, $T_1 \pm 5 [K]$</td>
<td>295</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Driver gas temperature, $T_4 \pm 5 [K]$</td>
<td>295</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The recorded driven tube pressure traces are shown in Figure 6.14. The onset of autoignition is clearly evident. The Mach number of the incident shock wave was measured and used to determine the test temperature and pressure. The results of the test are summarised in Table 6.9.
The measured ignition delay of 0.71 ms was shorter than expected. To investigate the accuracy of the result, a chemical kinetic simulation of the test was run using CHEMKIN® software to solve the mechanism developed by Westbrook et al [36]. The simulation predicted an ignition delay of 1.07 ms. The most likely explanation for this discrepancy was that the fuel was not given sufficient time to diffuse completely and evenly throughout the driven gas, resulting in a rich air-fuel ratio at the test section. This explanation was supported by further CHEMKIN simulations, which indicated that a 0.71 ms ignition delay corresponds to a fuel rich equivalence ratio of $\phi = 1.5$.

The test result gave strong evidence of the potential of the shock tube as a useful autoignition research apparatus, whilst highlighting the need for a dedicated fuel mixture preparation system to be developed.
7 Concluding remarks

A potentially automatable shock tube facility suitable for high temperature autoignition research was designed, installed and commissioned. The shock tube was capable of establishing useful test conditions with high reproducibility. Upon completion of commissioning, starting with both the driver and driven gases at ambient temperature and the driven gas at atmospheric pressure, it was capable of accessing a maximum test temperature of \(973K\) for a duration of \(1.3\ ms\). It was shown that, by incorporating initial pre-heating and driven gas rarefaction in future developments to the facility, a maximum test temperature of over \(1500K\) is potentially attainable.

The shock tube used compressed air as the driver gas. A high pressure compressor and reservoir system was installed to supply the compressed air. This setup gave the advantage that, excluding the running costs of the compressor, the supply of driver gas was free, unlimited and non-toxic. The disadvantage was that the shock tube had to operate at significantly higher driver pressures than would have been necessary if a lighter gas, such as Helium, was used.

The specially developed rapid opening sleeve valve was a novel feature of the shock tube facility. It effectively replaced the function of a diaphragm. The valve was a significantly improved version of the two-stage, piston actuated concept of Oguchi \textit{et al} [20]. A model for assessing the performance of the valve was developed and validated with experimental data. It indicated that extremely short opening times, in the region of \(0.5\ ms\), were attained. The short opening time combined with the sculpting and streamlining of the flow path through the valve, between the driver and driven tubes, helped maximise the efficiency of the shock tube. Control of the valve operation could easily be automated and, compared to conventional shock tubes, the test turn around time was reduced by the period required to replace a diaphragm. By the end of the commissioning process, reliable and consistent operation of the valve had been attained.
8 Recommendations

The developed shock tube was essentially a working prototype, and as such, several areas where improvements could be made had been identified upon completion of commissioning. Additionally, the incorporation of automation, thermal control and fuel preparation, supply and purging systems were beyond the scope of this project and should be undertaken in future projects. Note that the provisions made to facilitate the integration of these systems are described in Section 5.3. The recommendations for further development and improvement of the facility are as follows:

• Incorporate an air-fuel mixture preparation and supply system with the shock tube. The system must ensure accurate air-fuel ratio metering and complete, homogeneous vaporisation of the mixture. It must also perform the function of purging the combusted test gas after each test. Consider integrating the fuel system with a vacuum system to rarefy the driven gas, as this will enable test temperatures in excess of $1500\,K$ to be accessed.

• Incorporate a system for controlling the initial driver and driven gas temperature. The system must be capable of uniformly raising the gas temperature to $410\,K$, and should make use of the water cooling jacket which was purposely designed to ensure thermal isolation of the rapid opening sleeve valve.

• Use computer control to automate the operation of the facility in order to both reduce the risk of human error and convenience the repetitive nature of fuel characterisation experimentation.

• Couple a large inertial mass to the end of the driven tube to reduce the mechanical vibration noise seen on the endwall pressure trace. Also consider modifying the endwall of the driven tube to the plug design described by Tranter et al [8], as this may eliminate gasket issues and improve the quality of the reflected shock pressure trace.
Recommendations

• Consider further polishing the inside of the driver and driven tubes to improve the efficiency of the shock tube. A preferable alternative would be to chrome plate the tubes internally, as doing so would prevent a deterioration in surface finish due to corrosion.

• Consider mounting additional pressure transducers along the length of the driven tube so that the rate of shock wave attenuation can be measured. This will enable a more accurate calculation of the speed of the shock at the instant it reaches the endwall, which will in turn lead to a more accurate determination of test temperature.

• Investigate to what extent the volume of the driver tube can be reduced without adversely affecting either the operation of the rapid opening valve or the test conditions. Given the time and energy required to compress the driver gas, a reduction in driver volume would be desirable.

• Although every effort was made to ensure high levels of safety, the dangers associated with the high pressure equipment warrant the extra precaution of having the facility independently evaluated by an appropriately qualified, experienced professional engineer, particularly with regard to the long term fatigue life of the pressure containing vessels and the safety of the high pressure pneumatic circuits/systems. It is also important that a thorough risk assessment be performed and documented prior to making any modifications to the facility.
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A.1 Overview

In order to give the shock tube functionality, several subsystems were integrated with it: the compressed air reservoir provides the supply of high pressure driver gas, the pneumatic circuit controls the operation of rapid opening valve and the pressurisation of the shock tube, and the data capture system records the test results. This appendix details the design and maintenance of these subsystems. Procedures for operating the shock tube via the subsystems are contained in Appendix C.

A.2 The compressed air reservoir

A.2.1 Design details

A detailed schematic of the compressed air reservoir is shown in Figure A.1. It comprises a three-stage high pressure SCUBA compressor connected in parallel to a bank of three 50l gas bottles. Thus the system can be configured to have a capacity of either 50, 100 or 150l. The maximum pressure rating of the reservoir is 208bar. A gauge indicates the reservoir pressure, and a relief valve prevents over-pressurisation. The compressor pumps air to 200bar at a rate of 1.1l/min. Each shock tube experiment requires about 20l of air at the driver pressure. Therefore each test at a driver pressure of 200bar corresponds to about 20min of compressor operation.

The compressor is a L&W225 purchased from Orca Industries. It has a built in pressure relief valve which limits the maximum pressure it can supply to 240bar. The manufacturer's manual contains detailed operating and maintenance instructions and must be read by the operator. Note that the following modifications were made to automate the operation of the compressor:

- The compressor was fitted with an adjustable pressure switch which automatically turns it on when the reservoir pressure drops below 190bar, and then turns it off when the pressure reaches 205bar.
- Timer controlled solenoids were fitted to the condensate drains to automate their operation.
- The manufacturer's tank filling valve was removed and the outlet from the compressor was plumbed directly into the reservoir manifold.

The gas bottles were sourced from a compressed natural gas storage facility. To ensure their integrity, they were visually inspected, hydrostatically pressure tested and
re-certified to a test pressure of $358 \text{bar}$ and an operating pressure of $208 \text{bar}$ by an accredited authority. The test certificate is contained in Appendix F. The rating of the gas bottles was the limiting factor which determined the maximum reservoir pressure - all other pneumatic fittings were rated for operation at pressures at or above $250 \text{bar}$.

### A.2.2 Maintenance

The manufacturer's manual contains a schedule for maintaining the compressor. It specifies maintenance tasks according to hours of operation. To facilitate adherence to this schedule, the compressor was fitted with an hour counter. Take particular note of the sump oil, air filter cartridge, and air inlet filter replacement intervals. Spares for these consumables were purchased and kept in store. If additional spares are required, or for service support, contact Orca Industries. The high pressure gas bottles must be periodically inspected, pressure tested and re-certified by an approved authority. The company Executive Safety Services provides this service. Control the connections between all pneumatic fittings and check for correct functioning of the pressure relief valve regularly. For maximum safety it is recommended that the compressed air reservoir system be completely overhauled within five year intervals.

![Figure A.1: Schematic of the compressed air reservoir system](image-url)

A-3
A.3 The pneumatic control circuit

A.3.1 Design details

The pneumatic circuit controls the following functions:

- Supply of compressed air from the reservoir to the shock tube.
- Operation of the rapid opening valve and therefore shock tube.
- Depressurisation of both the shock tube and compressed air reservoir manifold.

A detailed schematic of the system is shown in Figure A.2. The adjustable regulator limits the supply pressure from the compressed air reservoir, and the non-return valve prevents backflow to the reservoir. Gauge G1 indicates the trigger chamber pressure, gauge G2 indicates the actuating chamber and driver tube pressure, and gauge G3 indicates the driven tube pressure. The length and flow rating of the lines connected to the rapid opening valve are critical to its performance. The exhaust line was plumbed into a large extraction duct which has an outlet on the roof of the building.

The circuit was assembled from quality OEM high pressure pneumatic and air compatible hydraulic fittings. All pneumatic fittings were sourced from Swagelok, and all hydraulic fittings were supplied by Hyflo. All components have a rated operating pressure of at least 250bar. The connections between the circuit and the shock tube were made with flexible high pressure hose to allow for recoil of the shock tube as it is triggered.

A.3.2 Maintenance

Prior to operation, ensure that all connections are secure, all line clamps are in place and that no bends in the flexible hydraulic hose violate the minimum bend radius of 180mm. In the event of a leak, immediately replace the faulty component. Do not interchange the components of the circuit - always make sure to connect a component to the same mating part. Prior to assembling a connection, ensure it is clean and the threads undamaged. The components of the pneumatic circuit have a recommended service life of five years, hence overhaul the system within this interval.
Figure A.2: Schematic of the pneumatic control circuit
A.4 The data capture system

A.4.1 Design details

The primary purpose of the data capture system was to record the propagation of the shock wave along the driven tube. Accurate determination of the incident shock velocity was necessary for calculation of the post shock thermodynamic state. A secondary purpose was to record the pressure in the actuating chamber during a test so that the operation of the rapid opening valve could be verified.

A schematic of the system is shown in Figure A.3. Two high frequency, charge output piezoelectric pressure transducers (Kistler type 603B1) were mounted in the driven tube - one was located in the sidewall of the tube exactly 1m from the endwall, and the other was located in the endwall itself. Both sensors were flush mounted to ensure accurate measurement of the shock rise time. A third, similar transducer (Kistler type 601B1) was mounted in an air passageway which directly accessed the actuating chamber.

The output from each transducer was connected by a short, low noise signal cable to a charge amplifier (Kistler type 5015A). The amplifiers output a voltage signal directly proportional to the measured pressure. The voltage signals were connected to the data capture computer, which was equipped with a high speed data card and programmed with a LabVIEW® user interface for controlling the data acquisition. The system is capable of simultaneously sampling each channel at 200kHz, giving a time resolution of 5μs per sample, for a duration of 0.5s. The LabVIEW program triggers sampling from the instant a selected channel crosses a chosen threshold voltage level.

A.4.2 Maintenance

The Kistler pressure transducers and charge amplifiers are valuable equipment. For service support or to repair/replace faulty components, contact the local Kistler agents, Inher SA. To prevent misuse, the operator must read and be familiar with the manufacturer’s manuals. Take particular note of the sensor mounting instructions regarding tightening torque and the requirement to keep the cable connections perfectly dry. The sensors are mounted in adapters, a small brass washer forming the seal, and then the adapter is mounted in the shock tube, a special copper washer forming the seal. A supply of spare sealing washers was purchased and kept in store. The manufacturer’s calibration sheets for the transducers are kept on file, however to ensure measurement accuracy they should be re-calibrated periodically. Always ensure the equipment is kept in a moisture free environment.
Figure A.3: Schematic of the data capture system
B Assembly, inspection and maintenance of the shock tube
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B.1 Assembly instructions

B.1.1 General

A detailed assembly drawing of the basic shock tube apparatus (including the rapid opening sleeve valve) is contained in Appendix G. To ensure safe, correct operation, the shock tube must always be assembled according to the arrangement shown therein. Before assembly, inspect all components and replace/repair those which show signs of excessive wear/damage/corrosion. Additionally, all unpainted metallic surfaces must be thoroughly cleaned and then lubricated with a thin oil/grease (suggest Q20) in order to both protect against wear and corrosion and reduce friction between the sliding parts. Take great care when dismantling/transporting/assembling components of the apparatus to ensure that the functional surfaces are not damaged in any way. During assembly, never use excessive force - all components were designed and manufactured to fine tolerances and slide easily into place when correctly aligned.

B.1.2 O-ring seals

The shock tube assembly includes a number of o-ring seals. The placement and size of each o-ring is indicated in Figure B.1. During assembly, inspect each o-ring and replace those which are damaged. Coat all o-rings in a thin layer of rubber grease prior to fitting them in place. It is critical for the high temperature and fuel compatibility of the apparatus that Viton® o-rings are used throughout.

B.1.3 Bolt fastening

The shock tube assembly includes several structurally critical bolted flange connections. It is mandatory that these bolts are fastened to the correct torque as indicated in Figure B.1. When either assembling or dismantling a flange connection, use the criss-cross tightening sequence to ensure proper seating and even loading of the joint. Property Class 8.8 high tensile steel bolts and nuts must be used throughout. Assemble each bolt with a true-hardened steel washer under both the bolt head and the nut. The bolts were designed to be re-usable, however it is good practice to replace them after a few re-assemblies. The nuts and washers must be replaced each time the joint is re-assembled for operation.
Figure B.1: Standard part specifications: o-rings and bolts
B.2 Inspection and maintenance of the shock tube

It is important that the shock tube apparatus be kept free of corrosion. To this end, it must be kept indoors in a dry environment and its exterior should be repainted when necessary. To establish the long term operational reliability of the shock tube, it should be completely dismantled and visually inspected for internal wear/damage/corrosion after each major round of testing. When this is undertaken, polish off any minor surface corrosion, replace/repair any damaged components and make a note of the maintenance work done. It is recommended that the pressure containing shell be professionally examined for cracks and defects, particularly at the welded flange joints, and hydrostatically pressure tested to 250\text{bar} after the first year of service and subsequently every two years. Also perform these examinations prior to re-commissioning the shock tube if it has not been used for a long period of time. For maximum safety, a conservative service life of 10 years is recommended, hence the shock tube should either be overhauled or de-commissioned by the year 2019.
C Operational procedures
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C.1 Pre-test checks

The following checks must be completed prior to operating the shock tube or any of its subsystems:

- Ensure that the shock tube has been correctly assembled. In particular, all bolted flange joints must be fastened to the correct torque and all seals must be in place.

- Check that the shock tube is longitudinally aligned, horizontally level and securely fastened to the mounting frame, which must be resting stably on its floor mounts.

- Check that all pneumatic connections are in place and are secure.

- Check that all flexible hose lines are not sharply bent, twisted or damaged in any way.

- Ensure that all pressure transducers are securely in place.

- Check that all the signal cables from the pressure transducers to the data capture computer are connected, untwisted and have some slack to allow for recoil of the shock tube.

- The first test of the day should always be conducted at a low driver pressure ($\approx 10\text{bar}$), so that any leaks and/or malfunction can be identified and repaired prior to operating the shock tube at high pressure.

- It is recommended that the operator read through all the procedures herein before operating the shock tube. Doing so will clarify the interaction between the subsystems.

- Note that ear and eye protection must be worn by the operator and those in the immediate vicinity of the system.
C.2 Shock tube testing

The operation of the shock tube relies on the pressurisation of the driver and driven tubes and the action of the rapid opening valve. These functions are controlled by the pneumatic control circuit. With reference to Figure A.2, follow the steps listed below to conduct shock tube experiments.

1. First charge the compressed air reservoir according to the procedure described in Section C.3.
2. Close all valves V1 to V7 and completely unscrew the regulator so that it prevents flow.
3. Open the reservoir outlet valve.
4. Open valve V1 and set the regulator to the desired driver pressure.
5. Slowly open valve V2. This causes the ring piston to move forward and block the outlet from the actuating chamber. Wait until gauge G1 indicates complete pressurisation of the trigger chamber.
6. Slowly open valve V3. This causes the sliding sleeve to move forward and close off the flow ports between the driver and driven tubes. A metallic sound will be heard when the sleeve reaches the closed position.
7. Open valve V4 and then V5. This fills the driver tube and equalises the throttle chamber to the driver pressure.
8. Very slowly, and only partially, open valve V7. Ensure the driven tube is fully depressurised and there is no leakage flow into it.
9. Close valve V7 to seal off the driven tube exhaust line.
10. Monitor gauge G2 which indicates the driver pressure. Once the regulator pressure is reached, close valves V2 and V3 in sequence to isolate the shock tube from the air supply.
11. Fill the driven tube with the chosen test gas.
12. Note and record the initial test conditions: driver gas pressure and temperature, and driven gas pressure and temperature.
13. Operate the data capture system according to the procedure described in Section C.5.

15. A few seconds after the shock tube is fired, close valve V6 and then open valve V2. This resets the ring piston and prevents the shock tube from discharging completely through the exhaust chamber.

16. Save the captured test data.

17. To perform another test at the same or higher driver pressure, close valves V5 and V4 in sequence, then repeat from step 6. If testing is complete, follow the remaining steps to depressurise the system.

18. Close valve V1 and V2.

19. Very slowly, and only partially, open valve V7 to depressurise the shock tube.

20. Open valve V6 to discharge the trigger chamber.

21. Slowly open Valve V2 and screw in the regulator to depressurise the supply line.

22. Slowly open valve V3 to ensure the driver tube and rapid opening valve are fully depressurised.

23. Close all valves V2 to V7 and unscrew the regulator to the blocked flow position.

24. Shut down the compressor and depressurise the reservoir manifold according to the procedure described in Section C.4.
C.3 Compressed air reservoir operation

With reference to Figure A.1, follow the steps listed below to operate the compressed air reservoir. In the event of a malfunction, immediately switch off the compressor, close the gas bottles and depressurise the system. It is again stressed that the operator must first be familiar with the compressor manufacturer’s manual.

1. Check the compressor sump oil level.

2. Manually switch on the compressor room extraction fan.

3. Close the reservoir outlet valve. This shuts off the supply line leading to the shock tube.

4. Close all gas bottles.

5. Open the two manual condensate drain valves at the base of the compressor to ensure it is fully depressurised. **Once ensured, close both drain valves.**

6. Switch on the compressor and ensure the fan rotates in the correct direction.

7. Observe the reservoir manifold pressure gauge. After 20 to 30 seconds, the gauge will indicate a steady rise in pressure. Check that the compressor turns off when the pressure reaches approximately $205\text{bar}$.

8. Slowly open either one, two or all three gas bottles, depending on the desired reservoir capacity. As the pressure drops below $190\text{bar}$, the compressor will automatically switch on. Note that the automatic condensate drains were set to open for 10 seconds immediately as the compressor starts, and thereafter at approximately 10 minute intervals.

9. Leave the door of the compressor room open as the gas bottles are filled to ensure good ventilation.

10. During the filling process, monitor the system at approximately 10 minute intervals to check that the pressure is indeed rising and that the automatic condensate drains are functioning.

11. Check that the compressor switches off when the pressure reaches about $205\text{bar}$.

12. Perform the shock tube tests according to the procedure described in Section C.2.

13. Upon completion of the day’s testing, shut down the compressor and depressurise the reservoir manifold according to the procedure described in Section C.4 below.
C.4 Compressed air reservoir shut down and depressurisation

1. Ensure the shock tube is depressurised, all valves V1 to V7 are closed and the regulator is completely unscrewed to the blocked position.

2. Switch off the compressor.

3. Close all the gas bottles.

4. Open, and leave open, the manual condensate drains to ensure depressurisation of the compressor.

5. Open the reservoir outlet valve if it is not already open.

6. Open valves V6 and V2.

7. Open valve V1 and slowly screw in the regulator to depressurise the manifold.

8. Once depressurised, close all valves, including the reservoir outlet valve, and unscrew the regulator to the blocked position.
C.5 Set up of the data capture system

With reference to Figure A.3, follow the steps listed below to set up the data capture system to record the results of a shock tube test. Note that the charge amplifiers should be turned on and allowed to warm up for at least half an hour prior to testing. Also note that to save time during testing, the system can be preset up to step 9 before commencing the shock tube testing procedure.

1. Verify that the charge amplifiers and computer are switched on.

2. Verify that the charge amplifiers are set to the correct sensor sensitivity:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sensor location</th>
<th>Serial number</th>
<th>Manufacturer’s calibration</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sidewall</td>
<td>2067751</td>
<td>-5.501pC/bar</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Endwall</td>
<td>2067752</td>
<td>-5.559pC/bar</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Actuating chamber</td>
<td>C193578</td>
<td>-16.25pC/bar</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

3. Set the maximum output range of each charge amplifier to the same value. As a guide, the value should be about twice the driver pressure.

4. Check that the charge amplifiers are set to the ‘DC long’ and ‘LP filter off’ modes.

5. Note the sensitivity, in bar/V of the charge amplifier output as indicated on the amplifier screen.

6. On the computer, double click on the desktop icon entitled ‘Shock Tube’. This opens the LabVIEW user interface.

7. Enter the sensitivity (bar/V) of the charge amplifier output.

8. Set up the data capture trigger. It is suggested that the system is set to trigger when the actuating chamber signal (channel A2) drops lower than $-1\text{bar}$.

9. Verify that the sample rate and duration are set to $200kHz$ and $0.5s$ respectively.

10. When ready to fire the shock tube, press the ‘Meas’ button on all amplifiers to activate their output signals. A green led on the amplifier front panel will light up.

11. Click the Capture button on the LabVIEW user interface. The data capture system is now ready, and will begin sampling as soon as the shock tube is fired and the trigger channel crosses the threshold voltage.
12. Remember to save the recorded data after each test. This is done by clicking the save button on the LabVIEW user interface.

13. After the days testing is complete, remember to switch off the amplifiers (switch is at the back panel) and shut down the computer.
D Operational safety assessment
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D.1 Risk assessment

Throughout the development of the shock tube facility, ensuring a high level of safety was the priority. To minimise the operational hazards associated with the facility, a risk assessment was conducted. The following safety concerns were identified and addressed.

D.1.1 System over-pressurisation

The shock tube was rated to operate at a maximum driver pressure of 200\textit{bar}. To enable it to be quickly pressurised to this limit, the compressed air reservoir was designed to provide a slightly higher maximum supply pressure of 208\textit{bar}. The compressor was equipped with an automatic pressure switch which turns it off once this pressure is reached. A regulator between the reservoir and shock tube closes the supply line when the shock tube pressure reaches 200\textit{bar}. Over-pressurisation of either system beyond its upper limit would reduce the design safety factors guarding against their structural failure. Structural failure of these systems could cause a number of hazards. Ranging in severity from minor to extremely dangerous, they include: leaks, system malfunction, damage to equipment, high velocity gas jets and projectiles, and in the worst case bursting of the pressure containing vessels.

Potential modes of over-pressurisation of the compressed air reservoir that were identified included failure of the compressor to turn off at the upper pressure limit and overheating of the reservoir. The following measures were implemented to address these hazards:

- The reservoir was fitted with a pressure relief valve set to blow off if the pressure exceeded safe levels.
- The compressor was equipped with its own pressure relief valve for redundancy.
- The compressor was fitted with a easily accessible manual shut down switch for turning it off should the automatic pressure switch malfunction. The reservoir pressure is indicated by a pressure gauge for monitoring purposes.
- The gas bottles in which the compressed air is stored were inspected, hydrostatically pressure tested and re-certified to a test pressure of 358\textit{bar} and an operating pressure of 208\textit{bar} at room temperature by an accredited authority prior to their installation. The test certificate is contained in Appendix F.
• Instructions for depressurising the reservoir manifold were included in the operating procedure lists.

• The reservoir was installed in an uninhabited, brick wall enclosed room to minimise the consequences of a structural failure.

• The enclosed room is ventilated by an extraction fan to prevent overheating. Mandatory operation of the fan is included in the operating instructions for the system.

Potential modes of over-pressurisation of the shock tube that were identified included failure or incorrect operation of the pressure regulator, and overheating of the shock tube. The following measures were implemented to address these hazards:

• The assembled shock tube was hydrostatically pressure tested to 250 bar to ensure its structural integrity if the regulator failed and it was subjected to the maximum reservoir pressure of 208 bar. The test certificate is contained in Appendix F.

• The pressure in all sections of the shock tube is indicated by pressure gauges. If the pressure regulator failed to close the supply line when the maximum pressure was reached, a manually operated valve for doing so was provided.

• Instructions for a) operating the regulator and b) depressurising the shock tube were included in the operating procedure lists.

• Recommendation was made that if any modifications are to be made to the facility, such as the installation of a heating system, a thorough risk assessment must first be conducted and documented.

D.1.2 Missassembly of the shock tube

The shock tube comprises three main elements: the driven tube, the driver tube and the rapid opening valve. These elements are assembled together by means of o-ring sealed, bolted flange joints. Additionally, the rapid opening valve is itself assembled from a number of individual parts. Missassembly of the apparatus could result in:

• Malfunction of the rapid opening valve.

• Structural failure and the associated hazards described in Section D.1.1.
Potential modes of failure include missassembly due to incorrect arrangement of components, misalignment of components, left out components, damage caused by forced assembly, use of unsuitable standard components (e.g. wrong size bolts or o-rings), under/over tightened bolts and incorrect lubrication. The following measures were implemented to address these hazards:

- Assembly instructions were compiled.
- Mandatory control of bolt torque was included in the assembly instructions.
- All standard components were specified in the assembly instructions.

D.1.3 High pressure pneumatic circuitry

The shock tube is operated by a high pressure pneumatic circuit which controls the following functions:

- Supply of compressed air from the reservoir to the shock tube.
- Action of the rapid opening valve.
- Discharge of the shock tube and reservoir manifold.

Incorrect operation of this system could damage the shock tube or cause it to malfunction. In addition, breakage of any of the components of the pneumatic circuit could cause dangerous safety hazards such as high velocity gas jets and projectiles, loud noises and lashing pressure hoses.

Potential modes of failure include the use of defective components, missassembly of the components, over-pressurisation of the system, incorrect operation of the system and relative motion between the circuit and shock tube due to shock tube recoil. The following measures were implemented to address these potential safety hazards:

- All pneumatic fittings were purchased new from reputable OEM suppliers, and were rated for safe operation at the conditions to which they would be exposed during normal shock tube operation.
- All pneumatic fittings were assembled strictly according to the manufacturers' recommendations.
- Pressure gauges were included in the circuit so that the pressure in all separated sections could be independently monitored.
• Flexible hose was used to make all connections between the pneumatic circuit and the shock tube to allow for relative motion.

• Wherever practical, pressure hoses and tubes were securely clamped down.

• Operation and maintenance instructions for the system were compiled.

• Mandatory use of eye and ear protection was included in the operating procedures.

D.1.4 Malfunction of the rapid opening valve

The rapid opening valve controls the flow between the driver and driven tubes. It is operated pneumatically by the compressed driver gas. Malfunction of valve could damage its internal components, make the shock tube perform poorly or make it completely inoperable, and trap compressed air within the shock tube.

Potential modes of failure include malfunction due to worn or damaged valve components, broken seals, missassembly of the valve and incorrect valve operation. The following measures were implemented to address these potential hazards:

• All separate chambers of the shock tube were connected to a discharge line to enable complete depressurisation in any valve configuration.

• Pressure gauges connected to the various chambers indicate leakage past seals.

• All the valve’s moving parts are contained internally and therefore do not endanger the operator.

• Assembly, operation and maintenance procedures were compiled.
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E.1 Introduction

The pressure containing shell of the shock tube consisted of three main vessels: the outer cylinder of the rapid opening valve, the driver tube and the driven tube extension. Each vessel was constructed from a section of hydraulic cylinder tube and two boilerplate steel flanges - one welded at each end of the tube. The vessels were joined by bolting together the mating flanges. The shell was designed for a maximum internal operating pressure of 20 bar. South African law regulates the manufacture of pressure vessels that have a nominal internal diameter over 150 mm [34], hence the components of the shell, which all had internal diameters under 150 mm, were not subject to this legislation. However, during the design phase careful consideration was given to the fact that during operation the vessels would contain very high pressure gas, which is inherently dangerous, and would undergo cyclic pressurisation, making them susceptible to fatigue.

To illustrate the method by which these vessels were designed, the calculations involved in the design of the driver tube are described in this appendix. Reference is made to Figure E.1, which shows the elements of the driver tube vessel.

![Figure E.1: Driver tube pressure containing vessel](image-url)
E.2 Pressure containing tube

The basic element of the vessel was a single length of thick walled seamless ST52-3 hydraulic tube, of the type commonly used in the construction of large, high pressure hydraulic cylinders. ST52-3 steel has a minimum yield strength of 355 MPa. The tube was purchased new from the hydraulic supplies company HYFLO SA (Pty) Ltd. Table E.1 lists the dimensions and manufacturer specified pressure rating of the tube section. Note that the tube was rated for pressures well above 200 bar.

| Table E.1: Dimensions and pressure rating of the pressure containing tube |
|-----------------|-----------------|
| Length [mm]     | 2700            |
| Internal diameter [mm] | 110            |
| Wall thickness [mm]       | 15              |
| Pressure rating [bar]     | 520              |

E.3 Flange design

Figure E.2 shows a schematic of the driver tube flange attachments. The flanges were machined from ASTM A516 Gr70 boilerplate steel, which is a commonly used flange metal due to its excellent weldability and stringently controlled mechanical strength properties. It has a minimum yield strength of 262 MPa. Sealing between each pair of adjoining flanges was by means of a Viton® o-ring.

Figure E.2: Driver tube flange schematic
E.3.1 Flange bolting

Adjoining flanges were bolted together using Property Class 8.8 high tensile steel bolts preloaded to 75% of their proof strength. For each joint, the size of the bolts and the number of bolts to use were calculated according to the method for designing fatigue loaded tension joints with preload as detailed in [37]. The method specifies formulae for calculating the following safety factors:

- The bolt load factor, \( n \), defined as the multiple of the tensile load on the joint that would cause bolt yielding.
- The safety factor guarding against fatigue failure, \( n_f \), defined as the ratio of the alternating load strength to the alternating stress in the bolt.
- The safety factor guarding against joint separation, \( n_0 \), defined as the ratio of the tensile load which would cause joint separation to the tensile load on the joint.

The results of the flange bolting design calculations are summarised in Table E.2 below.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Flange joint</th>
<th>A</th>
<th>B</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Tensile load on joint [kN]</td>
<td>270</td>
<td>270</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bolt size</td>
<td>M16</td>
<td>M16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of bolts</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bolt grip length [mm]</td>
<td>84</td>
<td>54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Preload torque [Nm]</td>
<td>226</td>
<td>226</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( n )</td>
<td>7.3</td>
<td>5.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( n_f )</td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>3.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( n_0 )</td>
<td>3.7</td>
<td>3.9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
E.3.2 Flange size and welding

The flanges at each end of the driver tube were identical. They were designed using the ASME Boiler and Pressure Vessel Design Code [35] as a guide. Note that safeguard against fatigue failure is intrinsically built into the code. The flanges were double bevel butt welded onto the tube ends (see Figure E.2). To ensure good weld penetration, the flanges were weld prepared by machining large 45° bevels to a narrow root at the inside diameter. All welding was done by certified welders, and was subsequently stress relieved by heat treatment and tested for cracks using the magnetic particle inspection (MPI) technique. The ASME code specifies maximum safe limits, in terms of the material strength value $S_f$, for the hub stress, $S_H$, radial stress, $S_R$, and tangential stress, $S_T$, in the welded flange as calculated by formulas specified in the code. The stress limits are as follows:

$$S_H \leq 1.5S_f$$

$$S_R \leq S_f \quad \text{and} \quad (S_H + S_R)/2 \leq S_f$$

$$S_T \leq S_f \quad \text{and} \quad (S_H + S_T)/2 \leq S_f$$

For $ASTM$ $A516$ $Gr70$ boilerplate, $S_f = 138 MPa$. The results of the flange design calculations are summarised in Table E.3.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table E.3: Driver tube flange design</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Flange outside diameter, $A$ [mm]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bolt circle diameter, $C$ [mm]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Raise face diameter, $D$ [mm]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O-ring mean diameter, $G$ [mm]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Flange inside diameter, $B$ [mm]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Flange thickness, $t$ [mm]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weld leg size, $c$ [mm]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$S_H$ [MPa]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$S_R$ [MPa]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$S_T$ [MPa]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
E.3.3 Finite element analysis of the vessel

To double check that safe stress limits would not be exceeded upon pressurisation of the vessel, a basic analysis of the stresses in the vessel at the maximum rated internal pressure of 200 bar was carried out using the Abaqus finite element analysis (FEA) software package. The analysis accounted for the internal pressure on the vessel and the external tensile load (due to the internal pressure) on the flange attachments. Figure E.3 illustrates the von Mises stress profile through a cross section of the vessel at the critical flange attachments. As shown, the maximum stress through the section did not exceed 120 MPa, which is below the safe upper stress limit of 138 MPa specified for the flange metal in the ASME code.

Figure E.3: Stress profile through the flange cross section
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F.1 Shock tube hydrostatic pressure test certificate
## SHOCK TUBE APPARATUS

**HYDRAULIC SYSTEM PRESSURE TEST CERTIFICATE** No: 32523

### NAME OF TEST COMPANY:

HYFLO Southern Africa (Pty) Ltd.  
Reg. 66/02231/07  
PO Box 240, Paarden Eiland, 7420, South Africa  
Tel. (021) 514 3000  
Fax. (021) 511 7877  
VAT Reg. 4334205479  
E-mail: rsw@hyflo.co.za

### ORDER No.: N/A

### SYSTEM DETAIL

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>DRAWING #: n/a</th>
<th>DESCRIPTION: Shock Tube Apparatus</th>
<th>MANUFACTURER: UCT</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SYSTEM TYPE: CLOSED</td>
<td>HOSE USED: 1/2&quot;</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FITTING 1: 16S</td>
<td>FITTING 2: 16S</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### PRESSURE DETAILS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>WORKING PRESSURE: N/A</th>
<th>TEST PRESSURE: 25 MPa</th>
<th>TEST HOLD TIME: 15 min</th>
<th>TEST FLUID: WATER</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

**TEST REPORT:** ACCEPTED, NO LEAKS

### TEST GAUGE DETAILS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SERIAL No.:</th>
<th>CALIBRATION DATE:</th>
<th>RECALL DATE:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TEST ENGINEER: RANDOLI WILLIAMS</th>
<th>GL APPROVAL: N/A</th>
<th>CUSTOMER: MICHAEL DOWNEY</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

**SIGN:**

HYFLO SOUTHERN AFRICA (PTY) LTD  
CAPE TOWN BRANCH  
PO BOX 240  
PAARDEN EILAND, 7420  
Tel. (021) 514 3000  
Fax. (021) 511 7877  
VAT: 4334205479
F.2 Gas bottle inspection and hydrostatic pressure test certificate
Independent Pressure Test Station

TEST CERTIFICATE

DATE ISSUED: 09/07/2008

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>DATE</th>
<th>CYLINDER NO.</th>
<th>CYLINDER</th>
<th>FILLING</th>
<th>WATER</th>
<th>GIVEN</th>
<th>ISD</th>
<th>MANUFACTURING</th>
<th>TEST</th>
<th>EXTERNAL</th>
<th>INTERNAL</th>
<th>HYDRO</th>
<th>REMARKS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>09/07/2008</td>
<td>17A18011</td>
<td>50.0 L</td>
<td>fr GAS</td>
<td>66.40 kg</td>
<td>20000 kPa</td>
<td>SS045/1</td>
<td>5/57</td>
<td>023 ACCEPT ACCEPT ACCEPT</td>
<td>68.90 kg</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>TEST PASSED</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>09/07/2008</td>
<td>17A18018</td>
<td>50.0 L</td>
<td>fr GAS</td>
<td>68.00 kg</td>
<td>20000 kPa</td>
<td>SS045/1</td>
<td>5/57</td>
<td>023 ACCEPT ACCEPT ACCEPT</td>
<td>68.90 kg</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>TEST PASSED</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>09/07/2008</td>
<td>17A18010</td>
<td>50.0 L</td>
<td>fr GAS</td>
<td>68.00 kg</td>
<td>20000 kPa</td>
<td>SS045/1</td>
<td>5/57</td>
<td>023 ACCEPT ACCEPT ACCEPT</td>
<td>68.90 kg</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>TEST PASSED</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>09/07/2008</td>
<td>17A18015</td>
<td>50.0 L</td>
<td>fr GAS</td>
<td>68.00 kg</td>
<td>20000 kPa</td>
<td>SS045/1</td>
<td>5/57</td>
<td>023 ACCEPT ACCEPT ACCEPT</td>
<td>68.90 kg</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>TEST PASSED</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>09/07/2008</td>
<td>17A18012</td>
<td>50.0 L</td>
<td>fr GAS</td>
<td>68.00 kg</td>
<td>20000 kPa</td>
<td>SS045/1</td>
<td>5/57</td>
<td>023 ACCEPT ACCEPT ACCEPT</td>
<td>68.90 kg</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>TEST PASSED</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>09/07/2008</td>
<td>17A18013</td>
<td>50.0 L</td>
<td>fr GAS</td>
<td>68.00 kg</td>
<td>20000 kPa</td>
<td>SS045/1</td>
<td>5/57</td>
<td>023 ACCEPT ACCEPT ACCEPT</td>
<td>68.90 kg</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>TEST PASSED</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>09/07/2008</td>
<td>17A18014</td>
<td>50.0 L</td>
<td>fr GAS</td>
<td>68.00 kg</td>
<td>20000 kPa</td>
<td>SS045/1</td>
<td>5/57</td>
<td>023 ACCEPT ACCEPT ACCEPT</td>
<td>68.90 kg</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>TEST PASSED</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>09/07/2008</td>
<td>17A18017</td>
<td>50.0 L</td>
<td>fr GAS</td>
<td>68.70 kg</td>
<td>20000 kPa</td>
<td>SS045/1</td>
<td>5/57</td>
<td>023 ACCEPT ACCEPT ACCEPT</td>
<td>68.90 kg</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>TEST PASSED</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

RECOMMENDED NEXT TEST DATE: 09/07/2009

SANAS APPROVED SIGNATORY: J. BARBER

Registered Stamp

SANAS Accredited Facility

Company Reg No. 2003/03/1949/07

This certificate should be retained by the client as it may be required by a cylinder filler before refilling.
F.3 Weld quality control certification

F.3.1 Hand over certificate
Hand Over Certificate

Client: Chevron
Client's Order Number: 994793
Description: Manufacture 5 x Spool Pieces.
Job Number: 17040

Scope of Work

Spool Pieces As Per Drawing No: (STW001, STW002, STW003, STW004 & STW005)
- Cut all material and prep.
- Fit and tack material together.
- Fit-Up inspection and dimensional check prior to welding.
- Weld as per welding procedure AW017.
- Clean welding by means of grinding
- In-House MPI prior to PWHT
- PWHT as per welding procedure AW017
- Machine gasket faces
- 100% MPI after PWHT
- Final Inspection
- Release.

This is to certify that Allweld Marine and Industrial CC has completed the works or section/s thereof as detailed in the scope of work to the full satisfaction as per your requirement.

Client's Representative: [Signature]
Date: [Date]

Allweld Representative: [Signature]
Date: 03/04/2019
F.3.2 Welding procedure specifications
WELDING PROCEDURE SPECIFICATION
(WPS) QW-482
ASME BPV Section IX 2004
WPS No. AW017 rev 0

Company Name: Allweld
Welding Procedure Spec. No: AW017
Revision No: 0

Welding Process(es): GTAW and SMAW

JOINTS (QW-402)
Joint Design: Single V, double bevel
Backing (Yes/No): no
Backinng Material: n/a
Joint Preparation: machine and grind
Groove angle: 35-40 degrees
Root gap: 3.5mm
Landing: 0.2mm
Base metal thickness: 4.8mm to 44mm

BASE METALS (QW-403)
ASME designation
P No: 1
Group No: 1
Specification type and grade
ASTM A106 Grade B (and other P No 1 metals)
Thickness Range
Base Metal: Butt: 4.8mm to 44mm
Weld Metal: Butt: 44mm maximum
Pipe Diameter Range: all

FILLER METALS (QW-404)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>PROCESS</th>
<th>GTAW</th>
<th>SMAW</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SFA No</td>
<td>5.18</td>
<td>5.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AWS No</td>
<td>ER70S-6</td>
<td>E7018-1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F-No</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A-No</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Size</td>
<td>1.6mm and 2.4mm</td>
<td>2.5mm, 3.15mm, 4.0mm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>none</td>
<td>none</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thickness Range</td>
<td>Butt: 12mm maximum</td>
<td>Fillet: all</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Fillet: all</td>
<td>Thickness Range: 32mm maximum</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Elec-Flux (class): n/a</td>
<td>Flux Tradename: n/a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Consumable Insert: none</td>
<td>Consumable Insert: none</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Other</td>
<td>Other</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
# Welding Procedure Specification (WPS) QW-482 (Reverse Side)

## Positions (QW-402)
- **Position(s) of butt:** all
- **Weld Progression:** upright/forwards
- **Position(s) of fillet:** all

## Postweld Heat Treatment (QW-407)
- **Temperature Range:** 696 - 620 degrees Celsius
- **Time Range:** 1 hour per 25mm
- **Heating/Cooling Rate:** 110 C/hr maximum
- **Notes:** Heating/cooling above/below 300°C is uncontrollable.

## Preheat (QW-406)
- **Preheat Temp. (mm):** 20 degrees Celsius
- **Interpass Temp. (max):** 200 degrees Celsius
- **Preheat Maintenance:** none
- **Preheat method:** n/a
- **Other:** Min Ambient Temp. 10 degrees Celsius

## Gas (QW-408)
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Gas(es)</th>
<th>% Com. (max)</th>
<th>Flow Rate</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Argon</td>
<td>~99.997</td>
<td>15-20 lpm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>n/a</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

## Electrical Characteristics (QW-409)
- **Current AC or DC:** DC
- **Polarity:** (GTAW) + (SMAW)
- **Amperage Range:** see below
- **Voltage Range:** see below
- **Tungsten Electrode Size and Type:** 2.4mm - 2% Thoriated
- **Mode of Metal Transfer:** GMAW n/a
- **Electrode Wire Feed Speed:** n/a

## Technique (QW-410)
- **String or Weave Bead:** stringer and weave beads
- **Orifice or Gas Cup Size:** 8-12mm
- **Initial & Interpass Cleaning:** grind and wire brush
- **Method of Back Gouging:** none
- **Oscillation:** none
- **Contact Tube to Work Distance:** n/a
- **Multiple or Single Pass (per side):** two passes minimum
- **Multiple or Single Electrodes:** single
- **Travel Speed (Range):** manual
- **Peening:** none

## Weld Layer Classification

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Layer</th>
<th>Process</th>
<th>Classification</th>
<th>Size</th>
<th>F</th>
<th>No.</th>
<th>A No.</th>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Pol</th>
<th>Amp Range</th>
<th>Volt Range</th>
<th>Travel Speed</th>
<th>Notes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>root</td>
<td>GTAW</td>
<td>ER70S-6</td>
<td>2.4mm</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>DC</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>110 to 135</td>
<td>9-12</td>
<td>45 to 55</td>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>fil</td>
<td>GTAW</td>
<td>ER70S-6</td>
<td>2.4mm</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>DC</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>135 to 175</td>
<td>9-12</td>
<td>90 to 100</td>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>fil</td>
<td>GTAW</td>
<td>ER70S-6</td>
<td>2.4mm</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>DC</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>155 to 175</td>
<td>9-12</td>
<td>70 to 80</td>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>fil</td>
<td>SMAW</td>
<td>E7010-1</td>
<td>2.5mm</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>DC</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>90 to 145</td>
<td>21-28</td>
<td>70 to 130</td>
<td>1-3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>cap</td>
<td>GTAW</td>
<td>ER70S-6</td>
<td>2.4mm</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>DC</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>155 to 175</td>
<td>9-12</td>
<td>85 to 100</td>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>cap</td>
<td>SMAW</td>
<td>E7018-1</td>
<td>2.5mm</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>DC</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>90 to 110</td>
<td>21-28</td>
<td>135 to 150</td>
<td>1-3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Notes:**
1. Electrodes to be naked as per manufacturer's instructions and kept in a baking oven.
2. 3.15mm and 4.0mm electrodes size may also be used.
3. Heat Input (if impact testing a requirement) to be in the range 1 to 3 kJ/mm.
**ATLANTIC VERIFICATION CAPE (PTY) LTD**

**QUALIFICATION RECORD**

**ASME BPV Section IX**

**Company Name:** Allweld Marine

**PQR No:** AV05-0606

**WPS No:** AW017 rev 0  Date: 27 September 2005

**Welding Processes:** GTAW and SMAW

**Types (Manual, Auto, Semi-Auto):** Manual

---

**JOINTS (QW-402)**

- **Base Metal Thickness:**
  - Groove angle (α): 30-40 degrees
  - Root gap (R): 4mm
  - Landing (L): 1.5mm

---

**BASE METAL (QW-403)**

- **Material Spec:** ASME A106 to same
- **Type or Grade:** B to same
- **P No I Group No I to same**
- **Thickness of test coupon:** 22mm to same
- **Diameter of test coupon:** 6NB to same
- **Retainers:** no

---

**FILLER METALS (QW-404)**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Process</th>
<th>GTAW</th>
<th>SMAW</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SFA No.</td>
<td>5.18</td>
<td>5.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AWS Class:</td>
<td>ER70S-6</td>
<td>E7018-1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Filler Metal F No.</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weld Metal A No.</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Filler Metal Size</td>
<td>2.4mm</td>
<td>2.5/3.15mm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Deposited Weld Metal</td>
<td>6mm</td>
<td>10mm</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

**POSTWELD HEAT TREATMENT (QW-407)**

- **Temperature:** Soak at 605 degrees Celsius for 1 hr
- **Heating rate:** 220 C/hr from 300 C
- **Cooling rate:** 100 C/hr down to 300C then air cool

**GAS (QW-408)**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Mixture</th>
<th>Flow Rate</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Shielding</td>
<td>Argon</td>
<td>99.997%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Backing</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>n/a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trailing</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>n/a</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

**ELECTRICAL CHARACTERISTICS (QW-409)**

- **Current:** DC
- **Polarity:** - (GTAW) / + SMAW
- **Amps:** 95-164
- **Volts:** 9-28
- **Tungsten Electrode Size:** 2.4mm - 2% Thoriated
- **Other:**

---

**TECHNIQUE (QW-410)**

- **Travel Speed:**
- **String or weave bead:** weave max. 3x filler OD
- **Oscillation:** none
- **Multiple/Single Electrodes:** single
- **Multiple/Single Pass:** multiple
- **Other:**

---

**AVG01 trend**
## Mechanical Test Results

### Tensile Test Results (QW-150)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Spec No.</th>
<th>Test Type</th>
<th>Dimensions (mm)</th>
<th>X-SECTION AREA (mm²)</th>
<th>Tension Strength</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>T1</td>
<td>XW</td>
<td>20.1 x 18.5</td>
<td>372</td>
<td>180 x 483 Mpa 4.15 Mpa</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T2</td>
<td>XW</td>
<td>20.1 x 19.0</td>
<td>382</td>
<td>187 x 490 Mpa 4.15 Mpa</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Note:** XW = Transverse cross weld tensile test  AW = All weld tensile test

### Guide Bend Test Results (QW-160)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Spec No.</th>
<th>Test Type</th>
<th>Location Bend</th>
<th>Specimen Former Diameter</th>
<th>Fracture Appearance and Remarks</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>SBW</td>
<td>XW</td>
<td>180 mm × 10 mm</td>
<td>40 mm no defects visible - satisfactory</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>SBW</td>
<td>XW</td>
<td>180 mm × 10 mm</td>
<td>40 mm no defects visible - satisfactory</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>SBW</td>
<td>XW</td>
<td>180 mm × 10 mm</td>
<td>40 mm no defects visible - satisfactory</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>SBW</td>
<td>XW</td>
<td>180 mm × 10 mm</td>
<td>40 mm no defects visible - satisfactory</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Note:** SBW = side bend weld test  FBW = face bend weld test  BBW = root bend weld test  XW = transverse cross weld

### Toughness Test Results (QW-170)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Spec No.</th>
<th>Dimensions (mm)</th>
<th>Notch</th>
<th>Test Location</th>
<th>Energy Absorbed (J) @ Test Temperature</th>
<th>Act. Values</th>
<th>Reqd. Values</th>
<th>Lateral Expansion Results (mm)</th>
<th>% Shear Results</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1-3</td>
<td>10 10 55</td>
<td>WM</td>
<td>-20°C</td>
<td>82 118 112</td>
<td>104 82</td>
<td>27 19</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1-3</td>
<td>10 10 55</td>
<td>HAZ</td>
<td>-20°C</td>
<td>146 120 96</td>
<td>121 96</td>
<td>22 19</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Notes:** WM = Weld Metal  HAZ = Heat Affected Zone  PM = Parent Material

### Weld Run Information

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Welding Process</th>
<th>Classification</th>
<th>Diameter (mm)</th>
<th>Current Type</th>
<th>Current Amps</th>
<th>Voltage</th>
<th>Wf</th>
<th>Travel Speed</th>
<th>Heat Input</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>GTAW</td>
<td>ER70S-6</td>
<td>2.4mm</td>
<td>DC-</td>
<td>127</td>
<td>9-10</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>1.52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>GTAW</td>
<td>ER70S-6</td>
<td>2.4mm</td>
<td>DC-</td>
<td>144-164</td>
<td>9-10</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>1.03-1.37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>SMAW</td>
<td>E7018-1</td>
<td>2.5mm</td>
<td>DC+</td>
<td>95-135</td>
<td>21-28</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>1.10-2.85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>SMAW</td>
<td>E7018-1</td>
<td>2.5mm</td>
<td>DC+</td>
<td>98</td>
<td>21-28</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>1.62</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Hardness Survey & Macro

**Notes:** Hardness locations in accordance with BS EN 288 Part 3 at 6 o'clock position  Results of macro examination: acceptable

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Location</th>
<th>CTE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>WM</td>
<td>154 160 160 175 170 179</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HAZ</td>
<td>203 186 192 230 196 192</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PM</td>
<td>245 224 243</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Fillet Weld Tests (QW-189)

**Results:** n/a  Penetration into Parent Metal (Yes/No): n/a

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Welder's Name</th>
<th>I.D. No.</th>
<th>Report No.</th>
<th>Results</th>
<th>Acceptance</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>M Higgins</td>
<td>7111125252098</td>
<td>1721</td>
<td>Acceptable</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

We certify that the statements on this certificate are correct and that the test welds were prepared, welded and tested in accordance with the requirements of ASME BPV Code Section IX 2004.

For: AVCAPE (Pty) Ltd

For: Lloyd's Register

AVCO11rev0
F.3.3 Welding consumable certification
# MATERIAL INSPECTION CERTIFICATE (EN 10204: 2004 type 3.1)

**PRODUCT:** TIGSTEEL ER 70S-6  
**DATE:** 2008-08-28

**DIAMETER:** 240 mm  
**BATCH NUMBER:** 1Z403  
**ELECTRODE MARKING:** 
**CLASSIFICATION:** ASME/AWS-SFA A5.18: ER 70S-6

## 1. CHEMICAL COMPOSITION (ACTUAL)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>% C</th>
<th>% Si</th>
<th>% Mn</th>
<th>% S</th>
<th>% P</th>
<th>% Cu</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>0.83</td>
<td>1.43</td>
<td>0.010</td>
<td>0.010</td>
<td>0.053</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

## 2. MECHANICAL PROPERTIES (ACTUAL - AS WELDED)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TENSILE STRENGTH (MPa)</th>
<th>YIELD-STRENGTH (MPa)</th>
<th>% ELONGATION</th>
<th>HARDNESS</th>
<th>IMPACT STRENGTH (CHARPY-V-NOTCH)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>593</td>
<td>470</td>
<td>33</td>
<td></td>
<td>TEST TEMP. (°C)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>-46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>127, 221, 154</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

## 3. RADIOGRAPHY

Acceptable

This confirms that the product TIGSTEEL ER 70S-6, batch no. 1Z403, has been tested and manufactured in accordance with ASME/AWS SFA 5.01-92, Class S2, Schedule I, and complies with the requirements of ASME/AWS SFA 5.18-93.

**THIS CERTIFICATE IS GENERATED ELECTRONICALLY & REQUIRES NO SIGNATURE.**

**VALIDATED BY:** S. SOOBRAMONEY  
QUALITY CONTROLLER  
OESA (PTY) LTD
F.3.4 Welder qualifications
# Welder Qualification Certificate

**Welder Name:** T. Arendse  
**Welder ID:** 7408155250081  
**Manufacturer:** All Weld  
**WPS No.:** AW026  
**Rev No.:** 0  
**Date:** 17/02/2009

The above welder is qualified for the following ranges:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Process</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Process Type</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Joints</strong></td>
<td>Single V-Groove</td>
<td>Argon 99.9%</td>
<td>Weld Metal</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Backing</strong> (Base, weld, metal, flux, etc.)</td>
<td>PT</td>
<td>PT</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Base Metal</strong></td>
<td>A3T.M A106 GR B</td>
<td>A3T.M A106 GR B</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Material Specifications to Material Specification</strong></td>
<td>3.73 mm</td>
<td>21.3 mm</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Pipe Diameter</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Filler Metal</strong></td>
<td>ER308-B8</td>
<td>5.28 F6</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>S.F.A. No.</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>F. No.</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Consumable/Inserts (GTAW, PAW)</strong></td>
<td>None</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Weld Deposit Thickness</strong></td>
<td>3.73 mm</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Position</strong></td>
<td>6G</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Weld Position</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Weld Progression</strong></td>
<td>UPHILL</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Gas</strong></td>
<td>Argon 99.9%</td>
<td>Argon 99.9%</td>
<td>Argon 99.9%</td>
<td>Argon 99.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Shielding Gas</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Electrical Characteristics</strong></td>
<td>DC</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Current</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Polarity</strong></td>
<td>E-NEG</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Test Results**

**Type and Number:** Radiography  
**Acceptable (Yes/No):** Yes

Visual Examination Results: Acceptable  
Radiographic Test Results: Acceptable  
Report No: 11854

Fillet Weld - Fracture Test: N/A  
Length and Percent Defects: None  
Macro Test Fusion: Concavity-Convexity N/A mm

Welding Test Conducted By: RTC (Pty) Ltd
Mechanical Tests Conducted By: N/A

We certify that the statements in this record are correct and that the test coupons were prepared, welded, and tested in accordance with the requirements of the latest edition and addenda of IX of the ASME Code.

Date: 17/02/2009  
Client: RTC (Pty) Ltd  
Sign:
F.3.5 Non-destructive examination reports
# R.I.T.C.

## NON-DESTRUCTIVE TESTING

### COMPONENT / WELD DETAIL

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Contractor</th>
<th>ALLWELD MARINE &amp; INDUSTRIAL</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Client</td>
<td>UCT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>System Location</td>
<td>ALLWELD WORKSHOP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Item</td>
<td>SPool PIECES</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Drawing No.</td>
<td>STW01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Surface Preparation</td>
<td>AS WELDED/CLEANED</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### TECHNICAL DETAIL

**Magnetic Particle Test**
- Testing Apparatus: MAGNAFLUX 10 YOKE
- Medium for Testing: ARDORX 9403V/800-3 BLACK
- Technique (Wet/Dry): WET

**Penetrant Fluid Test**
- Penetrant Developer: N/A
- Removal Method: N/A
- Dwell Time (Penetrantrum): N/A

**Lifting Power:** 40kG - AC
- Acceptance Criteria: ASME 3813

**PROCEDURE:** REYD-2-MT-D01 REV D

**Interpretation:**
- Saw01: 100% MPI AFTER WELDING AFTER PWHT ON 5X SPool PIECES
- Saw02: O. ARENDSE: ST120
- Saw03: NO RECORDABLE INDICATIONS FOUND AT TIME OF TEST

---

**Technician**

Name: L. LOTTERING
Qual: SNT-TC 1A UL
Date: 26/03/2009

**Inspection Authority**

Name: L. LOTTERING
Qual: SNT-TC 1A UL
Date: 26/03/2009
# R.I.T.C.

**NON-DESTRUCTIVE TESTING**

**CONTRACTOR**: ALLWELD MARINE & INDUSTRIAL

**CLIENT**: UCT

**Job No.**: 17040

**System Location**: ALLWELD W.SHOP

**ITEM**: SPOOL PIECES

**Drawing No.**: STW002

**Surface Preparation**: AS WELDED/CLEARED

## TECHNICAL DETAIL

### MAGNETIC PARTICLE TEST

- **Testing Apparatus**: MAGNAFLUX Y-3 YOKE
- **Medium for Testing**: ARCHEX 8030N, 650-3 BLACK
- **Technique (Wet/Dry)**: VET

### PENETRANT FLUID TEST

- **Penetrant developer**: N/A
- **Removal Method**: N/A
- **Dwell Time (Penetrant)**: N/A
- **Dwell Time (Developer)**: N/A

### LIFTING POWER:

4.3Kg - AC

### Acceptance Criteria:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>ADMC 551.3</strong></th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

### PROCEDURE:

- **R.T.C.P.M.T.031 REV0**

## CONSUMABLE Batches

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>BATCH No.</strong></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>4993 W : 06/03/09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>605-3 : 05/03/09</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

## INTERPRETATION

- **SAW01**
  - 100% MRI AFTER WELDING AFTER PWHT ON 5X SPOOL PIECES
- **SAW02**
- **SAW03**
- **SAW04**

**CLARENCE : ST129**

**NO RECORDABLE INDICATIONS FOUND AT TIME OF TEST.**

### SIGNATURES

- **Technician**: F. LOTTERING  
  - **Qual**: SNT-TC 1A  
  - **Date**: 26/03/2009

- **Instruction Authority**: ALLWELD W SHOP  
  - **Sign**:  
  - **Date**: 26/03/2009
**R.I.T.C.**

**NON-DESTRUCTIVE TESTING**

---

**REPORT NO.** 3908  
**Sheet 1 OF 1**  
**Date:** 26/03/2009  
**Contractor:** ALLWELD MARINE & INDUSTRIAL  
**Job No.:** 17040

**COMPONENT / WELD DETAIL**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Drawing No.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Client</td>
<td>UCT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>System Location</td>
<td>ALLWELD TW-3-10F</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Item</td>
<td>SPOOL PIECES</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Material</td>
<td>CARBON STEEL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Surface Preparation</td>
<td>AS WELDED/CLEANED</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

**TECHNICAL DETAIL**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Component</th>
<th>Welding Procedure</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>MAGNETIC PARTICLE TEST</td>
<td>PENETRANT FLUID TEST</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PENETRANT FLUID TEST</td>
<td>CONSUMABLE BATCHES</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Test Method</td>
<td>Penetrant Developer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medium for Testing</td>
<td>Removal Method</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Testing Temperature</td>
<td>Dwell Time (Penetrant)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Technique</td>
<td>Dwell Time (Developer)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LIFTING POWER</td>
<td>ACCEPTANCE CRITERIA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ACCEPTANCE CRITERIA</td>
<td>PROCEDURE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acceptance Criteria</td>
<td>PROCEDURE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ACCEPTANCE CRITERIA</td>
<td>PROCEDURE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MATERIAL</td>
<td>PROCESS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PROCESS</td>
<td>PROCESS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PROCESS</td>
<td>PROCESS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PROCESS</td>
<td>PROCESS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PROCESS</td>
<td>PROCESS</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Weld No.:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SAW01</th>
<th>SAW02</th>
<th>SAW03</th>
<th>SAW04</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>100% MPI AFTER WELDING / AFTER PWHT ON SPOOL PIECES</td>
<td>C. ARENDSE</td>
<td>ST120</td>
<td>NO RECORDEABLE INDICATIONS FOUND AT TIME OF TEST.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

**INTERPRETATION**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Technician</th>
<th>Qual.</th>
<th>Sign.</th>
<th>Date.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>F. LOTTERING</td>
<td>SNT-TC 1A LH</td>
<td></td>
<td>26/03/2009</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

**INSP. AUTHORITY**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Qual.</th>
<th>Sign.</th>
<th>Date.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
R.I.T.C.

NON-DESTRUCTIVE TESTING

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>COMPONENT</th>
<th>WELD DETAIL</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Contractor:</td>
<td>ALLWELD MARINE &amp; INDUSTRIAL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Client:</td>
<td>UCT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Job No:</td>
<td>12040</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>System Location:</td>
<td>ALLWELD WSHOP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Item:</td>
<td>SPIPOOL PIECES</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Drawing No:</td>
<td>SW001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Surface Preparation:</td>
<td>AS WELDED CLEANED</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TECHNICAL DETAIL</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>TESTING APPARATUS:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MAGNETIC PARTICLE TEST</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MEDIUM FOR TESTING:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ACRONIX BROWN / BLACK</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TECHNIQUE (Wet/Dry):</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1/4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LIFITING POWER:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40KG - AC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ACCEPTANCE CRITERIA:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ASME 911.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PROCEDURE:</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>INTERPRETATION</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>WELD No:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SAW01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SAW02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NO RECORDABLE INDICATIONS FOUND AT TIME OF TEST.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Technician Name:</th>
<th>QUAL</th>
<th>SIGN</th>
<th>DATE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>F. LOTTERING</td>
<td>SNT-TC-1A LTD</td>
<td></td>
<td>26/03/2009</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Inspection Authority Sign:</th>
<th>Date:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>03/03/2009</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
# Surface Crack Test Report

**Report No:** 3907  
**Sheet 1 of 1**  
**Date:** 26/03/2009

## Component/Weld Detail

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Contractor</th>
<th>ALLWELD MARINE &amp; INDUSTRIAL</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Client:</td>
<td>UC1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Item</td>
<td>SPOOL PIECES</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Drawing No:</td>
<td>STW0006SFP005</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Surface Preparation</td>
<td>AS WELDED/CLEALED</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

## Technical Details

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Testing Apparatus:</th>
<th>MAGNETIC PARTICLE TEST</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Medium for Testing:</td>
<td>MAGNAGLOX YOKE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Technique (Welding):</td>
<td>WET</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Penetrant Developer:</th>
<th>PENETRANT FLUID TEST</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Removal Method:</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dwell Time (Penetrant):</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

## Welding Details

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Weld No:</th>
<th>Procedure</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SAW01</td>
<td>ASMEB31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SAW02</td>
<td>ASMEB31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SAW03</td>
<td>ASMEB31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SAW04</td>
<td>ASMEB31</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

## Interpretation

**100% MPI AFTER WELDING/ AFTER PWHT ON 5X SPOOL PIECES**

C. ARENDSE  
ST129

**NO RECORDABLE INDICATIONS FOUND AT TIME OF TEST.**

---

**Technician:** F. LOTTERING  
**Quot:** SNT-TC1A-LUI  
**Date:** 26/03/2009

**Inspection Authority:**  
**Sign:**  
**Date:**

**Client:**  
**Sign:**  
**Date:**
G  Detailed manufacturing drawings
### Parts List

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Part Name</th>
<th>Qty</th>
<th>Material</th>
<th>Remark</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>shaft</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Mild steel</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>head plate</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>S0C steel</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>shaft flange</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Mild steel</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>guide cylinder</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>EN8</td>
<td>Condition N</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>ring piston</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Bronze</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>sliding sleeve</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Aluminium 7075</td>
<td>Condition T6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>driven connector</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>EN8</td>
<td>Condition N</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>sliding coupler</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>EN8</td>
<td>Condition N</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>oneway ring</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Bronze</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>throttle plate gear</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>As supplied</td>
<td>Module 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>gear pinion</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>As supplied</td>
<td>Not shown</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>gear align pin</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Mild steel</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>teflon bush</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Teflon</td>
<td>Not shown</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Continued

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Part Name</th>
<th>Qty</th>
<th>Material</th>
<th>Remark</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>inlet rod</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Mild steel</td>
<td>Ball seat</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>endwall</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>ASTM A516 Grade70 Boilerplate</td>
<td>Weld assembly</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>valve cylinder asm</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>EN8</td>
<td>Weld assembly</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>driven connector asm</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>EN8</td>
<td>Weld assembly</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>driven tube asm</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>EN8</td>
<td>Weld assembly</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>driven tube inn asm</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>EN8</td>
<td>Weld assembly</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>driven tube ext asm</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>EN8</td>
<td>Weld assembly</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>M20 by 140mm bolt</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>Class 8.8</td>
<td>Std. part</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>M20 by 110mm bolt</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>Class 8.8</td>
<td>Std. part</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td>M16 by 110mm bolt</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>Class 8.8</td>
<td>Std. part</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td>M10 by 140mm bolt</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>Class 8.8</td>
<td>Std. part</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>M10 by 80mm bolt</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>Class 8.8</td>
<td>Std. part</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26</td>
<td>M10 by 80mm bolt</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>Class 8.8</td>
<td>Std. part</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27</td>
<td>M36x2.0 nut</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Class 8.8</td>
<td>Std. part</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
DETAIL A: O ring groove
SCALE 2,000
Note: Surf fin and break edges also apply to groove in detail B

DETAIL B
SCALE 1,000

University of Cape Town
Department of Mechanical Engineering

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Title</th>
<th>shaft</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Item</td>
<td>Mild steel</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Material</td>
<td>Qty Remarks</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>University of Cape Town</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Dimensions in mm
Tolerance U.O.S.
Scale 0.500
Date 30.10.2006
Sheet 2 of 45

Drawn By Michael Downey
Drawing Number STP001
Deburr all edges 0.5 x 25°

SECTION K K

6 holes Ø2 equ. spaced on 60 PCD

K

60°
through hole M4x0.7 on 90 PCD

15° 15° 30°

.2 through holes Ø7
equispaced on 60 PCD
hole: weld rod into hole in gear then finish machine
Screwdriver slot

Undercut thread

\( \varnothing 5.5 \)

\( \frac{1}{4} \times 0.7 \)
Note: Suggest first machine bore, then press onto part 'GEAR-100T', then finish O.D.
Note: Cut tube to length (note the oversize to ensure), then press and weld on flanges according to drawing # STW002, then finish machine according to drawing # STW002.
Note: Flanges to be positioned flush with tube ends. Face side reinforcements will be machined off after welding. Minimum electrode yield strength is 800MPa. Flange holes to be aligned. Stress relieve welds. Finish machining according to drawing #STF002.
We a crop both sides as shown.

SECTION P-P
SCALE 0.500

12 holes Ø22
equ spaced on 250 PCD

Ø230

Ø143.2

45°

15

40

33°
Note: Rough part out to the oversize dimensions shown, then press and weld on the flanges according to drawing # SF001, then finish machine according to drawing # SF001
Note: Flanges to be positioned flush with edges. Note the flange hole alignment. Face side reinforcement will be machined off after welding. Minimum electrode yield strength is 600MPa. Stress relieve welds. Finish machine according to drawing # STF03.
2 holes 180° apart
Drill Ø 4 thru hole
Tap M3x0.5 \( \varphi 8 \)
Vacume a flat for Ø3C washer
at surface of holes

SECTION ACC-ACC
SCALE = 0.400
Recess groove 5mm, then mill slots with Ø6mm cutter on 128°CD
Cut tube to length, then weld according to drawing # STW005, then finish according to drawing # STF005

Note: Tube to be clamped and machine cut, not flame cut.
Note: Weld flanges flush with tube ends. Face side reinforcements will be machined off after welding. Minimum electrode yield strength is 600MPa. Stress relief welds. Flange face alignment not critical. Finish machine according to drawing # SLF005.
SECTION AG-AG

18B ASTM AS16 C-70

19A Hydraulic cylinder

Material

Item Material

Title

driven tube in weld assembly

Dimensions

Scale: 0.360

Date: 23.04.2009

Sheet: 35

Drawing Number: STAD04

University of Cape Town

Department of Mechanical Engineering

Drawn By: Michael O'Hanley
Cut tube to length, then weld according to drawing # STWD04, then finish according to drawing # STFC04.

Note: Tube to be clamped and machine cut, not hand cut.
Note: Weld flange flush with tube edge. Face side reinforcements will be machined off after welding. Minimum electrode yield strength is 600MPa. Stress release welds. Finish machine according to drawing # ST-004.
Tolerance only applies to the length (do not machine the rest of the tube)

SECTION AG-AG

DETAIL A: Groove

SCALE 2:100
Note: Weld flanges flush with tube ends. Face side reinforcements will be machined off after welding. Minimum electrode yield strength is 600MPa. Stress relieve welds. Flange hole alignment not critical.
Finish mach be according to drawing # ST-003
No. - T: the back faces of the flanges must also be faced off to ensure proper seating of the bolt heads (do not remove welding)

SECTION AF-AF
SCALE 0.500