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Abstract

Angiotensin-Converting Enzyme (ACE) plays an essential role in blood pressure regulation and ACE inhibitors are widely used to treat cardiovascular disease. Two isoforms exist, somatic ACE (sACE) consisting of two homologous domains, N- and C-domain, and testis ACE (tACE), corresponding to the C-domain of sACE. Despite a high degree of sequence identity, these two domains display marked differences in substrate and inhibitor specificity. Furthermore, the C-domain of ACE has been implicated to play a dominant role in blood pressure control. It has therefore been suggested that development of ACE inhibitor treatments that selectively block the C-domain will result in decreased side-effects compared to current therapies. Analysis of three-dimensional structures of tACE in complex with domain-specific inhibitors has enabled the identification of key active-site residues potentially playing a role in domain selectivity. To investigate the contribution of such residues, a series of C-domain mutants was generated containing single and multiple N-domain active-site substitutions. These constructs were used to characterise specific interactions using domain-selective inhibitors and fluorogenic peptides. Mutants tested with the fluorogenic peptides displayed minimal, if any, acquisition of N-domain-like catalytic properties. Of the single mutations, S₂ (F391Y, tACE numbering) and S₁ (V518T) pocket substitutions caused the largest decreases in affinity for the C-selective phosphinic inhibitor RXPA380 (34-fold) and keto-ACE derivatives (14-26 fold), respectively. The V379S mutation caused an unexpected increase in affinity (2-10 fold) for C-selective inhibitors containing a P₂’ Trp that could be explained by the formation of a water-mediated hydrogen bond interaction resulting from rearrangement of inhibitor and protein side-chains within the S₂’ pocket. Multiple mutants containing an N-domain-like S₂’ pocket combined with the S₂ F391Y substitution (S₂’F) caused the most notable shift in \( K_i \) from that of tACE for the highly selective phosphinic inhibitors, RXPA380 (\( K_i \)'s tACE = 69 nM; S₂’F = 5300 nM) and N-specific RXP407 (\( K_i \)'s tACE = 2800 nM; S₂’F \( K_i \) = 16.1 nM). This work identifies key residues contributing to the domain selectivity of ACE, and highlights the complex combination of effects involved in this phenomenon. Furthermore, it provides useful insight for the further design of domain-selective inhibitors.
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Chapter 1: Review of the Literature
1.1 The renin-angiotensin and kallikrein-kinin systems

The renin-angiotensin system (RAS) (figure 1.1) plays a critical role in the regulation of blood pressure, as well as fluid and electrolyte homeostasis (reviewed in Inagami, 1994; Fyhrquist and Saijonmaa, 2008). Hypotension, defined as low blood pressure, is a result of decreased sodium levels or effective plasma volumes, which stimulates renal release of renin (Goldblatt et al., 1934; Houssay. and Fasciolo, 1937; Page and Helmer, 1940). Renin releases the N-terminal peptide angiotensin I (Ang I) from the glycoprotein angiotensinogen, a prohormone secreted by the liver (figure 1.1) (Inagami, 1994). Ang I is in turn converted to the potent vasopressor angiotensin II (Ang II) by angiotensin-converting enzyme (ACE) (Skeggs et al., 1954; Skeggs et al., 1956). Ang II mediates a variety of functions through two receptors, angiotensin II type I (AT₁) and type II (AT₂) receptors (de Gasparo et al., 1995). AT₁ is responsible for actions such as vasoconstriction, aldosterone release, salt and water reabsorption, sympathetic activation and cell growth proliferation (Timmermans et al., 1992; Timmermans et al., 1993). The AT₂ receptor effects however, seem to offset those mediated by AT₁ and include vasodilation, inhibition of growth and proliferation, differentiation and apoptosis (Horiuchi, 1996; Csikós et al., 1998). This receptor is highly abundant in the developing foetus, however levels lessen rapidly after birth (Lazard et al., 1994; Shanmugam and Sandberg, 1996).

The complexity of the RAS is further demonstrated by several other biologically active angiotensin peptides (figure 1.1). Aminopeptidase A produces the metabolite angiotensin III (Ang III) from Ang II, which mediates similar functions to its precursor via the same receptors, discussed above (Wright and Harding, 1997; Ardaillou and Chansel, 1997). The angiotensin type IV (AT₄) receptor specifically binds the angiotensin III cleavage product, angiotensin IV (Swanson et al., 1992; Albiston et al., 2001), and has been associated with a number of actions such as neuronal development, renal and cerebral blood flow and memory gain and retention (Wright and Harding, 1997). Angiotensin(1-7), produced mainly by the action of the ACE homologue ACE2 on Ang II (Donoghue et al., 2000; Turner et al., 2002), displays interesting vasodilatory effects, particularly within an activated RAS (Nakamoto et
al., 1995; Iyer et al., 2000; Nakamura et al., 2003), and has been shown to mediate these through the G-protein-coupled receptor Mas (Santos et al., 2003).

![Diagram of the renin-angiotensin and kallikrein-kinin systems](image)

**Figure 1.1. The renin-angiotensin and kallikrein-kinin systems** (adapted from Acharya et al., 2003; Fyhrquist and Saijonmaa, 2008). Neutral endopeptidase (NEP); aminopeptidase A (AMPA); aminopeptidase M (AMPM); BK receptor (B2). Solid lines indicate conversion of a peptide to a product, while broken arrows indicate action of a hormone on a receptor.

The kallikrein-kinin system (KKS) (figure 1.1) is chiefly a tissue-based system, which in some animals has been shown to play a role in a variety of functions including blood pressure regulation, sodium balance and inflammatory processes (Katori and Majima, 1996; Damas, 1996; Yang et al., 1997). In humans, plasma kallikrein produces the vasodilator bradykinin (BK) from the glycoprotein precursor, high molecular weight kininogen (reviewed in Bhoola et al., 1992). Tissue kallikrein is able to cleave this kininogen, as well as a second low
molecular weight kininogen, to form the product kallidin. These kinins mediate their actions mainly through the more dominant kinin type II (B2) receptor, while products of kinin-carboxypeptidase activity (des-Arg⁸-bradykinin and des-Arg¹⁰-kallidin) have more potent effects on a second kinin type I (B₁) receptor induced during tissue damage (Regoli et al., 1989).

There is a unique interplay between these two systems, in which actions of the plasma KKS offset effects of the RAS (figure 1.1) (Schmaier, 2002). For example, plasma kallikreins have been shown to activate pro-renin (Sealey et al., 1979), and are able to produce Ang II directly from the angiotensinogen prohormone (Yamaguchi et al., 1991; Sasaguri et al., 1995). Furthermore, in addition to its role in Ang II production in the RAS as discussed above, ACE is responsible for the sequential degradation of the vasodilator BK at the Pro⁷-Phe⁸ and Phe⁵-Ser⁶ scissile bonds (Baudin, 2002), into inactive products (Erdos and Yang, 1967; Yang et al., 1970; Yang et al., 1971). Moreover, besides the effects of increasing and decreasing BK and Ang II levels, respectively, ACE inhibitors are also able to re-sensitise desensitised B₂ receptors to BK, via initiation of a process involving interaction between ACE and the receptor (Marcic and Erdös, 2000).

The critical role of the RAS has been clearly demonstrated by a variety of transgenic mouse models. Angiotensinogen (Kim et al., 1995), renin (Yanai et al., 2000), ACE (Krege et al., 1995; Esther et al., 1996) and AT₁ receptor (Ito et al., 1995; Chen et al., 1997; Tsuchida et al., 1998; Oliverio et al., 1998) knock-out mice all present with a significantly lowered blood pressure compared to wild-type animals. This blood pressure abnormality has been attributed to the absence of Ang II and not to BK accumulation, as evidenced by another mouse model lacking both the ACE and B₂ receptor genes, and displaying a similar hypotensive phenotype to that observed in the ACE knock-out model (Xiao et al., 2003). These studies clearly indicate that no other biological system is able to compensate for this Ang II deficiency, and highlights the fact that the RAS, particularly ACE, AT₁ receptors and renin, is an ideal target for the treatment of hypertension.
1.2 ACE - a zinc metallopeptidase

ACE (EC 3.4.15.1) is a dipeptidyl carboxypeptidase and a member of the gluzincin family (MA clan) of metalloproteases (Corvol and Williams, 1998). It is expressed as two isoforms, namely somatic ACE (sACE) and germinal or testis ACE (tACE) (Ehlers et al., 1989; Soubrier et al., 1988). Both are transcribed from a unique 21 kilobase ACE gene situated on chromosome 17q23, made up of 26 exons and under the control of two separate promoters (figure 1.2) (Howard et al., 1990; Hubert et al., 1991). The sACE promoter is 5’ to the first exon, and the mRNA contains 25 of the exons, with the thirteenth exon spliced out. The germinal promoter is contained within intron 12, upstream to exon 13, and the tACE transcript contains exons 13 through to 26.

ACE is attached to the cell membrane via a transmembrane region, and contains both a small, C-terminal intracellular region, and a large N-terminal highly glycosylated extracellular region (figure 1.2) (Soubrier et al., 1988). The ectodomain of the 1277-residue sACE comprises two homologous domains, called the N- and C-domains. These two domains are believed to be a result of a gene duplication event, displaying an overall sequence identity of approximately 60 % (figure 1.3).

Each of these domains contains an active-site with the zinc binding motif HEMGH, and sequence identity increases to 89 % within this region. The somatic form is widely expressed in a variety of tissues including vascular endothelium, renal proximal tubule epithelium, intestinal epithelium and macrophages (Takada et al., 1984; Chai et al., 1987; Sibony et al., 1993). The testis ACE isoform plays a role in fertility (Hagaman et al., 1998), is expressed exclusively in male germinal cells (Langford et al., 1993) and consists of only one extracellular domain, which except for the unique 36-residue N-terminal region, is identical to that of the C-domain of sACE (figure 1.2). A soluble form of the sACE enzyme is present in plasma, and is a result of cleavage of the protein from the cell membrane, by an as yet unknown secretase (Ehlers et al., 1996; Woodman et al., 2000).
Figure 1.2. **Diagrammatic representation of the ACE gene and gene products.** The ACE gene, situated on chromosome 17q23, contains two separate promoters (somatic and germinal) giving rise to two different isoforms, sACE, containing an N-domain (blue) and C-domain (maroon) and tACE (identical to the C-domain, maroon). Transmembrane region (TM).
Figure 1.3. **Protein sequence alignment of sACE N- and C-domains, and tACE** (adapted from Acharya et al., 2003; Natesh et al., 2003; Corradi et al., 2006). Numbering and alignment according to that reported by Soubrier et al., (1988) and Ehlers et al., (1989). The zinc-binding ligands and HEMGH motif are highlighted in purple and the chloride binding residues in green. Active-site residues differing between domains are highlighted as follows: S2 orange, S1 yellow, S1’ pink, and S2’ in blue. Bold residues indicate the sACE linker region between the N- and C-domains.

Although the two catalytic domains of ACE display more than 60% amino acid sequence identity (figure 1.3), they display some interesting differences. For example, the chloride requirement for the C-domain is much higher than that of the N-domain (Wei et al., 1991; Wei et al., 1992), while the latter has been shown to be more thermally stable (V oronov et al., 2002; O’Neill et al., 2008). Furthermore, a number of substrates have demonstrated C- or N-domain selectivity.
domain selectivity, and several inhibitors have been reported to be able to discriminate between the two domains with high degrees of selectivity (discussed below).

1.2.1 The structures of tACE and the N-domain

Due to the lack of structural data for ACE, inhibitors were originally designed based on the structure of carboxypeptidase A (CPA), an enzyme displaying similar catalytic activity to ACE (Cushman et al., 1977). Interestingly however, resolution of the tACE-lisinopril complex in 2003 revealed that this enzyme shares little structural similarity to CPA, and in fact demonstrates a much higher resemblance to the core structures of neurolysin and *Pyrococcus furiosus* CPA, two enzymes with little sequence similarity to ACE (Natesh et al., 2003).

Testis ACE (protein data bank (PDB) code 1o86; figure 1.4a) is ellipsoid in shape, exhibiting dimensions of 72x57x48 angstroms (Å) (Natesh et al., 2003). It is made up of two sub-domains separated by an active-site cavity that extends approximately 30 Å into the protein, and contains a number of ordered water molecules. Three α helices, consisting of a number of charged amino acids, form an N-terminal lid region over the entrance to the active-site, limiting access to only smaller polypeptides. The overall structural architecture is mostly helical with 27 helices throughout the protein. The structure contains minimal beta structures. Six glycosylation sites occur on the surface of the enzyme, with a seventh site found within the transmembrane region.

The highly ordered catalytic zinc ion (figure 1.4), critical to catalysis, is bound to the active-site by four ligands: two histidines, H383 and H387 (tACE numbering; C-domain numbering 959 and 963) from the HEXXH zinc-binding motif on helix 13, E411 (C-domain 987) situated on helix 14, and an acetate ion provided by the crystallisation medium (Natesh et al., 2003).

The activity of tACE and the C-domain of sACE is highly dependent on the concentration of chloride ions. The tACE crystal structure revealed that two chloride ions are bound to tACE, chloride I and II, 20.7 and 10.4 Å from the zinc ion respectively (figure 1.4) (Natesh et al., 2003), both buried away from the active-site, 20.3 Å apart. Recent work by Rushworth *et al.* (Rushworth et al., 2008) has provided compelling evidence for the involvement of a number
of residues in the chloride sensitivity displayed by ACE. Mutation of the chloride I site chloride ion co-ordinating ligands, R186, R489 and W279, as well as the chloride II site chloride ligand R522, resulted in a marked decrease in the chloride dependence of tACE (Rushworth et al., 2008). Although the location of the chloride ions does not indicate direct interaction with the substrate, the main ligand co-ordinating chloride II, R522, is situated on the same helix as Y520 and Y523 (C-domain Tyr’s 1096 and 1099), two residues involved in inhibitor (and probably substrate) interaction. It has been suggested that binding of a chloride ion to this site could facilitate movement of the Y523 into the active-site, and therefore binding at this site is believed to play a role in catalysis (Tzakos et al., 2003; Rushworth et al., 2008).

![Figure 1.4: Three-dimensional structures of the ACE domains.](image)

**Figure 1.4. Three-dimensional structures of the ACE domains.** a) The tACE-lisinopril complex (taken from Natesh et al., 2003). Each sub-domain is represented in cyan and pink, with the zinc and chloride ions highlighted in green and red, respectively. Lisinopril (yellow) is bound to the active-site of tACE. b) Alignment of the tACE (pink) and sACE N-domain (blue) 3-dimensional structures (taken from Corradi et al., 2006), with the zinc ion highlighted in green.

The crystal structure of the N-domain in complex with lisinopril was reported in 2006 (PDB code 2c6n; figure 1.4b) (Corradi et al., 2006). Although the overall structure of the N-domain is similar to that of the C-domain, a number of differences were observed. Ten glycosylation sites occur on the N-domain, of which only three are conserved between domains.
Interestingly, only one chloride ion was bound to this domain corresponding to the chloride II site of tACE, consistent with the lowered dependence on chloride concentration observed in N-domain catalysis. The site lacking a chloride ion, chloride I, contains a His residue replacing the corresponding Arg in tACE.

Lisinopril binds similarly to both active-sites (tACE and N-domain), in a highly ordered, extended conformation, with superimposition of the two complexes revealing conservation of inhibitor conformation as well as a number of conserved binding residue conformations (figure 1.5) (Natesh et al., 2003; Corradi et al., 2006). The binding of substrates and inhibitors to the catalytic site of ACE is commonly illustrated using the nomenclature model described by Schechter and Berger (Schechter and Berger, 1967). Residues on the N-terminal side of the scissile bond (or zinc-binding group) are allocated P_1-P_2-P_3-...-P_n, continuing outwards, while C-terminal residues are assigned P_1’-P_2’-P_3’-...-P_n’. The active-site to which the peptide or inhibitor binds is seen as a series of sub-site pockets into which the substrate or inhibitor residues or side-chains extend, and these pockets are designated with the corresponding S_n-...-S_2-S_1-S_1’-S_2’-...-S_n nomenclature.

We can therefore describe the ACE active-site to consist of four sub-sites, S_2, S_1, S_1’ and S_2’. The P_1 phenylalanine of lisinopril extends into the S_1 pocket, interacting favourably with the tACE residue V518 (C-domain 1094), replaced by T496 in the N-domain. However, it has been suggested that the modest C-domain specificity observed for this inhibitor is due to the interaction of the P_1’ lysine and the corresponding active-site pocket. The structure of the N-domain revealed a slightly different backbone conformation for this side-chain compared to that within the tACE complex. Furthermore, an electrostatic interaction between the lysyl amine and tACE E162 (C-domain 738) is lost in the N-domain, where the distance from the equivalent residue, D140, is 6.5 Å.
1.2.2 Mechanism of substrate hydrolysis

The catalytic mechanism of substrate hydrolysis has not yet been established for ACE. However, it has been suggested that the mechanism is similar to that proposed for another member of the gluzincin family (MA clan), thermolysin (Matthews, 1988; Pelmenschikov et al., 2002).

Catalysis of thermolysin takes place via a general base-type mechanism (figure 1.6) (Matthews, 1988). It is believed that the incoming substrate displaces an active-site water molecule, bound to the zinc ion, towards a proximal glutamate residue, E143. This displacement sets up the water molecule for nucleophilic attack on the carbonyl carbon of the peptide, to produce a tetrahedral penta-co-ordinated carbonyl carbon intermediate. Subsequent breakdown of this intermediate occurs to yield the products.
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A number of active-site residues crucial to ACE catalysis have been identified through site-directed mutagenesis and the resolution of the crystal structure. As mentioned above (section 1.2.1), the zinc-coordinating ligands comprise two His residues (383 and 387 in tACE) and E384 (tACE) (Wei et al., 1991; Williams et al., 1994). E384 (C-domain Glu 960) most likely corresponds to the E143 residue in thermolysin, while H513 (C-domain 1089) has been suggested to play a role in stabilising the transition state (Fernandez et al., 2001). Other tACE residues that have been implicated to play a role in stabilising the transition state are K511 and Y520 (C-domain 1087 and 1096, respectively) (Natesh et al., 2003).

1.2.3 ACE substrates

ACE is best known for its C-terminal dicarboxypeptidase activity, converting Ang I to Ang II and degrading BK (figure 1.7) (Skeggs et al., 1956; Erdos and Yang, 1967). However, the promiscuity of this enzyme has implicated it in a number of other physiological processes. Furthermore, this enzyme has been found to possess an endopeptidase-like activity on substrates containing amidated C-termini (Skidgel and Erdös, 1985). As mentioned in the preceding sections, the two domains display a number of differences, such as substrate selectivity (discussed below), chloride dependence (Wei et al., 1991; Wei et al., 1992) and thermostability (Voronov et al., 2002; O'Neill et al., 2008).

While the affinity of Ang I is roughly equal for both the C- and N-domains of ACE, hydrolysis of this substrate is performed more efficiently by the C-domain’s active-site (Wei et al., 1991). BK is cleaved approximately 10 times more efficiently than Ang I, and cleavage is non-specific between the C- and N-domains (Jaspard et al., 1993). The C-domain is more dependent on chloride concentration than the N-domain (Wei et al., 1991), and this
The phenomenon is further dependent on the type of substrate concerned. For example, Ang I cleavage is extremely chloride dependent, particularly for the C-domain, whereas the absolute chloride requirement for BK hydrolysis by either domain is significantly lower (Jaspard et al., 1993).

![Diagram of peptide substrates](image)

**Figure 1.7. Some physiological substrates of ACE.** The position of peptide bond cleavage is indicated with an arrow. Pyroglutamic acid (pGlu); amidated C-termini (CONH₂).

To date, a variety of peptide substrates have been described for this enzyme, some of which display interesting domain selectivity (figure 1.7). N-acetyl-Ser-Asp-Lys-Pro (AcSDKP), a negative regulator of hematopoiesis, has been shown to be preferentially cleaved by the N-domain of ACE, *in vitro* (Rousseau et al., 1995). *In vivo* inhibition of this domain of ACE using RXP407 demonstrated an approximately 6-fold increase in AcSDKP levels in mice (Junot et al., 2001). Other peptide substrates cleaved primarily by the N-domain include Ang(1-7) (Ehlers and Riordan, 1991; Deddish et al., 1994) and gonadotropin-releasing hormone (GnRH) (formally known as luteinizing-hormone releasing hormone) (Jaspard et al., 1993). The neuropeptide Substance P is preferentially cleaved by the C-domain of ACE, and this cleavage is dependent on the concentration of chloride (Jaspard et al., 1993). The physiological relevance of this hydrolysis is not yet clear, however both Substance P and ACE
have been shown to co-localise to certain areas of the brain (Defendini et al., 1983; Matsas et al., 1984; Strittmatter et al., 1984; Oblin et al., 1988; Barnes et al., 1988).

A number of synthetic peptide substrates have been designed for the enzymatic characterisation of ACE. The Ang I analogue hippuryl-His-Leu (HHL), is hydrolysed more efficiently by the C-domain of ACE to release HL and hippurate, and displays a similar chloride dependence to that of Ang I (Friedland and Silverstein, 1976). Z-Phe-His-Leu (ZFHL), a second Ang I analogue, contains a Phe in the P₁ position which significantly decreases the selectivity of this peptide resulting in equivalent cleavage by both domains (Danilov et al., 1994; Michaud et al., 1997). Another peptide design resulted in furylacyrlloyl-Phe-Gly-Gly (FAPGG), cleavage of which by ACE yields the FAP tripeptide and GG dipeptide (Holmquist et al., 1979; Beneteau et al., 1986). Interestingly, hydrolysis of this substrate is chloride-dependent (Baudin, 2002).

Another class of peptide substrates commonly used are the fluorescence resonance energy transfer (FRET) peptides (Yaron et al., 1979). These internally quenched peptides contain a fluorescent donor group attached to the amino-terminal end, such as o-aminobenzoic acid (Abz), and a C-terminal quenching group (acceptor), such as 2,4-dinitrophenyl (Dnp) (Araujo et al., 1999). Hydrolysis within the FRET peptide separates the donor-acceptor pair, resulting in an increase in fluorescence from basal levels. A series of these peptides have been specifically designed for the analysis of ACE activity, and include the non-specific substrates Abz-FRK(Dnp)P-OH and Abz-YRK(Dnp)P-OH, the C-domain-specific Abz-LFK(Dnp)-OH and the N-domain-specific Abz-SDK(Dnp)P-OH (Araujo et al., 2000; Bersanetti et al., 2004).

1.2.4 ACE inhibitors
Due to the lack of any structural data for ACE, Cushman and Ondetti reasoned that the X-ray crystal structure of the distant homologue CPA should share a number of similarities with ACE (Skeggs et al., 1956; Cushman and Cheung, 1971; Das and Soffer, 1975). For example, both relied on a metal ion for their catalysis and displayed C-terminal exopeptidase-like activity, although ACE cleaved a dipeptide, while CPA only cleaved a single residue. Using the CPA structure (Steitz et al., 1967), they were able to develop a hypothetical prototype of the ACE active-site within which they could model potential inhibitors.
Another key step in this process was the observation that certain peptides potentiating the action of BK, derived from the venom of Bothrops jararca, a South American pit viper, were able to specifically block ACE activity (Ferreira et al., 1970). Further analysis revealed that the most potent peptides specifically inhibiting ACE comprised the C-terminal sequence Phe-Ala-Pro (Ondetti et al., 1971). The clinical use of these peptides was not however feasible as they lacked oral activity, and it was with the third key insight that led to a series of orally active ACE inhibitors.

A new and potent CPA inhibitor, benzylsuccinic acid, described as a by-product analogue (Byers and Wolfenden, 1973), presented a new design concept incorporating a strong active-site zinc co-ordinating group, as well as side-chain interactions analogous to those of the peptide product within the catalytic site. Cushman and Ondetti then synthesised the carboxy-Ala-Pro analogue, methylsuccinyl-Pro, which proved to be a specific ACE inhibitor but with low potency (Cushman et al., 1977). This potency was subsequently increased 1000-fold by substituting the carboxyl group with a superior zinc-binding sulphhydryl group (Ondetti et al., 1977), producing captopril (figure 1.8), the first orally active ACE inhibitor approved for clinical use in the treatment of hypertension and heart failure.

Captopril did however display a number of side-effects such as loss of taste, dry cough and skin rash (McNeil et al., 1979), and this motivated further development of non-sulphhydryl ACE inhibitors. Patchett et al. observed that captopril did not take advantage of interactions within the S$_1$ pocket, and lacked the hydrogen bond donor usually provided by the amide nitrogen in the scissile bond of a substrate (Patchett et al., 1980). They screened a library of $N$-carboxyalkyl dipeptides with the general formula R-CHCO$_2$H-X$_1$-X$_2$ and found that a benzylmethylene substituent in the P$_1$ position (R group), along with the dipeptides Ala-Pro or Lys-Pro (enalaprilat and lisinopril, respectively), yielded compounds that specifically inhibited ACE at nanomolar concentrations (figure 1.8) (Patchett et al., 1980).
Since the development of captopril, enalaprilat and lisinopril, a broad range of ACE inhibitors have been described, some of which are in clinical use today (Zaman et al., 2002). More recently, a number of inhibitors able to discriminate specifically between the two domains of ACE have been described. The phosphinic peptide inhibitors RXP407 and RXPA380 (figure 1.8) are able to selectively inhibit the N- and C-domains of ACE by three orders of magnitude, respectively (Dive et al., 1999; Georgiadis et al., 2003). Furthermore, the keto-ACE derivatives (figure 1.8) (5S)-5-[(N-benzoyl)-amino]-4-oxo-6-phenyl-hexanoyl-L-tryptophan (kAW) and (5S)-5-[(N-benzoyl)-amino]-4-oxo-6-phenyl-hexanoyl-L-phenylalanine (kAF) containing a Trp and a Phe in the P2’ position, respectively, both demonstrated C-domain selectivity (Redelinghuys et al., 2006). Moreover, lisinopril-Trp (lis-W) (figure 1.8), a lisinopril analogue containing a Trp in the P2’ position, was able to selectively inhibit the C-domain (Nchinda et al., 2006a).
1.3 Comparison of ACE with other two domain homologues

The occurrence of two homologous active-sites in one polypeptide chain is a rare phenomenon, thought to come about by gene duplication leading to the evolutionary development of new activities by modification and co-operativity (Bergdoll et al., 1998; Díaz-Mejía et al., 2007).

Two well-studied enzymes that also contain two catalytic sites on one polypeptide chain are sucrase-isomaltase (Hunziker et al., 1986) and lactase-phlorizin hydrolase (LPH) (Mantei et al., 1988), both involved in sugar metabolism on the brush-border membrane of the small intestine. The sucrase and isomaltase domains of sucrase-isomaltase are 40 % identical, with a further 41 % sequence similarity in non-identical amino acids. Each domain contains a conserved active-site aspartic acid residue, and like ACE, sequence similarity between domains increases substantially within the active-site. The precursor of this complex (prosucrase-isomaltase) is synthesised as a single polypeptide chain, and is cleaved extracellularly by pancreatic trypsin into two subunits, which remain strongly associated by non-covalent, ionic interactions. Jacob et al. (Jacob et al., 2002), have shown that the folding of the C-terminal sucrase domain is independent of the N-terminal isomaltase domain of the complex, and that the sucrase domain acts as an intramolecular chaperone for folding of the isomaltase subunit.

The precursor form of LPH comprises four 38 - 55 % homologous domains (I – IV, of which only regions III and IV, each containing a conserved catalytic glutamine, are present in the mature enzyme (Mantei et al., 1988). The lactase domain is responsible for the degradation of lactose, while the phlorizin hydrolase domain participates in the metabolism of β-glycoceramides, however the domain assignment of each of these activities to a particular region has been debated (Zecca et al., 1998). In 2000, Arribas et al. (Arribas et al., 2000) settled this issue using selective, mechanism-based inhibitors, assigning lactase activity to region IV, while phlorizin activity was allocated to region III. Although these homologous domains are similar, like ACE, they have demonstrated a number of differences such as temperature dependence (Schlegel-Haueter et al., 1972; Kraml et al., 1972; Colombo et al.,
1973; Skovbjerg et al., 1981). Interestingly, Beau et al. (Beau et al., 2007) have recently shown that rotaviruses, highly pathogenic agents with multiple mechanisms through which they induce diarrhoea, can affect the activity of LPH, and reported that a secreted rotaviral protein, NSP4, specifically inhibits the lactase activity of LPH.

Human carboxypeptidase D (CPD) has been reported to have a broad tissue distribution, with increased levels within the trans Golgi network and immature secretory vesicles (Song and Fricker, 1995; Song and Fricker, 1996; Tan et al., 1997). It contains three extracellular domains on a single polypeptide chain (Song and Fricker, 1995; Tan et al., 1997). The third domain (domain III) is catalytically inactive, and believed to be involved in binding proteins (Eng et al., 1998; Novikova et al., 1999). The first two catalytic domains cleave C-terminal residues presumably from the products of endopeptidases co-localised with CPD, such as furin (Seidah et al., 1992; Schäfer et al., 1993; Seidah et al., 1994; Zheng et al., 1994; Song and Fricker, 1996; Seidah et al., 1996). Although similar, these two domains have displayed some interesting, complementary differences (Novikova et al., 1999).

Of particular physiological interest, the pH optima and substrate selectivity of each is different (Novikova et al., 1999). The first domain (domain I) has an approximately neutral pH optimum of 6.3-7.5, consistent with being active in regions such as the Golgi and extracellular environment, while the second site (domain II) performs most efficiently in a slightly acidic medium (5.0-6.5), and is therefore probably the dominant domain in the trans Golgi network and immature and mature secretory vesicles (Johnson and Scarpa, 1976; Russell, 1984; Novikova et al., 1999). While both domains show a preference for an Ala residue in the penultimate position, the first and second domains respectively cleave C-terminal Lys or Arg amino acids more efficiently (Novikova et al., 1999).

A basis for the selectivity within these S₁ and S₁’ pockets can be provided by crystallographic data reported for the second domain (Gomis-Rüth et al., 1999), as well as sequence and structural alignment of this domain to the first (figure 1.9) (Kuroki et al., 1995; Aloy et al., 2001). Residues surrounding the S₁ pocket are highly conserved between the two domains (Gomis-Rüth et al., 1999; Aloy et al., 2001). W748 dramatically reduces the size of this pocket thereby facilitating the preference for Ala residues in this position (figure 1.10).
conserved D691 (D271 in domain I) provides the highly negative environment favouring the presence of positive residues in the C-terminal position of the substrate (figure 1.10). The higher selectivity for Lys and Arg by the first and second domains, respectively, is probably due to the larger space available within the S$_{1'}$ pocket of the second domain. This larger space is a result of the respective substitution of Glu and Ile (335 and 351, respectively) in the first domain by the smaller residues P752 and V768 in the second domain (figure 1.10).

Figure 1.9. **Structural alignment of the crystal structure of CPD domain II (PDB code 1h8l) to the model of CPD domain I** (taken from Aloy et al., 2001).
A wide variety of neuroendocrine peptide products released by enzymes such as endopeptidases and carboxypeptidases, require further post-translational modification for full biological activity (Mains et al., 1990; Dickerson and Noel, 1991; Steiner, 1991; Jung and Scheller, 1991; Eipper et al., 1992). Sequential activity of the bifunctional peptidylglycine α-amidating monooxygenase (PAM), is responsible for α-amidation of a number of these bioactive peptides. The peptidyl-α-hydroxylated intermediate is produced from glycine-extended peptides, by the action of the first PAM domain, peptidylglycine α-hydroxylating monooxygenase (PHM), which requires the co-factors copper, molecular oxygen and ascorbate (Eipper et al., 1992). The second component of PAM, peptidyl-α-hydroxylated α-amidating lyase (PAL), converts this intermediate into a peptide containing an α-amide, and a glyoxylate (Katopodis et al., 1990; Suzuki et al., 1990; Katopodis et al., 1991). Interestingly, PAM has a number of gene products, all transcribed from a single gene spanning approximately 160 kb of deoxyribonucleic acid (DNA) (Kato et al., 1990; Ouafik et al., 1991).
1992). This large size is mostly due to four unusually long introns occurring within the PHM section of the gene.

In all of the homologous enzymes discussed above, a few active-site substitutions results in notably dissimilar functions, which are often interestingly complementary. In contrast with these examples, ACE exhibits a broad substrate selectivity, with each domain able to hydrolyse a wide range of substrates. However, as mentioned above, these domains have demonstrated a number of differences.

1.4 Domain selectivity of ACE

1.4.1 Rationale for domain-selective ACE inhibitor therapies

It is clear, as motivated above, that the RAS provides a number of excellent targets for anti-hypertensive therapy. Many agents are routinely used to interrupt this system at multiple stages. These include ACE (Waeber et al., 1982) and renin (Wood et al., 2003) inhibitors, and AT1 (Ruilope et al., 2005) and aldosterone (Ménard, 2004) receptor blockers. Other therapeutic drugs commonly used for the treatment of this condition are beta blockers, calcium channel blockers, alpha blockers and diuretics (Cushman, 2003). All of these treatments, however, have high dosing, with a number of side-effects.

There is a wide range of clinical ACE inhibitor therapies currently used for the treatment of a number of cardiovascular related conditions, such as hypertension and heart failure (Bicket, 2002; Sleight, 2002). All of these agents demonstrate modest, if any, selectivity between the two domains of this enzyme (Menard and Patchett, 2001), and although they are generally well tolerated, they have been associated with a number of adverse side-effects, such as a persistent dry cough (5 - 20 % of cases) and the potentially fatal angioedema (0.1 - 0.5 % of cases) (Bicket, 2002; Adam et al., 2002; Dickstein et al., 2002; Morimoto et al., 2004). Both of these side-effects have been attributed to the resulting excess levels of BK caused by the non-selective inhibition of both domains with these therapies (Semple, 1995; Laloo et al., 1996). It is important to mention that two non-domain-selective ACE inhibitors currently in
use for the treatment of cardiovascular conditions, Perindopril and Imidapril, have been shown to display significantly lowered side-effects, such as cough (Saruta et al., 1999; Huang et al., 2001; Tumanan-Mendoza et al., 2007). Therefore, the exact mechanism behind ACE inhibitor related side-effects is still not clear. However, a recent study comparing the effects of these inhibitors to two other commonly used ACE inhibitor therapies, Cilazapril and Enalapril, found that these lowered side-effects also resulted in a lowered control of hypertension over the latter treatments which demonstrated more efficient management of hypertension (Tumanan-Mendoza et al., 2007).

A number of studies investigating the role of each domain of ACE in Ang I and BK metabolism have been performed. The results of most of this work appear to indicate that while both domains contribute equally to BK degradation and soluble Ang I conversion, the C-domain seems to be primarily responsible for the systemic production of Ang II (Junot et al., 2001; Fuchs et al., 2004; van Esch et al., 2005; Fuchs et al., 2008). Support for this theory is provided by two studies involving the N- and C-domain-selective inhibitors RXP407 and RXPA380, respectively. While N-domain-selective inhibition by RXP407 decreased AcSDKP levels in mice by approximately 6-fold, it was unable to block the pressor action of Ang I injections, whereas lisinopril prevented the blood pressure increase observed in the absence of inhibitor (Junot et al., 2001). Further investigation by van Esch et al. involved analysis of Ang I metabolism in soluble versus membrane-bound ACE (van Esch et al., 2005). By examining the effect of RXPA380 and RXP407 on Ang I-induced contractions of porcine femoral arteries, they discovered that C-domain-selective inhibition was sufficient to block these contractions, while selective N-domain inhibition had no effect. The inhibition of both domains was however required to prevent Ang II production from Ang I added to diluted human plasma. Moreover, complete blockage of BK degradation was only achieved with the simultaneous inhibition of both domains of ACE (Georgiadis et al., 2003; van Esch et al., 2005).

A number of transgenic mouse models have been instrumental in gaining better insight into the physiological roles of ACE. Animals completely lacking both the somatic and germinal isoforms of this enzyme have presented with a number of phenotypes. Among these are hypotension, decreased male fertility, and structural and functional renal defects (Krege et al.,
1995; Esther et al., 1996; Tian et al., 1997; Cole et al., 2000). Later, more elegant studies involving mice containing a fully intact C-domain and inactivated N-domain (Fuchs et al., 2004), demonstrated that the presence of the C-domain restored blood pressure and normal renal development. Analysis of renin, Ang I and Ang II peptides confirmed equivalent levels to those of wild-type animals.

More recently, a similar mouse model was developed in which the sACE gene contains a catalytically active N-domain, but the C-domain and tACE isoforms were inactivated (Fuchs et al., 2008). These animals presented with normal blood pressure and Ang II peptide levels. However, further investigation revealed that this blood pressure restoration was due to a significant increase in renin and Ang I levels. The pressor response to Ang I infusion was half that of wild-type mice.

Taken together, these phosphinic inhibitor and transgenic mouse studies provide strong evidence that the C-domain alone is sufficient to maintain blood pressure and angiotensin metabolism, while the N-domain is much less efficient at Ang I cleavage. Furthermore, single domain inhibition does not block the degradation of BK. Therefore it is reasonable to propose that orally active C-domain-selective ACE inhibitors have the potential to effectively lower hypertension by blocking Ang II production, while displaying significantly lowered side-effects associated with current ACE inhibitor therapy, as the N-domain would be free to maintain BK levels (Georgiadis et al., 2003; Acharya et al., 2003).

1.4.2 The molecular basis for domain selectivity of ACE

With access to the crystal structures of both the C- and N-domains in complex with a variety of ACE inhibitors, a number of research groups have been able to dock different C- and N-domain-selective inhibitors within the active-sites of each domain. Together, this work has implicated a number of residues within these sub-site pockets of ACE which could play a role in conferring the domain selectivity of ACE (table 1.1, and see figures 3.4, 3.5, 4.6 and 4.9). There has, however, been no work reporting mutagenesis of these residues and their effects on binding and catalysis.
Table 1.1. Summary of active-site residues implicated in domain selectivity.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pocket</th>
<th>tACE (C-domain) residue</th>
<th>N-domain residue</th>
<th>Crystal structure</th>
<th>References</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>S₂</td>
<td>Phe 391 (967)</td>
<td>Tyr 369</td>
<td>tACE-RXPA380 (PDB code 2oc2)</td>
<td>Acharya et al., 2003; Redelinghuys et al., 2005; Tzakos and Gerothanassis, 2005; Redelinghuys et al., 2006; Jullien et al., 2006; Corradi et al., 2006; Corradi et al., 2007.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Glu 403 (979)</td>
<td>Arg 381</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>Acharya et al., 2003; Redelinghuys et al., 2005; Tzakos and Gerothanassis, 2005; Redelinghuys et al., 2006; Jullien et al., 2006; Corradi et al., 2006; Corradi et al., 2007.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S₁</td>
<td>Ser 516 (1092)</td>
<td>Asn 494</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>Bersanetti et al., 2004; Tzakos and Gerothanassis, 2005; Jullien et al., 2006.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Ser 517 (1093)</td>
<td>Val 495</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>Jullien et al., 2006.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Val 518 (1094)</td>
<td>Thr 496</td>
<td>tACE-lisinopril (PDB code 1o86)</td>
<td>Acharya et al., 2003; Natesh et al., 2003; Natesh et al., 2004; Bersanetti et al., 2004; Tzakos and Gerothanassis, 2005; Redelinghuys et al., 2005; Tzakos and Gerothanassis, 2005; Jullien et al., 2006.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>N-domain-lisinopril (PDB code 2c6n)</td>
<td>Natesh et al., 2003; Natesh et al., 2004; Bersanetti et al., 2004; Redelinghuys et al., 2005; Tzakos and Gerothanassis, 2005; Corradi et al., 2006.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S₁'</td>
<td>Glu 162 (738)</td>
<td>Asp 140</td>
<td>tACE-lisinopril (PDB code 1o86)</td>
<td>Natesh et al., 2003; Natesh et al., 2004; Bersanetti et al., 2004; Redelinghuys et al., 2005; Tzakos and Gerothanassis, 2005; Corradi et al., 2006.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>N-domain-lisinopril (PDB code 2c6n)</td>
<td>Natesh et al., 2003; Natesh et al., 2004; Bersanetti et al., 2004; Redelinghuys et al., 2005; Tzakos and Gerothanassis, 2005; Corradi et al., 2006.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Asp 377 (953)</td>
<td>Gln 355</td>
<td>tACE-lisinopril (PDB code 1o86)</td>
<td>Natesh et al., 2003; Natesh et al., 2004; Bersanetti et al., 2004; Redelinghuys et al., 2005; Tzakos and Gerothanassis, 2005; Corradi et al., 2006.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>N-domain-lisinopril (PDB code 2c6n)</td>
<td>Natesh et al., 2003; Natesh et al., 2004; Bersanetti et al., 2004; Redelinghuys et al., 2005; Tzakos and Gerothanassis, 2005; Corradi et al., 2006.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S₂'</td>
<td>Thr 282 (858)</td>
<td>Ser 260</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>Georgiadis et al., 2004; Bersanetti et al., 2004; Tzakos and Gerothanassis, 2005.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Glu 376 (952)</td>
<td>Asp 354</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>Georgiadis et al., 2004; Bersanetti et al., 2004; Tzakos and Gerothanassis, 2005.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Val 379 (955)</td>
<td>Ser 357</td>
<td>tACE-RXPA380 (PDB code 2oc2)</td>
<td>Georgiadis et al., 2004; Bersanetti et al., 2004; Tzakos and Gerothanassis, 2005; Corradi et al., 2007.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Val 380 (956)</td>
<td>Thr 358</td>
<td>tACE-RXPA380 (PDB code 2oc2)</td>
<td>Georgiadis et al., 2004; Bersanetti et al., 2004; Tzakos and Gerothanassis, 2005; Corradi et al., 2007.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Asp 453 (1029)</td>
<td>Glu 431</td>
<td>tACE-RXPA380 (PDB code 2oc2)</td>
<td>Georgiadis et al., 2004; Bersanetti et al., 2004; Tzakos and Gerothanassis, 2005; Corradi et al., 2007.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
1.4.2.1 The S₂ pocket

A number of structure-activity studies have indicated that N-selectivity seems to be enhanced with the presence of an acidic group in the P₂ position, as in the case of RXP407 (Dive et al., 1999), while substitution of this moiety with a large uncharged or basic side-chain seems to favour the C-domain S₂ sub-site (Acharya et al., 2003). Both F391 and E403 (tACE numbering), replaced by Tyr and Arg in the N-domain (table 1.1), have been identified as residues that may play a role in domain selectivity of some inhibitors (Redelinghuys et al., 2005; Tzakos and Gerothanasssis, 2005; Redelinghuys et al., 2006; Jullien et al., 2006; Corradi et al., 2007).

The crystal structure of tACE in complex with RXPA380 revealed that the P₂ Phe forms a favourable aromatic interaction with F391 (Corradi et al., 2007). Initial docking experiments of the C-selective inhibitor keto-ACE, as well as its analogue kAW, into the C-domain active-site indicated possible stacking interactions between the P₂ Phe and F391 (Redelinghuys et al., 2005; Tzakos and Gerothanasssis, 2005; Redelinghuys et al., 2006). These interactions would not only be lost in the N-domain with the replacement of this residue with Y369, but steric hindrance, as is indicated by superimposition of the N-domain structure onto the tACE-RXPA380 complex, would probably result in a different orientation of the inhibitor within the active-site (Corradi et al., 2007). Therefore, this aromatic interaction is believed to be a major factor in facilitating C-domain selectivity of the inhibitors RXPA380, keto-ACE and kAW.

Furthermore, the positively charged P₂ aspartate of RXP407 has been suggested to significantly contribute towards its N-selectivity (Dive et al., 1999; Jullien et al., 2006). Docked models of this inhibitor within the N-domain suggest hydrogen bond interactions between this group and Y369 as well as R381, interactions that would not only be lost in the C-domain when replaced with Phe and Glu, respectively, but could possibly develop repulsive forces in the latter case (table 1.1) (Tzakos and Gerothanasssis, 2005; Jullien et al., 2006; Corradi et al., 2006).

1.4.2.2 The S₁ pocket

The three substitutions that occur within the S₁ pocket of ACE are S516/N494, S517/V495 and V518/T496 of tACE / N-domain, respectively (Bersanetti et al., 2004; Tzakos and
Gerothanassis, 2005; Jullien et al., 2006). The bulky P₁ pseudo-Phe of keto-ACE is another moiety that has been implicated to contribute to the modest C-selectivity of this inhibitor (Acharya et al., 2003; Redelinghuys et al., 2005); however, Tzakos et al. suggested that the 40-fold preference of perindoprilat for the C-domain is probably partly due to favourable non-polar interactions occurring between V518 and the P₁ alkyl chain (Tzakos and Gerothanassis, 2005). Moreover, modelling of the C-domain-specific fluorogenic peptide, Abz-LFK(Dnp)-OH into the tACE active-site indicated hydrophobic interactions between the P₁ Leu and the V518 and S516 residues at distances of 2.3 and 5.0 Å, respectively (Bersanetti et al., 2004). Replacement of this Val residue by T496 in the N-domain would decrease the hydrophobicity of this pocket, and therefore V518 is believed to play a significant role in the selectivity of these inhibitors and substrates.

1.4.2.3 The S₁’ pocket
The tACE-lisinopril complex revealed a deep S₁’ sub-site able to accommodate large, polar P₁’ moieties (Natesh et al., 2003; Natesh et al., 2004). The lysyl substituent of the modestly C-selective lisinopril has been shown to form hydrogen bond interactions with E162 and favourable water-mediated interactions with D377. These electrostatic interactions are significantly lowered in the N-domain due to increased distances caused by replacement with D140 and Q355, respectively (Corradi et al., 2006).

The marked C-domain selectivity of Abz-LFK(Dnp)-OH has been attributed to interaction of the P₁’ Phe with these two S₁’ residues, E162 and D377 (Bersanetti et al., 2004). Furthermore, Bersanetti et al. suggested that hydrophobic interaction of V380, which they defined as an S₁’ pocket residue, with this P₁ Phe moiety facilitates favourable binding to the C-domain.

1.4.2.4 The S₂’ pocket
This pocket is a large sub-site in both the N- and C-domains of ACE, able to tolerate a variety of inhibitor moieties. The P₂’ Trp of RXPA380 has been implicated to play a role in the C-selectivity of this inhibitor (Georgiadis et al., 2004). Interestingly, substitution of this position with a Trp or Phe side-chain in the ketomethylene derivatives kAW and kAF, respectively resulted in an increase in C-domain selectivity over that of keto-ACE (Redelinghuys et al.,
A number of differences between domains have been identified within this sub-site, namely T282/S260, E376/D354, V379/S357, V380/T358 (V380 is defined as an S$_1$’ pocket residue by some groups (Bersanetti et al., 2004; Tzakos and Gerothanassisi, 2005)), and D453/E431 in tACE/N-domain (table 1.1) (Georgiadis et al., 2004).

Most notable of these was the replacement of two hydrophobic Val residues with the N-domain polar residues Ser and Thr, respectively. The tACE-RXPA380 crystal structure revealed that the distance between the P$_2$’ Trp and the C-domain valines is approximately 4.5 Å, and the hydrophobicity of this pocket would entropically exclude hydrophilic groups which would be more readily accommodated in the N-domain S$_2$’ pocket (Georgiadis et al., 2004; Corradi et al., 2007). N-selectivity of RXP407 seems to be attributed mainly to the P$_2$’ amidated C-terminus (Dive et al., 1999), which suggests binding of this inhibitor in an extended conformation, allowing interaction of this side-chain with the acidic residues at the opposite side of the pocket (Corradi et al., 2006).

Tzakos et al. suggested that the presence of the larger E431 and S260 side-chains in the N-domain (replaced by Asp and Thr in the C-domain, respectively), may result in a reduction in the size of the N-domain pocket compared to that of the C-domain (tACE) (Tzakos and Gerothanassisi, 2005). Furthermore, the distance between the RXPA380 Trp and D453 was shown to be more than 5.5 Å, which precludes the formation of a hydrogen bond interaction (Georgiadis et al., 2004; Corradi et al., 2007). Moreover, the favourable interactions between T282 and D453 and the dinitrophenyl group attached to the P$_2$’ Phe of Abz-LFK(Dnp)-OH are also believed to contribute to the C-selectivity of this fluorogenic peptide (Bersanetti et al., 2004). It has therefore been suggested that a substituent extending toward the D453 residue, and exploiting this pocket more efficiently, may facilitate increased C-domain selectivity (Corradi et al., 2007).
Hypothesis

Particular residues within the active-site of the ACE protein confer C-domain specificity.

Aim and objectives of this study

A number of inhibitors able to discriminate between domains with a high degree of selectivity have been described to date, including the phosphinic peptide inhibitors RXPA380 and RXP407 (Dive et al., 1999; Georgiadis et al., 2003), the keto-ACE derivatives kAW and kAF (Redelinghuys et al., 2006), and the lisinopril-Trp derivative, lis-W (Nchinda et al., 2006a). Although similar, the N- and C-domains of ACE have demonstrated a number of differences with respect to their structure, and their substrate and inhibitor specificities. The aim of this study was therefore to probe the contribution of particular residues within the different subsites towards the domain specificity of these inhibitors. The information gained from this work should pave the way to a better understanding of the active-site determinants of domain specificity.

The following objectives have been identified:
1. Construction of tACE mutants containing corresponding N-domain residues of interest.
2. Expression and purification of mutant constructs.
3. Kinetic characterisation of active constructs using domain-specific fluorogenic peptides, and domain-selective inhibitors.
Chapter 2: Cloning and Mutagenesis of tACE Constructs
2.1 Introduction

To investigate the importance of particular active-site side-chains in ACE C-domain specificity, a series of tACE mutants were generated with selected amino acids converted to their N-domain counterparts by site-directed mutagenesis. A number of residues were selected based on various observations of crystal structures and models of ACE in complex with different domain-specific ACE substrates and inhibitors, implicating particular residues in conferring this selectivity.

The objectives of the present work were therefore to:

1. To sub-clone the tACEΔ36NJ coding region, truncated between S625 and A626, and lacking the 36 N-terminal O-glycosylated region (making it identical to the C domain of sACE)(figure 2.1) (Yu et al., 1997), into the expression vector pcDNA3.1(+).
2. To engineer a stop codon downstream of tACEΔ36NJ, following the EcoRI site, to ensure proper termination of translation.
3. To sub-clone an appropriate fragment of the tACE coding region into the pGEM11Zf(+) shuttle vector to facilitate mutagenesis.
4. To generate a panel of mutants within the pGEM - fragment shuttle construct converting particular amino acids within the active-site to their corresponding N-domain residues.
5. To reintroduce these mutated fragments into the pcDNA-tACEΔ36NJ expression vector containing the stop codon, for transfection into mammalian cells.

Figure 2.1. Schematic representation of the tACEΔ36NJ coding. The region encoding the zinc-binding motif is highlighted in black.
2.2 Experimental procedure

2.2.1 Chemicals
Restriction endonucleases EcoRI, BglII, HindIII, NheI, SphI, BamHI, XhoI and restriction endonuclease buffers were acquired from Roche. JM109 cells, λ DNA, HpaI and restriction buffer, Pfu polymerase, polymerase buffer, polymerase chain reaction (PCR) nucleotide mix, MgCl₂, DpnI, pGEM-11Zf(+) and the Wizard SV Gel System were all purchased from Promega. Fermentas supplied NsiI (FspI), XmaI, SspI and restriction buffers, while New England Biolabs supplied BsaAI and BbvCI with buffers. T4 ligase and ligation buffer were obtained from USB, and pcDNA3.1(+) from Invitrogen. The midi-prep plasmid purification kit was purchased from QIAGEN. Inqaba Biotech, South Africa performed nucleotide sequencing and synthesised all primers.

2.2.2 Cloning
pSFV4.2-tACEΔ36NJ (obtained from E. D. Sturrock) and pcDNA3.1(+) were each digested with BamHI and EcoRI (described in Appendix I), and separated by agarose gel electrophoresis (Appendix I). The bands of interest were excised from the gel, and purified using the Promega Wizard® SV Gel and Clean-Up System as per manufacturer’s instructions. The tACEΔ36NJ gene fragment and the digested pcDNA3.1(+) vector were ligated (section 2.2.2.1), and transformed into competent Escherichia coli (E. coli) JM109 cells (Appendix I). Ampicillin was used to facilitate selection of plasmid-containing colonies, and DNA was extracted (section 2.2.2.3). Restriction endonucleases BglII and NheI were utilised to screen for the desired construct, pcDNA-tACE36NJ (figure 2.2), and fragments were visualised on agarose gels (Appendix I). A stock solution of the plasmid construct was obtained using a QIAGEN midiprep kit in accordance with the manufacturer’s instructions (methods adapted from Ausubel et al., 1992).
2.2.2.1 Vector insert ligations

One µL of each digested, purified fragment was visualised on an agarose gel with DNA of known concentration to determine the insert and vector concentrations. Ligation reaction mixtures (2 µL 10 x ligation buffer, 1 unit T4 ligase, appropriate DNA concentrations at a molar ratio of 3:1 vector to insert DNA, made up to 20 µL total volume with sterile de-ionised H₂O (dH₂O)) were incubated at room temperature overnight, and 10 µL was transformed into competent *E. coli* JM109 cells. In the case of three part ligations, a DNA molar ratio of 3:1:1 vector to insert to insert was used (method adapted from Ausubel et al., 1992).

2.2.2.2 Transformation of *E. coli* competent cells

Ten µL of ligation reaction mixture or PCR reaction mixture, was added to 200 µL of competent *E. coli* JM109 cells and incubated on ice for 1 hour. DNA uptake was facilitated by heat shock at 42°C for 40 sec, followed by 2 min incubation on ice. Nine hundred µL of LB medium was added to the cells, and the culture was shaken at 37°C for 1 hour to allow expression of the ampicillin gene. Cells were centrifuged for 2 min, resuspended in 50 µL LB
broth, plated out on LB agar (15 g.L⁻¹) plates containing 100 µg.mL⁻¹ ampicillin and incubated at 37°C overnight (method adapted from Ausubel et al., 1992).

2.2.2.3 Mini-preparation plasmid DNA Isolation

This method was adapted from that in Sambrook and Russel (2001). Transformed colonies were grown overnight shaking in 5 mL LB medium containing 100 µg.mL⁻¹ ampicillin. One mL of the culture was harvested by centrifugation for 2 min, and the pellet was resuspended in 250 µL STET buffer (233 mM sucrose, 50 mg.mL⁻¹ triton X-100, 50 mM ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid (EDTA), 50 mM Tris-HCl, pH 8.0), containing 1 mg.mL⁻¹ lysozyme. Cells were boiled for 1 min and centrifuged for 8 min. The pellet was removed using a toothpick, and 250 µL of isopropanol was added to the supernatant, and centrifuged for 8 min. The supernatant was discarded, and the pellet dried in a vacuum dryer, followed by resuspension in 20 µL 1/10 Tris-EDTA buffer (1 mM Tris-HCl, 0.1 mM EDTA, pH 8.0). To facilitate resuspension, DNA was heated at 60°C, and centrifuged for 2 min. One-2 µL of mini-preparation DNA was used in restriction digests.

2.2.3 Sub-cloning of S2’ fragment into pGEM-11Zf(+)

A smaller fragment of tACE containing all of the residues of interest was sub-cloned into pGEM-11Zf(+) (method adapted from Ausubel et al., 1992). The restriction endonucleases utilised were EcoRI and SphI, producing a 1839 bp fragment containing all of the sites to be mutated.

An SphI site occurs more than once in the pcDNA3.1(+) vector, therefore pcDNA-tACEΔ36NJ was first digested with BamHI and EcoRI and the vector and insert were separated using agarose gel electrophoresis and purified (described above). The insert was subsequently digested with SphI, and pGEM-11Zf(+) with EcoRI and SphI. Fragments were separated on agarose gels, excised and purified. The SphI-EcoRI tACE fragment (which will be referred to as the S2’ fragment hereafter) and pGEM vector were ligated and transformed into E. coli (described above). Selection and screening was done as described above, using a double restriction endonuclease digest with NheI and HindIII, releasing a 515 bp band, to identify the pGEM-S2’ construct (figure 2.3).
2.2.4 Site-directed mutagenesis

A DpnI method, adapted from Papworth et al. (Papworth et al., 1996), was used to perform the site-directed mutagenesis. It involves the design of complementary primers, containing the desired mutation, which bind to a template plasmid containing the gene of interest. A thermostable DNA polymerase with 3’ to 5’ exonuclease activity performs primer extension producing daughter strands consisting of the mutation of interest. Design ensures that primers binding to daughter strands bind only to the 3’ end, and extension is therefore prohibited, preventing exponential amplification of any spurious mutations. A number of temperature cycles of template separation, primer-template binding, and primer extension are performed, and template DNA is digested using DpnI endonuclease, selecting for the unmethylated, mutated DNA. This DNA can then be transformed into E. coli for DNA replication (figure 2.4).
2.2.4.1 Stop codon insertion into pcDNA-tACEΔ36NJ

Due to the lack of a stop codon in the pcDNA-tACEΔ36NJ construct, this step was required to ensure proper termination of translation (new coding sequence see Appendix I). The PCR amplification reaction mixture was prepared as follows: 5 µL 10 X polymerase buffer; 2 µL 10 mM PCR nucleotide mix; 2 µL 10 µM forward primer (figure 2.5); 2 µL 10 µM reverse primer (figure 2.5); 5 µL 10 ng µL\(^{-1}\) pcDNA-tACEΔ36NJ template; 4-8 µL 25 mM MgCl\(_2\); 2 µL dimethyl sulphoxide (DMSO); 1.5 units Pfu polymerase; and sterile dH\(_2\)O making the total volume up to 50 µL. A control containing no template DNA was included, to ensure amplification was of the desired template. The denaturation step was carried out at 94°C for 5 min, followed by 16 cycles of 94°C for 30 sec, 55-56°C for 30 sec and 72°C for 12 min. The mixture was then incubated at 72°C for 20 min, and stored at 4°C. Amplification products were visualised on agarose gels. 2 µL of DpnI (20 units) was added to the reaction mixtures and incubated at 37°C for 1 hour. Transformations into competent E. coli JM109 cells were performed using 10 µL of the digested reaction mixture. DNA isolation (described above) was performed on colonies, which were screened with PstI and XmaI restriction
endonucleases (figure 2.5), and visualised on agarose gels. Positive clones were then confirmed by nucleotide sequencing.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Mutant</th>
<th>Primer</th>
<th>RE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Stop</td>
<td>For 5'-CGCCGAATTCC TAGGATATCCAGC-3'</td>
<td>PstI</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Rev 5'-GTGCTGGATATCCTAGGATTCGGG-3'</td>
<td>XmaJI</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 2.5. **Primer design for insertion of a stop codon into pcDNA-tACEA36NJ.** Complementary mutagenic primers (For, forward; Rev, reverse) were designed containing the mutation of interest, as well as a silent mutation introducing a unique restriction endonuclease site (RE) to facilitate screening. Positive mutants were confirmed by nucleotide sequencing. The stop codon mutation appears in bold. Silent mutations result in the insertion of an XmaJI site (underlined) and loss of a PstI restriction site to aid screening.

### 2.2.4.2 Conversion of S2’ tACE residues to corresponding N-domain residues

Reaction mixtures were set up as for the stop codon mutation above, replacing the pcDNA-tACEA36NJ template DNA with pGEM-S2’, and the forward and reverse primers with those described in figure 2.6. Appropriate temperature cycles were carried out, followed by DpnI digestion on amplification products, transformation into competent *E. coli* JM109 cells, and DNA isolation of colonies (described above). Restriction endonucleases used for screening are detailed in figure 2.6, and restriction digests were visualised on agarose gels. Positive clones were confirmed by nucleotide sequencing. Three part ligations (section 2.2.2.1) were performed with the S2’ fragments containing the mutations of interest, along with the BamHI-SphI fragment, and the pcDNA-tACEA36NJ vector DNA cut with BamHI and EcoRI (section 2.2.3), to generate the pcDNA-tACEA36NJ constructs containing the desired mutation.

### 2.2.4.3 S2, S1 and S1’ pocket mutants

A number of tACE constructs containing N-domain substitutions within the S2 (F391Y, E403R), S1 (S516N, S517V, V518T) and S1’ (E162D, D377Q, ED/DQ) pockets (see table 2.1) were generated by a colleague (H. G. O’Neill) in a similar manner as described above. Three multiple mutants were also created; TEVD (containing the mutations T282S, E376D, V380T and D453E), TEVVD (containing the V379S mutation in addition to the TEVD substitutions), and S2’F (which contains the F391Y mutation in addition to those in the TEVVD construct) (see table 2.1). Except for E162D, all of the above mutations were generated using the
The E162D substitution was performed using the pGEM-11Zf(-) vector, containing the BamHI-SphI fragment of the tACEΔ36NJ gene. Multiple mutants were obtained by sequential mutagenesis using previously mutated pGEM-S₂’ constructs as templates.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Mutant</th>
<th>Primer For</th>
<th>Primer Rev</th>
<th>RE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>T282S</td>
<td>5’-GGGAACATGCGGTCAGATGATGTCCTCATACATTTATG-3’</td>
<td>5’-CATATATTTGCACTCTGCGCCACAGTGTCC-3’</td>
<td>SsdI</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E376D</td>
<td>5’-CACCCGGAGCACTGGAAGGACCTGGGTGGTG-3’</td>
<td>5’-GACCTGGGCACTGCGCCCACCACGAGTGTG-3’</td>
<td>HpaI</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V379S</td>
<td>5’-GAACTGGAGGACCTTCAGTGCAGTGGGCCACCAG-3’</td>
<td>5’-CCTTCGTCGTGGGCACTGCGCCCACCACGAGTGTG-3’</td>
<td>BbvCI</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V380T</td>
<td>5’-GGAGGACCTGCGCCCACCACGAGTGTG-3’</td>
<td>5’-CCTTCGTCGTGGGCACTGCGCCCACCACGAGTGTG-3’</td>
<td>NsbI</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VV/ST</td>
<td>5’-GAACTGGAGGACCTTCAGTGCAGTGGGCCACCAG-3’</td>
<td>5’-CCTTCGTCGTGGGCACTGCGCCCACCACGAGTGTG-3’</td>
<td>BbvCI</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D453E</td>
<td>5’-GATGAAAGATGGCCCTCGGAGAAGATGCGCCTTATCC-3’</td>
<td>5’-GGATAAAGGCGATCTTCTCAATCAGGACCTGGGCGACGCATCCAC-3’</td>
<td>XhoI</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 2.6. **Primer design for site-directed mutagenesis of S₂’ mutants.** Complementary mutagenic primers (For, forward; Rev, reverse) are designed containing the mutation of interest, as well as a silent mutation introducing a unique restriction endonuclease site (RE) to facilitate screening. Positive mutants were confirmed by nucleotide sequencing. Residues in bold indicate the codon to be mutated, restrictions sites are underlined. V380T also contained further silent mutations (grey, italics) to eliminate primer self-complementarity. VV/ST contains both the V379S and V380T mutation.

### 2.3 Results and discussion

#### 2.3.1 Sub-cloning

The tACEΔ36NJ was inserted into the expression vector pcDNA3.1(+), and positive constructs were identified using restriction endonucleases. A fragment encoding the S₂’ residues of interest was sub-cloned from the pcDNA-tACEΔ36NJ construct into a pGEM11-Zf(+) vector.
cloning vector for subsequent site-directed mutagenesis. The smaller S2’ fragment was utilised to facilitate nucleotide sequencing and reduce the occurrence of spurious mutations.

Positive pGEM-S2’ clones displayed the presence of two bands (3182 and 1137 bp) when cut with EcoRI and SphI (figure 2.7, lane 2), compared to the empty pGEM11-Zf(+) vector that displays only one visible band of 3182 bp (figure 2.7, lane 1). Furthermore, co-digestion with NheI and HindIII revealed the presence of two bands 3804 and 515 bp in size (figure 2.7, lane 4), compared to the empty vector displaying one band of 3221 bp (figure 2.7, lane 3).

Figure 2.7. Sub-cloning of S2’ fragment into pGEM-11Zf(+). Colonies were screened with restriction endonucleases as follows: pGEM-11Zf(+) cut with EcoRI and SphI (lane 1); pGEM-S2’ cut with EcoRI and SphI (lane 2); pGEM-11Zf(+) cut with NheI and HindIII (lane 3); pGEM-S2’ cut with NheI and HindIII (lane 4). Lambda DNA digested with EcoRI and HindIII was used as a molecular marker (lane MM).

2.3.2 Site-directed mutagenesis

2.3.2.1 Stop codon insertion into pcDNA-tACEA36NJ

Because there was no stop codon in the pcDNA-tACEA36NJ construct, one was inserted downstream of the EcoRI restriction site to ensure proper termination of translation. The mutagenic primer (figure 2.5) removed and introduced the restriction endonuclease sites PstI and XmaII, respectively. Positive clones displayed the disappearance of the two 1356 and 1156 bp bands (figure 2.8, lane 2), and the appearance of a 2512 band (figure 2.8, lane 3) for PstI digestion, while XmaII digestion resulted in the appearance of two 1097 and 6170 bp bands (figure 2.8, lane 4), compared to the unmutated construct, which is linearised (figure 2.8, lane 5). Sequences were confirmed by nucleotide sequencing.
Stop codon was inserted into the pcDNAtACEA36NJ construct downstream of the tACE gene. Colonies were screened with restriction endonucleases as follows: uncut pcDNAtACE (lane 1); pcDNAtACE cut with PstI (lane 2) and XmaI (lane 5); and pcDNAtACE with the stop codon mutation cut with PstI (lane 3) and XmaI (lane 4). Lambda DNA digested with EcoRI and HindIII was used as a molecular marker (lane MM) for each gel.

2.3.2.2 Construction of active-site mutants

The selection of mutants generated (table 2.1) was based on a number of studies that have identified differences between domains, within the sub-site pockets of ACE (discussed in section 1.3) (Natesh et al., 2003; Georgiadis et al., 2004; Bersanetti et al., 2004; Redelinghuys et al., 2005; Tzakos and Gerothanassis, 2005; Redelinghuys et al., 2006; Jullien et al., 2006; Corradi et al., 2006; Corradi et al., 2007).

Site-directed mutagenesis was performed in the pGEM-S2’ shuttle construct. S2’ residues were converted to their corresponding N-domain residues. In the first attempt, PCR products were obtained for mutants V379S, VV379/380ST and D453E, transformed into E. coli, and screened with the appropriate restriction endonucleases.
Table 2.1. **Summary of the mutants generated.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pocket</th>
<th>Mutant Name</th>
<th>tACE (C-domain)</th>
<th>N-domain</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$S_2$</td>
<td>F391Y</td>
<td>Phe 391 (967)</td>
<td>Tyr 369</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>E403R</td>
<td>Glu 404 (979)</td>
<td>Arg 381</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$S_1$</td>
<td>S516N</td>
<td>Ser 516 (1092)</td>
<td>Asn 494</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>S517V</td>
<td>Ser 517 (1093)</td>
<td>Val 495</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>V518T</td>
<td>Val 518 (1094)</td>
<td>Thr 496</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$S_1'$</td>
<td>E162D</td>
<td>Glu 162 (738)</td>
<td>Asp 140</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>D377Q</td>
<td>Asp 377 (953)</td>
<td>Gln 355</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>ED/DQ</td>
<td>Glu 162, Asp 377</td>
<td>Asp 140, Gln 355</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$S_2'$</td>
<td>T282S</td>
<td>Thr 282 (858)</td>
<td>Ser 260</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>E376D</td>
<td>Glu 376 (952)</td>
<td>Asp 354</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>V379S</td>
<td>Val 379 (955)</td>
<td>Ser 357</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>V380T</td>
<td>Val 380 (956)</td>
<td>Thr 358</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>VV/ST</td>
<td>Val 379, Val 380</td>
<td>Ser 357, Thr 358</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>D453E</td>
<td>Asp 453</td>
<td>Glu 431</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$S_2'$ multiple</td>
<td>TEVVD</td>
<td>T282, E376, V379, V380, D453</td>
<td>S260, D354, S357, T358, E431</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>TEVD</td>
<td>T282, E376, V380, D453</td>
<td>S260, D354, T358, E431</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$S_2'$ and $S_2$ multiple</td>
<td>$S_2'$F</td>
<td>T282, E376, V379, V380, D453, F391</td>
<td>S260, D354, S357, T358, E431, Y369</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The pGEM-$S_2'$ plasmid encodes a 1139 bp fragment of the coding sequence of tACE between the restriction endonuclease sites EcoRI and SphI (described in 2.2.3). The uncut plasmid resolved as two bands representing supercoiled and nicked plasmid DNA (figure 2.9, lanes 1, 3 and 5). The pGEM-$S_2'$ V379S, VV/ST and D453E plasmids encode the same sequence except containing Val 379 to Ser, Val 379 and Val 380 to Ser and Thr, and Asp 453 to Glu substitutions, respectively (table 2.1). The identity of positive clones were confirmed by restriction endonuclease analysis (figure 2.9). The pGEM-$S_2'$ V379S, VV/ST and D453E plasmids were linearised by digestion with BbvCI, BbvCI and XhoI, respectively, yielding...
single bands (4319 bp) in agreement with the expected size. Sequences were confirmed by nucleotide sequencing.

Figure 2.9. The S$_2'$ residues of interest were converted from C-domain to corresponding N-domain residues by site-directed mutagenesis, using a *DpnI* PCR method. Mutants were screened with appropriate restriction endonucleases as follows: A, pGEM-S$_2'$ (lane 1) and pGEM-S$_2'$ V379S (lane 2) digested with *BbvCl*; B, pGEM-S$_2'$ (lane 3) and pGEM-S$_2'$ VV379/380ST (lane 4) digested with *BbvCl*; and C, pGEM-S$_2'$ (lane 5) and pGEM-S$_2'$ D453E (lane 6) digested with *XhoI*. All clones were confirmed by nucleotide sequencing. Lambda DNA digested with *EcoRI* and *HindIII* was used as a molecular marker (lane MM) for each gel.

A Mg concentration titration (representative gel, figure 2.10) was performed to obtain products for the T282S, E376D and V380T mutants. *Pfu* polymerase requires free Mg to function, however Mg also binds to the nucleotides and DNA, decreasing the specificity of the primer for the template (Innis and Gelfand, 1990). Therefore an increased Mg concentration often improves the product yield for a primer with a number of mismatches. This increased concentration can, however, also cause the production of non-specific products; hence the process sometimes requires some optimisation (Henegariu et al., 1997). Further optimisation of these PCR reactions also involved the addition of DMSO. This is believed to improve the efficiency of the amplification in a number of ways including affecting primer melting temperature (T$_m$) and / or the polymerase activity profile and increasing the product yield (Innis and Gelfand, 1990).
A final concentration of 6 mM Mg yielded appropriate PCR products for each of the above constructs. The E376D mutagenic primer introduced a unique \( HpaI \) restriction endonuclease site. The unmutated pGEM-S\(_2\)' plasmid ran as uncut plasmid DNA with two bands (supercoiled and nicked plasmid DNA; figure 2.11 A, lane 1), and a putative mutant plasmid was identified with the linearisation of the pGEM-S\(_2\)' E376D construct, revealing a single band of 4319 bp (figure 2.11 A, lanes 1 and 2). No putative mutant plasmids were identified from the T282S and V380T products.

Figure 2.11. **The \( S_2' \) residues of interest were converted from C-domain to corresponding N-domain residues by site-directed mutagenesis, using a \textit{DpnI} PCR method.** Mutants were screened with appropriate restriction enzymes as follows: A, pGEM-S\(_2'\)' (lane 1) and pGEM-S\(_2'\)' E376D (lane 2) digested with \( HpaI \); B, pGEM-S\(_2'\)' (lane 2) and pGEM-S\(_2'\)' T282S (lane 3) digested with \( SspI \); and C, pGEM-S\(_2'\)' (lane 4) and pGEM-S\(_2'\)' V380T (lane 5) digested with \( NsbI \). All mutations were confirmed by nucleotide sequencing. Lambda DNA digested with \( EcoRI \) and \( HindIII \) was used as a molecular marker (lane MM) for each gel.

The original T282S primer was 45 bp in length (Appendix I, figure A1). This length was used because a single silent mutation only produced a \textit{BmrI} restriction endonuclease site 22 bp

[Figure 2.10. Representative gel of a Mg titration in a PCR reaction. Final Mg concentrations 0, 2, 4, 6 and 8 mM (lanes 1-5, respectively), molecular marker (lane MM).]
away from the mutated codon. When the primer was re-analysed, it was found that if two silent mutations were used, an *Ssp*I restriction site could be engineered 12 bp from the mutated codon, allowing the design of a primer 37 bp in length. This primer (figure 2.6) introduced a third *Ssp*I restriction endonuclease site, cutting a 3883-bp fragment into two 2214 and 1669 bp fragments. Template pGEM-S2’ DNA ran as two bands, 3883 and 436 bp, while a putative mutant plasmid was identified, displaying the presence of three bands 2214, 1669 and 436 bp in size (figure 2.11 B, lanes 3 and 4).

The original primer designed for V380T contained the V/T mutation 6 bp from the end of the oligonucleotides (Appendix I, figure A1). This primer was however unsuccessful in producing a mutant plasmid, possibly due to the primer not annealing to the desired site, and redesign of the primer involved the inclusion of an additional two silent mutations to eliminate self-complementarity (figure 2.6). The new V380T mutagenic primer introduced a third *Nsb*I restriction site, causing a 2842-bp band to be cut into two 1909 and 933 bp bands. Unmutated pGEM-S2’ plasmid revealed two bands (2842 and 1477 bp), and a potential mutant plasmid was identified by the presence of three bands of 1909, 1477 and 933 bp (figure 2.11 C, lanes 5 and 6).

As mentioned above, the S2, S1, S1’ and multiple mutants were generated by a colleague (H.G. O’Neill) using a similar approach to that used to construct the S2’ mutants. All constructs were confirmed by nucleotide sequencing.

The tACE S2’ fragments containing the corresponding N-domain mutations of interest were cut out of the pGEM-S2’ constructs using *Eco*RI and *Sph*I, and reintroduced into the pcDNA-tACEΔ36NJ vector construct by a three part ligation with the S2’ fragments containing the mutations of interest, the *Bam*HI-*Sph*I fragment, and the pcDNA-tACEΔ36NJ vector DNA cut with *Bam*HI and *Eco*RI (described in section 2.2.2.1). All plasmid constructs were confirmed using cloning and unique site restriction endonuclease sites introduced in the site-directed mutagenesis.
2.4 Summary

In summary, the tACEΔ36NJ gene was sub-cloned into the pcDNA3.1(+) expression vector, and a fragment containing the residues to be mutated (S₂’ fragment) was sub-cloned into the shuttle vector pGEM11Zf(+) to facilitate site-directed mutagenesis. Residues of interest were converted to their corresponding N-domain counterparts using a DpnI mutagenesis method, and positive clones were identified using restriction endonucleases, and confirmed by nucleotide sequencing. The mutated S₂’ fragments were re-introduced back into the pcDNA-tACEΔ36NJ construct by a three part ligation, for subsequent expression in mammalian cells.
Chapter 3: Protein Expression, Purification and Substrate Hydrolysis of tACE Mutant Proteins
3.1 Introduction

A panel of tACE mutants was generated (described in chapter 2; see table 2.1) containing particular active-site residue N-domain substitutions. These were transfected into CHO cells not expressing endogenous ACE, and mutant protein was expressed and purified for subsequent enzyme kinetic analysis. Constructs were characterised using the domain-selective FRET peptides Abz-LFK(Dnp)-OH (C-domain-selective) and Abz-SDK(Dnp)P-OH (N-domain-selective).

The objectives of the present work were therefore to:

1. Transfect the plasmids encoding soluble tACE mutants into CHO cells and harvest media containing these protein constructs.
2. Confirm activity of mutant constructs using an HHL activity assay.
3. Isolate tACE mutant constructs using a Sepharose-28-lisinopril affinity column, and N-domain using immuno-affinity chromatography.
4. Determine the active concentration of each construct using an active-site titration.
5. Characterise the hydrolysis of domain-specific fluorogenic peptides by particular mutant constructs.

3.2 Experimental procedure

3.2.1 Chemicals

Fetal calf serum (FCS) and trypsin were supplied by Gibco, and Dulbecco’s modified eagle’s medium (DMEM) and Ham’s F12 were obtained from Highveld Biologicals. N-2-hydroxyethylpiperazine-N’-2-ethanesulphonic Acid (HEPES), G418, HHL, HL, Z-FHL, o-phthalaldialdehyde, protein G agarose and ZnCl₂ were purchased from Sigma. Chinese hamster ovary K1 (CHO-K1) cells were supplied by American Type Culture Collection (ATCC® CCL-61), the Profection® Mammalian Transfection System from Promega, and the BIO
RAD protein assay from BIO RAD. Ethanolamine was from Saarchem, NaCl from Merck, and lisinopril from Zeneca Pharmaceuticals.

3.2.2 Expression of constructs
pcDNAtACEΔ36NJ mutant constructs were transfected into CHO-K1 cells using the Profection® Mammalian Transfection System. Ten mL of CHO-K1 growth medium (50 % DMEM, 50 % Ham’s F12, 20 mM HEPES, pH 7.5, supplemented with 10 % FCS (heat-inactivated for 30 min at 56°C)) was inoculated with 500 µL of a CHO-K1 stock solution, and incubated at 37°C, 5 % CO₂, until confluent. Cells were seeded into 10 cm² culture dishes and incubated overnight, as before. Three hours before transfection, the cells were washed with 1 x phosphate-buffered saline (PBS) and further incubated with fresh growth medium. A 500 µL solution containing 10 µg of DNA and 248 mM CaCl₂ was bubbled through 500 µL of HEPES buffered saline, incubated at room temperature for 30 min, and added drop-wise to the cells. Cells were incubated for 3-4 hours, glycerol-shocked at room temperature for 1.5-2 min using 15 % glycerol in PBS, and then washed with PBS. Cells were then incubated in CHO-K1 growth medium overnight at 37°C, 5 % CO₂. The following day, medium was replaced with 0.8 mg.mL⁻¹ G418-supplemented medium to select for positive colonies, and incubated until separate clones of approximately 1-2 mm were visible. Colonies were picked using sterile swabs dipped in trypsin-EDTA, and seeded into 12 well plates. Clonal lines were screened for ACE activity (section 3.2.3), and stocks were prepared from the clone exhibiting the highest ACE activity using HHL for tACE and tACE constructs, and Z-FHL for the N-domain.

3.2.3 HHL and Z-FHL assays
ACE cleaves the substrates HHL and Z-FHL to produce the product HL. The latter forms a fluorescent adduct with o-phthalaldehyde, which can be measured spectrofluorometrically (λ excitation = 360 nm; λ emission = 485 nm) (Friedland and Silverstein, 1976). Medium or purified samples (2-20 µL) were incubated with 120 µL HHL substrate in HHL assay buffer (5.7 mM HHL in 50 mM HEPES, pH 7.5, 0.3 M NaCl; Appendix II), or 120 µL Z-FHL in Z-FHL buffer (1 mM Z-FHL in 0.1 M KPO₄ buffer, pH 8.3, 0.3 M NaCl, 10 µM ZnSO₄; Appendix II) at 37°C for 15 min, and the reaction was stopped with 725 µL 0.028 M NaOH.
Fifty µL of 20 mg.mL⁻¹ o-phthaldialdehyde was added, incubated at room temperature for 10 min, and the reaction stopped with 100 µL 3 M hydrochloric acid. Fluorescence was measured from 250 µL of the reaction mixture in a 96 well plate, using a Varian Eclipse Fluorescence Spectrophotometer. An HL standard curve (0-20 nmol HL) was generated to convert fluorescent units into milliunits (mU) ACE activity, where 1 unit is defined as 1 nmole of HL produced per min⁻¹.mL⁻¹, at 37°C in HHL assay buffer.

### 3.2.4 Isolation and purification of tACE modified derivatives

CHO-K1 clonal lines were grown up in growth medium, and transferred to T175 cm² flasks. Once 90 - 100 % confluent, medium was replaced with growth medium (50 % DMEM, 50 % Ham’s F12, 20 mM HEPES, supplemented with 2 % FCS (heat-inactivated for a further 15 min at 70°C), and media were harvested every 2 - 3 days. Harvested media were stored at -20°C until required for purification.

Testis ACE proteins were purified from spent growth media with affinity chromatography, using a Sepharose-28-lisinopril affinity column (Ehlers et al., 1991). Ten mL Columns were washed with wash buffer (20 mM HEPES, pH 7.5, 0.5 M NaCl) to eliminate non-specific binding and the tACE and mutant derivatives were eluted with 50 mM borate buffer (pH 9.5), of which 1.5 mL fractions were collected and analysed for ACE activity. Those containing substantial ACE activity were pooled and dialysed overnight in 2 x 2 L of dialysis solution (5 mM HEPES, pH 7.5) 0.1 mM PMSF) at 4°C. Protein concentrations were determined using a BIORAD Bradford assay as per manufacturer’s instruction, and activity was measured using the HHL assay. Purified proteins were visualised on a 10 % polyacrylamide sodium dodecylsulphate gel electrophoresis (SDS-PAGE) gel (Laemmli, 1970) to ensure purity and correct size. Purified protein aliquots of 0.5 - 1 mL were stored at 4 and -20°C.

### 3.2.5 Isolation and purification of N-domain derivatives of sACE

A CHO-K1 cell line expressing a soluble N-domain derivative D629, containing the N-terminal residues 1-629 of sACE (a kind gift from S. M. Danilov; Balyasnikova et al., 2003), sub-cloned (by P. Redelinghuys) into the expression vector pcDNA3.1(+) using the restriction endonucleases XbaI and EcoRI, was obtained from S. L. W. Schwager, and harvested medium was prepared and stored as described above.
Protein G is an immunoglobulin-binding protein able to bind the Fc segment of mammalian IgG (Sjöbring et al., 1990). It is therefore commonly used to remove excess levels of this protein from culture medium. To improve selectivity of the N-domain immuno-affinity chromatography column, spent medium was passed through a pre-protein G agarose column to reduce the excess levels of IgG, and N-domain was consequently bound to an N-domain-specific antibody, 5C5 (kindly provided by S. M. Danilov), coupled to a protein G agarose column (Corradi et al., 2006). The columns were washed with wash buffer as described above, and elution of the N-domain was mediated with 50 mM ethanolamine (pH 11.5). Protein was collected, dialysed, analysed and stored as described above.

3.2.6 Fluorogenic peptide assay

Domain-selective internally quenched FRET peptides, containing an Abz fluorescent donor and a Dnp acceptor group, were used to characterise the activity of ACE. Cleavage of these peptides between the donor-acceptor pair releases fluorescence that can be measured continuously in a fluorometer (Yaron et al., 1979; Araujo et al., 1999).

The fluorogenic peptides (kind gifts from A. K. Carmona), supplied in powder form, were dissolved in a small volume of DMSO to approximately 10 mM. Concentrations (C) were calculated based on the Beer-Lambert equation $A = E \cdot C \cdot l$, where the extinction coefficient (E) was 17300 M$^{-1}$cm$^{-1}$, absorbance (A) of the stock solutions was measured at 365 nm, and the pathlength (l) of the cell was 1 cm. Stock solutions of 1 mM were made up in DMSO, and working solutions were made up from these stocks in the FRET assay buffer constituting 50 mM HEPES buffer (pH 6.8), 200 mM NaCl, and 10 μM ZnCl$_2$.

Cleavage of these peptides was characterised in a continuous assay at ambient temperature (20 - 25°C), under initial rate conditions of less than 10 % hydrolysis, over time (s). Briefly, in triplicate, 0.2 nM enzyme was added to substrate concentration ranges of 0 - 12 μM, to final volumes of 300 μL in the FRET assay buffer (adjusted from Carmona et al., 2006), and fluorescence was measured at $\lambda_{ex} = 320$ nm and $\lambda_{em} = 420$ nm. A standard curve converting fluorescence to nmol product was generated from total hydrolysis of fluorogenic peptides (Appendix II). Kinetic parameters were calculated using the Direct Linear plot method (Eisenthal and Cornish-Bowden, 1974), and all replicates displayed an error within 5 %.
3.2.7 Active enzyme concentration determination
In order to determine more accurate concentrations of active enzyme present, active-site
titrations were performed with the inhibitor lisinopril, known to bind in a ratio of 1:1,
inhibitor molecule to enzyme active-site. Limitations of this method include the high
concentrations of enzyme and inhibitor that should ideally be used to ensure that the
$E + I \rightleftharpoons EI$ reaction is driven mainly to the right (Bieth, 1995). Stock solutions of lisinopril
were made by dissolving the inhibitor in dH$_2$O and preparing a dilution series in the FRET
assay buffer. Active-site titrations were performed by incubating 10 $\mu$L enzyme (in the assay
buffer) with an equal volume of each of the lisinopril dilutions for 1.5 hours at ambient
temperature. Residual enzyme activity was measured, by pipetting out 20 $\mu$L of the
incubation mixture into a 96-well plate, adding Abz-FRK(Dnp)P-OH (4 $\mu$M final substrate
concentration), to a total volume of 300 $\mu$L, in triplicate, and measuring the continuous
fluorescence at $\lambda_{ex} = 320$ nm and $\lambda_{em} = 420$ nm, at ambient temperature.

The active-site concentration was calculated as described by Knight, 1995 (Knight, 1995).
Briefly, the stoichiometry of inhibition (SI) value was calculated from a plot of percent
activity versus the molar ratio of lisinopril to ACE (I:E; protein concentrations of ACE were
determined from Bradford’s assays). The x-intercept of the initial portion indicates the SI,
where the ratio of the number of moles of inhibitor is equal to that of the enzyme in the case
of ACE and lisinopril (SI = 1). Based on this expectation, we can determine the active protein
concentration by multiplying the total protein concentration determined by the Bradford’s
assay, by the SI value calculated from the plot.

3.3 Results and discussion

3.3.1 Protein expression and purification
CHO cell lines expressing adequate levels of tACE, N-domain and tACE active-site mutants
were established by transfection, and the line exhibiting the highest HHL activity was selected
for protein expression. Pooled media containing expressed protein were applied to a
Sepharose-28-lisinopril affinity column for purification of tACE constructs, and N-domain
was purified using an immuno-affinity column. Each protein construct was analysed on an SDS-PAGE gel to confirm size and purity. Bands for all the mutants were observed to have a molecular weight of approximately 78 kDa, which was in good agreement with the calculated weight of 78 kDa for fully glycosylated tACEΔ36NJ (representative SDS-PAGE in figure 3.1). This molecular weight was calculated using the tACEΔ36NJ sequence and adding six glycans of 1700 Da each.

![Figure 3.1](image)

**Figure 3.1.** Representative SDS-PAGE (10 %) indicating purity of a selection of tACE mutants. Lanes 1, tACE; 2, VV/ST; 3, D453E; 4, T282S; 5, V379S; 6, V380T; 7, E376D. Lanes were loaded with approximately 10 µg of protein. Arrow indicates the size of the tACE constructs (78 kDa). Molecular marker (lane MM) sizes in kDa.

Bradford assays were performed to determine protein concentration and calculate yields of purified protein (table 3.1; Bradford, 1976) with total protein obtained ranging from 0.2 to 6 mg, and HHL assays were performed to confirm ACE activity in all constructs. Interestingly, high yields were obtained for most of the mutants, particularly for E376D and D453E. These are believed to be extremely high expressing cell lines, as similar yields were obtained in subsequent transfections and purifications. This phenomenon could be due to either multiple insertion of the gene into the genome or perhaps the active-site substitution plays some role in improving the stability of the final protein product.
Table 3.1. Summary of protein purification yields from CHO-K1 cells.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Protein derivative</th>
<th>Protein Yield (mg.L⁻¹ medium)</th>
<th>Protein derivative</th>
<th>Protein Yield (mg.L⁻¹ medium)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>tACE</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>T282S</td>
<td>4.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N-domain</td>
<td>7.4</td>
<td>E376D</td>
<td>25.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F391Y</td>
<td>2.3</td>
<td>V379S</td>
<td>3.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E403R</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>V380T</td>
<td>1.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S516N</td>
<td>3.8</td>
<td>VV/ST</td>
<td>7.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S517V</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>D453E</td>
<td>12.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V518T</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>S₂'</td>
<td>7.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E162D</td>
<td>2.6</td>
<td>TEVD</td>
<td>11.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D377Q</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>S₂'F</td>
<td>3.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ED/DQ</td>
<td>3.1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

3.3.2 Active enzyme concentration determination

Although affinity chromatography is assumed to result in a fully active stock solution of the enzyme, degradation of protein may occur when stored for long periods of time, resulting in lowered concentrations of active enzyme (Knight, 1995). Furthermore, inaccurate measurements can be obtained from absorbance readings due to dissolved contaminants or tightly bound water molecules. Active concentrations of each construct were therefore determined using active-site titrations with the tight-binding inhibitor lisinopril, before performing any subsequent fluorogenic assays (representative active site titration, figure 3.2).

Generally, the active-site concentrations obtained using this method were approximately 10-25 % of those displayed by the Bradford’s method (results not shown). These assays were however performed on samples that had been stored for more than a month at 4°C, in 5 mM HEPES and no NaCl. It was also found that under these conditions, certain mutants, particularly V518T, demonstrated rapid degradation within a week (figure 3.3). Furthermore, there are a number of limitations to the Bradford’s method, such as its sensitivity to protein
composition and non-protein contaminants (Bradford, 1976). This highlights the importance of accurately determining the active concentration of a construct before subsequent kinetic analysis, to ensure that the effect of amino acid substitutions can be correctly attributed to their effects on binding and catalysis, as opposed to their influence on protein stability (Knight, 1995).

![Plot of percentage activity versus the molar ratio of inhibitor to enzyme. The SI value is indicated.](image)

Figure 3.2. **Plot of percentage activity versus the molar ratio of inhibitor to enzyme.** The SI value is indicated.

Optimisation of storage conditions for the V518T mutant was carried out by storing stocks at different HEPES and NaCl concentrations. Three stock samples of V518T were stored in 5 mM HEPES (no NaCl), 25 mM HEPES 100 mM NaCl and 50 mM HEPES 200 mM NaCl, (all pH 7.5) at 4°C, for one month. Complete and slight degradation of the 5 mM and 50 mM HEPES sample was observed, respectively, while the 25 mM HEPES sample displayed none (figure 3.3A). Furthermore, storage of S516N in 5 mM HEPES at -20°C resulted in less degradation of protein than those stored at 4°C (figure 3.3B). It can be therefore be suggested that ACE constructs containing active site mutations, should ideally be stored at -20°C in 5 mM HEPES, or in 25 mM HEPES.
Figure 3.3. **SDS-PAGE** (10 %, denaturing) displaying A) V518T and B) S516N mutants stored under different conditions for one month. Lane 1, 5 mM HEPES, no NaCl (4°C); 2, 50 mM HEPES, 200 mM NaCl (4°C); 3, 25 mM HEPES, 100 mM NaCl (4°C); 4, 5 mM HEPES (-20°C); 5, 5 mM HEPES (4°C). Lanes were loaded with approximately 10 µg of protein. Lane MM, molecular marker, sizes in kDa.

### 3.3.3 Hydrolysis of FRET peptides

Kinetic constants were calculated for the hydrolysis of FRET peptides Abz-LFK(Dnp)-OH and Abz-SDK(Dnp)P-OH, by tACE, N-domain and the tACE mutants (tables 3.2 and 3.3).

#### 3.3.3.1 Hydrolysis of Abz-LFK(Dnp)-OH

Abz-LFK(Dnp)-OH, which is cleaved by ACE at the Leu-Phe bond, is highly selective for the C-domain (Bersanetti et al., 2004). This selectivity has mainly been attributed to the high catalytic rate with which this substrate is cleaved by the C-domain compared to the N-domain. This effect was observed here too (table 3.2), where the $k_{cat}$ value for tACE (53.3 s$^{-1}$) was 120-fold greater than that of the N-domain (0.44 s$^{-1}$), while the $K_M$ values were similar (tACE, 14.5 µM; N-domain, 9.5 µM).
Table 3.2. Kinetic constants for the cleavage of the C-domain-specific Abz-LFK(Dnp)-OH FRET peptide by ACE constructs. Cleavage of Abz-LFK(Dnp)-OH by a series of tACE mutants and the wild-type tACE and N-domain constructs was analysed. Initial velocities for each substrate concentration were determined in triplicate, and kinetic constants were calculated using the direct linear plot method (Eisenthal and Cornish-Bowden, 1974).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Mutant</th>
<th>$K_M$ (μM)</th>
<th>$k_{cat}$ (s$^{-1}$)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>tACE</td>
<td>14.5</td>
<td>53.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ndom</td>
<td>9.5</td>
<td>0.44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S516N</td>
<td>12.5</td>
<td>30.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V518T</td>
<td>16.7</td>
<td>27.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E162D</td>
<td>13.9</td>
<td>50.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D377Q</td>
<td>7.5</td>
<td>18.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ED/DQ</td>
<td>8.8</td>
<td>25.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V379S</td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>23.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V380T</td>
<td>5.3</td>
<td>18.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VV/ST</td>
<td>2.4</td>
<td>18.2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

To elucidate the molecular basis for this selectivity, the hydrolysis of Abz-LFK(Dnp)-OH by a selection of tACE active-site mutants was tested (table 3.2). These mutants were chosen based on pockets implicated to play a role in the C-selectivity of Abz-LFK(Dnp)-OH through scanning of peptide libraries with differing P$_3$ to P$_1'$ substituents (particularly S$_1'$) (Bersanetti et al., 2004), as well as analysis of specific interactions of a docked model of Abz-LFK(Dnp)-OH complexed to tACE (particular residues within the S$_1$ and S$_2'$ pocket) (Bersanetti et al., 2004). As expected, there was not much variation with the $K_M$ values, with the lowest $K_M$ values being obtained for mutations within the S$_2'$ pocket, V379S, V380T and VV/ST (3.5, 5.3 and 2.4, respectively; table 3.2; figure 3.4).

Slight decreases in $k_{cat}$, approximately 3-fold, were observed for D377Q, V380T and VV/ST ($k_{cat}$ = 18.0, 18.2, 18.2 s$^{-1}$, respectively). The substitution of a Gln residue for the Asp in D377Q not only results in the loss of a negative charge, but could potentially position the
polar Gln side-chain closer to the P₁’ Phe side-chain of Abz-LFK(Dnp)-OH than the shorter Asp (5.8 Å for Q, compared to 7.5 Å for D; figure 3.4). The mutations V380T and VV/ST decrease the hydrophobicity of the S₂’ pocket. A model of Abz-LFK(Dnp)-OH docked into the active-site of tACE (figure 3.4) (Bersanetti et al., 2004) revealed distances of approximately 7.8 and 3.8 Å between the P₂’ Phe and residues V379 and V380, respectively. Therefore, the VV/ST substitution’s effect is likely due to the V380T mutation, as is further evidenced by a similar $k_{cat}$ obtained for this single mutation, compared to the double mutant (table 3.2). All of these substitutions are more than 10 Å away from any of the residues believed to play a role in stabilising the transition state and are therefore unlikely to play a direct role in hindering the formation of the tetrahedral intermediate. It can be suggested however, that these conversions may cause a change in the orientation of the substrate molecule, which may result in unfavourable interactions with these stabilising residues, thereby reducing the efficiency with which the substrate is cleaved.

None of these mutations caused a decrease in $k_{cat}$ equivalent to that of the N-domain, suggesting that other residues within the active-site contribute to the high selectivity of this substrate for the C-domain (discussed below). Furthermore, additional factors such as access of the substrate into the active-site and interactions of the substrate with residues elsewhere in the protein, such as the lid region or entrance to the active-site, may also contribute to some extent. This idea is supported by a tACE construct developed in our group, containing an N-domain-like lid region, where tACE residues 1-163 were replaced by the corresponding N-domain residues 1-141 (Woodman et al., 2006). This construct revealed interesting shifts in substrate specificity displaying catalytic efficiencies more comparable to that of the N-domain, for the C-selective substrates HHL and Abz-LFK(Dnp)-OH. This implies that the lid region of the N-domain may play a role in access or release of the substrate or products, affecting the efficiency with which particular substrates are cleaved.

Another example of factors outside the active-site affecting substrate specificity was demonstrated by Behera and Mazumdar (Behera and Mazumdar, 2008). They showed that Cytochrome P450cam, an oxygenase from Pseudomonas putida involved in camphor metabolism, contains residues at the entrance to the putative substrate access channel that seem to play a major role in the binding and recognition of camphor, therefore facilitating
access of this substrate into the active-site. This suggests that other proteins such as ACE, may have residues at the entrance to or in the substrate channel that may contribute to substrate specificity, especially considering the lid regions of these two domains display a low sequence identity (approximately 25 %), with some interesting differences in charge and hydrophobicity (Woodman et al., 2006).

Figure 3.4. Stick representation of Abz-LFK(Dnp)-OH (grey carbon atoms) docked into the tACE active-site (PDB code 1o86) (kindly provided by A.T. Nchinda; Bersanetti et al., 2004), aligned to the N-domain structure (PDB code 2c6n). Residues differing between tACE and the N-domain are shown in yellow and purple, respectively, tACE residues stabilising the transition state are coloured green. The active-site zinc ion is represented as a grey sphere and two of the zinc-chelating residues are shown as black lines (H383 and H387). All distances are given in Å. Images were created using MacPyMOL 0.99 (DeLano Scientific, Palo Alto, CA, U.S.A).
Further analysis of residues within 6 Å of Abz-LFK(Dnp)-OH in the docked tACE structure (Bersanetti et al., 2004) aligned to the N-domain (PDB code 2c6n), revealed some interesting differences between the two active-sites (figure 3.5). In addition to the residues that were mutated above (table 3.2), N277 (Asp 255 in the N-domain) and S461 (Gly 439 in the N-domain) differed between domains. Moreover, a residue conserved between domains, D465/D443 (tACE / N-domain numbering), was within 6 Å of the substrate side-chain for the N-domain, while the distance in tACE was greater than 6 Å (figure 3.5).

Figure 3.5. **Additional residues that may play a role in the C-domain-specificity of Abz-LFK(Dnp)-OH** (docked model kindly provided by A.T. Nchinda; Bersanetti et al., 2004), aligned to the N-domain structure (PDB code 2c6n). Residues differing between tACE and the N-domain are shown in yellow and purple, respectively. The active-site zinc ion is represented as a grey sphere and two of the zinc-chelating residues are shown as black lines (His 383 and His 387). All distances are given in Å.

N277 is a polar hydrophilic amino acid, which probably does not interact favourably with the substrate P1’ Phe (figure 3.5). The N-domain substitution of an Asp in this position would introduce an acidic, negatively charged amino acid, making the interaction between these residues even less favourable. Replacement of the tACE S461 residue with the N-domain Gly
would decrease the size and hydrophilicity of this residue interacting with the hydrophilic Dnp group (figure 3.5). D443 (N-domain) and D445 (tACE) are approximately 4.6 and 6.7 Å from the oxygens of the Dnp moiety, respectively (figure 3.5). This difference could result in a notably altered orientation of the substrate, which could ultimately lead to a much lowered catalytic efficiency. Support for these ideas would however, require further mutational and kinetic studies, involving converting the tACE or N-domain residues to the corresponding domain counterparts, or for the cases of conserved residues, converting them to amino acids of similar size, but different properties.

3.3.3.2 Hydrolysis of Abz-SDK(Dnp)P-OH

The $K_M$ values obtained for the cleavage of Abz-SDK(Dnp)P-OH by tACE and the N-domain were 7.3 and 7.7, respectively (table 3.3). These results, although slightly lower, are consistent with those reported by Araujo et al. (Araujo et al., 2000). A 4.5-fold selectivity was observed for the catalytic activity of the N-domain over that of tACE (tACE, $k_{cat} = 0.31$ s$^{-1}$; N-domain, $k_{cat} = 1.4$ s$^{-1}$; table 3.3). Araujo et al. (Araujo et al., 2000) found that N-selectivity is increased for fluorogenic peptides with a Ser and Asp in the P$_2$ and P$_1$ positions, respectively, while residues differing in the P$_1'$ and P$_2'$ positions had minimal effect on N-specificity. This led us to test the hydrolysis of Abz-SDK(Dnp)P-OH with the S$_2$ and S$_1$ pocket mutants described above (table 3.3). Again, the $K_M$ values were similar for all the proteins tested (table 3.3), however no increase in $k_{cat}$ from that of tACE was observed (table 3.3). Therefore the modest 4.5-fold selectivity of Abz-SDK(Dnp)P-OH for the N-domain may be due to other factors such as access of the peptide to the active-site and/or interactions of the peptide elsewhere in the active-site or in the remainder of the protein (discussed above).
Table 3.3. **Kinetic constants for the cleavage of the N-domain-specific Abz-SDK(Dnp)P-OH FRET peptide by ACE constructs.** Cleavage of Abz-SDK(Dnp)P-OH by a series of tACE mutants and the wild-type tACE and N-domain constructs was analysed. Initial velocities for each substrate concentration were determined in triplicate, and kinetic constants were calculated using the direct linear plot method (Eisenthal and Cornish-Bowden, 1974).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Mutant</th>
<th>$K_m$(μM)</th>
<th>$k_{cat}$ (s$^{-1}$)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>tACE</td>
<td>7.3</td>
<td>0.31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ndom</td>
<td>7.7</td>
<td>1.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E403R</td>
<td>4.1</td>
<td>0.18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F391Y</td>
<td>10.9</td>
<td>0.22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S516N</td>
<td>15.2</td>
<td>0.17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S517V</td>
<td>11.5</td>
<td>0.14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V518T</td>
<td>9.7</td>
<td>0.57</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The 4-fold N-selectivity of Abz-SDK(Dnp)P-OH determined in this study was dramatically lower than the 250-fold selectivity reported by Araujo et al. (Araujo et al., 2000). A number of factors can explain this discrepancy. Firstly, there were some differences in the method employed for the reaction, such as the temperature at which the reactions were performed (37°C by Araujo et al. compared to 25°C in this work), and the use of cuvettes with final volumes of 2 mL (Araujo et al.) versus white 96 well plates using final volumes of 300 μL. However, repeating these assays using similar techniques to those employed by Araujo et al. (Araujo et al., 2000) yielded similar results to those obtained in table 3.3 (results not shown). Secondly, the mutant proteins used in each study were different; Araujo et al. used full-length proteins with an inactivated domain, while we used soluble single domains (described in 2.1 and 3.2.5). Furthermore, work demonstrating the N-specificity of the naturally occurring ACE substrate, AcSDKP, was also performed on full-length ACE mutants containing one of the two catalytic sites inactivated (Rousseau et al., 1995). This suggests that the marked selectivity observed by Araujo et al. (Araujo et al., 2000) and Rousseau et al. (Rousseau et al., 1995) for Abz-SDK(Dnp)P-OH and AcSDKP, respectively, may be partly due to interactions between the two domains of ACE as evidenced by a high degree of co-operativity previously observed between the domains (Ehlers and Riordan, 1991; Binevski et al., 2003; Woodman et
Araujo et al. (Araujo et al., 2000) reported that Abz-SDK(Dnp)P-OH, containing Abz and Dnp moieties, was hydrolysed more efficiently than the naturally occurring N-domain-specific substrate, AcSDKP (Rousseau et al., 1995; Araujo et al., 2000). This result was not confirmed in this work, since the catalytic efficacy of ACE for Abz-SDK(Dnp)P-OH was markedly lower than that reported by Araujo et al. (Araujo et al., 2000). Structure-function studies were also performed by Michaud et al. (Michaud et al., 1999), substituting the N-terminal acetyl-Ser with a BzGly. This substrate demonstrated a 5-fold lower N-selectivity ($k_{cat}$/ $K_M$) compared to that of AcSDKP, however, this change was mainly attributed to an increased $K_M$ and only slightly increased $k_{cat}$. Further work is being performed by another member of our group (R. G. Douglas) to investigate these phenomena.

### 3.4 Summary

In summary, all of the active-site mutant proteins were successfully expressed and isolated. Particular tACE active-site mutants were analysed with the FRET peptides Abz-LFK(Dnp)-OH (C-domain-selective) and Abz-SDK(Dnp)P-OH (N-domain-selective). Comparable $K_M$ values were obtained amongst the different peptides for those tACE constructs tested, while some variation was observed with the $k_{cat}$ results. However, for the two domain-selective substrates, no shift in $k_{cat}$ was equivalent to that of the N-domain from tACE. Therefore the selectivity of these substrates is probably a result of a combination of factors, such as active-site residues as well as interactions elsewhere in the protein.
Chapter 4: Kinetic Characterisation of tACE Proteins
4.1 Introduction

Although the C- and N-domains of ACE display almost 60% sequence identity, they have demonstrated a number of interesting differences, particularly in their substrate and inhibitor specificities. Over the past decade, a number of highly domain-selective ACE inhibitors have been described. These include two phosphinic peptide inhibitors, RXP407 and RXPA380 (Dive et al., 1999; Georgiadis et al., 2003), two keto-ACE derivatives, kAW and kAF (Redelinghuys et al., 2006), and the lisinopril analogue lis-W (Nchinda et al., 2006a) (figure 1.8).

Dive et al. have described two phosphinic inhibitors, RXP407 and RXPA380, each demonstrating a selectivity of three orders of magnitude for the N- and C-domains, respectively (Dive et al., 1999; Georgiadis et al., 2003). The selectivity of RXP407 has been attributed to three structural features of this compound: the presence of a carboxyamide group on the P2’ Ala, an aspartic acid moiety in the P2 position, and the presence of an acetyl group on this N-terminal Asp (Dive et al., 1999). Structure-function studies of RXPA380 analogues revealed that the P2’ Trp played an important role in the marked C-selectivity of this inhibitor (Georgiadis et al., 2004). More recently, crystallographic data has provided evidence that the hydrophobic interaction of the P2 Phe with the S2 F391 residue could also play a critical role in the domain specificity of RXPA380 (Corradi et al., 2007). These two phosphinic peptide inhibitors were therefore used to probe the importance of the S2 and S2’ sub-sites in ACE domain selectivity.

Keto-ACE is an analogue of the tripeptide ACE substrate, Bz-Phe-Gly-Pro (Weare et al., 1981), which binds the catalytic zinc ion of ACE via its ketone group. It displays inhibition in the nanomolar range, with a modest 30- to 40-fold C-domain selectivity believed to reside in its bulky P1 moiety and the benzyl substituent in the P2 position (Acharya et al., 2003; Deddish et al., 1998). Using keto-ACE as a platform, our group has synthesised a number of novel derivatives containing modified P1’ and P2’ substituents (Nchinda et al., 2006b). Two of these compounds, kAW and kAF, containing P2’ Trp and Phe substituents, respectively, have
demonstrated some interesting C-selectivity (Redelinghuys et al., 2006). These three inhibitors are all similar in structure differing only at their P₂’ substituent, and therefore providing some interesting tools to investigate particular interactions between the P₂’ substituent and S₂’ residues such as V379 (tACE numbering). Furthermore, although it has a ketone zinc binding group, versus the phosphinic group of RXPA380, kAW has similar P₂, P₁ and P₂’ substituents to those of RXPA380. Therefore, comparison of results between these two inhibitors could provide important insights into the effects of the different zinc co-ordinating moieties versus the sub-site pocket side-chains.

Lisinopril is a potent ACE inhibitor widely used for the treatment of hypertension and CVD. This compound was also used by our group as a platform to develop more domain-selective inhibitors of ACE. Substitution of the P₂’ group with a Trp moiety results in two enantiomers, S- and R-lis-W (figure 4.1). Previous work reported the S-isomer displaying more potent inhibition of tACE compared to that of the R-isomer (Nchinda et al., 2006a). Moreover, attempts to crystallise R-lis-W complexed with tACE resulted in ACE co-crystals containing the S-enantiomer within the active-site (Watermeyer, 2008). This suggests that the C-domain active-site selected for the S-isomer, which could have been present in trace amounts in the purified R-lis-W solution. Watermeyer suggested that occlusion of the R-enantiomer is probably caused by steric hindrance between the alcohol group of Y523 and the β carbon of the P₁ Phe (Watermeyer, 2008). This selection is consistent with previous reports of ACE inhibitors containing an S-configuration in this position displaying markedly higher affinities over their R-counterparts (Brenner et al., 1990; Stefan et al., 1998). Furthermore, this configuration is consistent with the L-amino acid chirality observed in biological peptides.

The aim of this work was to gain a better understanding of the molecular basis to ACE domain selectivity. This panel of inhibitors provides an excellent tool to characterise important interactions by analysing the effect of binding to tACE active-site mutants containing relevant N-domain substitutions. The results of these studies provide useful insight into specific residues driving the domain selectivity of ACE.
The S- and R-enantiomers of lis-W. The star indicates the chiral centre concerned, and $R_1$ represents the remainder of lis-W (the $P_1'$ and $P_2'$ substituents).

The objectives of the present work were therefore to:

1. Characterise the hydrolysis of the non-domain-specific fluorogenic peptide Abz-FRK(Dnp)P-OH by mutant constructs.
2. Perform kinetic characterisation of the panel of domain-selective inhibitors, using tACE mutant proteins containing active-site substitutions potentially forming interactions conferring selectivity.

4.2 Experimental procedures

4.2.1 Chemicals

Acetonitrile (ACN) was purchased from Burdick and Jackson, and trifluoroacetic acid (TFA) was supplied by Merck.

4.2.2 Preparation of Abz-FRK(Dnp)P-OH

Fluorogenic peptides were prepared as described in section 3.2.6.

4.2.3 Preparation of inhibitors

The phosphinic peptide inhibitors RXP407 and RXPA380 were kindly provided by V. Dive (Dive et al., 1999; Georgiadis et al., 2003). Keto-ACE and its derivatives kAW and kAF were
synthesised by A. T. Nchinda (Nchinda et al., 2006b). Lis-W was synthesised by A. Mahajan (Nchinda et al., 2006a) and the $S$- and $R$-isomers were separated by S. L. W. Schwager using high-performance liquid chromatography (HPLC) (section 4.2.4).

Phosphinic peptide inhibitors, lisinopril and lis-W were dissolved in $dH_2O$, while keto-ACE and its derivatives were dissolved in a small volume of DMSO. An appropriate dilution series was prepared for each inhibitor in FRET assay buffer (section 3.2.6).

4.2.4 Purification of lis-W isomers
The separation of the lis-W enantiomers was achieved by HPLC, using a semi-preparative reversed-phase column (TRACER EXCEL TR-016174 120ODSB, 5 µm, 25 cm x 1 cm; from Teknokroma), with a gradient elution 26-30 % ACN in 0.1 % TFA over 30 min, at a flow rate of 2.5 mL.min$^{-1}$. Peaks were detected at the UV wavelengths 215 and 280 nm. The $R$-isomer eluted at $t_R = 27$ min, while the $S$ counterpart eluted at $t_R = 33$ min. The structure and purity of the $S$-isomer of lis-W was confirmed by nuclear magnetic resonance, as this was the only isomer found to co-crystalise with tACE (Watermeyer, 2008), and has been shown to be C-domain-selective (Nchinda et al., 2006a).

4.2.4 Fluorogenic assay
The fluorogenic assay was performed using the non-domain-selective peptide Abz-FRK(Dnp)P-OH, as described in section 3.2.6.

4.2.5 Inhibition assay
Approximately 0.2 nM of enzyme was incubated with an appropriate concentration range of inhibitor, at ambient temperature (20 - 25ºC) for 60 min. For inhibitors dissolved in DMSO, the final concentration of this solvent was below 1 %. Twenty µL of this enzyme-inhibitor mixture, was added, in triplicate, to a reaction mixture of 4 or 8 µM Abz-FRK(Dnp)P-OH in FRET assay buffer, to a final volume of 300 µL. Residual enzyme activity was monitored by fluorescence, as described in section 3.2.6.

Inhibition constants were calculated using the Dixon method (Dixon, 1953). Briefly, linear regression curves were plotted on a graph of the reciprocal of initial velocities ($v$, defined
as < 10 % of substrate hydrolysis) versus inhibitor concentrations, using GraphPad Prism 4.01. The point of intersection, \( m_1x + c_1 = m_2x + c_2 \), corresponds to the negative \( K_i \) value, where \( m = \) gradient, \( x = x \) co-ordinate and \( c = y\)-intercept (figure 4.2).

![Figure 4.2. Representative Dixon plot. N-domain inhibition by lisinopril at two substrate concentrations: 4 (squares) and 8 (triangles) \( \mu M \) Abz-FRK(Dnp)P-OH.](image)

### 4.3 Results and discussion

Mutant proteins having key residues replaced with their N-domain counterparts (see table 2.1), were characterised using a series of domain-selective inhibitors, with the fluorogenic substrate Abz-FRK(Dnp)P-OH.

#### 4.3.1 Hydrolysis of Abz-FRK(Dnp)P-OH

Abz-FRK(Dnp)P-OH has been shown to display little selectivity between the ACE domains (Araujo et al., 2000) and was therefore used for characterising the interaction of mutants with the domain-selective inhibitors. The C- (tACE) and N-domain constructs demonstrated \( K_M \) values of 3.9 and 3.7 \( \mu M \), respectively, for the hydrolysis of Abz-FRK(Dnp)P-OH, consistent with those reported by Araujo et al. (Araujo et al., 2000). All of the mutants displayed similar
$K_M$ values but there was greater variation amongst the $k_{cat}$ values, ranging from 0.6 to 37 s$^{-1}$ for the E376D mutant and TEVVD, respectively (table 4.1).

Table 4.1. **Summary of kinetic constants for the cleavage of the Abz-FRK(Dnp)P-OH FRET peptide by ACE constructs.** Cleavage of Abz-FRK(Dnp)P-OH by a series of tACE mutants as well as the wild-type tACE and N-domain proteins was analysed. Initial velocities for each substrate concentration were determined in triplicate, and kinetic constants were calculated using the direct linear plot method (Eisenthal and Cornish-Bowden, 1974).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Mutant</th>
<th>$K_M$ (µM)</th>
<th>$k_{cat}$ (s$^{-1}$)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>tACE</td>
<td>3.9</td>
<td>25.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ndom</td>
<td>3.7</td>
<td>11.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E403R</td>
<td>3.7</td>
<td>30.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F391Y</td>
<td>10.3</td>
<td>4.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S516N</td>
<td>10.6</td>
<td>3.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V518T</td>
<td>12.0</td>
<td>6.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E162D</td>
<td>4.5</td>
<td>22.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D377Q</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>9.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ED/DQ</td>
<td>6.5</td>
<td>16.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T282S</td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>1.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E376D</td>
<td>9.9</td>
<td>0.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V379S</td>
<td>5.9</td>
<td>29.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V380T</td>
<td>10.6</td>
<td>27.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VV/ST</td>
<td>6.8</td>
<td>33.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D453E</td>
<td>5.5</td>
<td>5.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TEVD</td>
<td>26.8</td>
<td>27.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TEVVD</td>
<td>18.4</td>
<td>36.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$S_2$F</td>
<td>6.7</td>
<td>28.8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
4.3.2 Domain selectivity of the phosphinic inhibitors

RXPA380 displayed C-domain-specific inhibition of the hydrolysis of Abz-FRK(Dnp)P-OH, with an approximately 880-fold higher affinity for the C-domain than for the N-domain (table 4.2, figure 4.3). In contrast, RXP407 demonstrated potent inhibition of the N-domain, with a more than 500-fold selectivity over that of the C-domain (table 4.2). Both of these trends are consistent with previously published data (Dive et al., 1999; Georgiadis et al., 2003). The inhibition of the active-site mutants will be discussed in detail under the various sub-sites later in this chapter.

Table 4.2. **Kinetic parameters for the inhibition of ACE activity by RXPA380 and RXP407.** Inhibition constants for wild-type tACE and N-domain, as well as tACE active-site mutants containing corresponding N-domain residue substitutions, using the fluorogenic peptide Abz-FRK(Dnp)P-OH, were determined using the Dixon method (Dixon, 1953).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Construct</th>
<th>Pocket</th>
<th>RXPA380 $K_i$ (nM)</th>
<th>RXP407 $K_i$ (nM)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>tACE (C-domain)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>2800</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N-domain</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>60900</td>
<td>5.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F391Y</td>
<td>S$_2$</td>
<td>2326</td>
<td>1500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E403R</td>
<td>S$_2$</td>
<td>97.5</td>
<td>1800</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V518T</td>
<td>S$_1$</td>
<td>276</td>
<td>11000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T282S</td>
<td>S$_2'$</td>
<td>251</td>
<td>n.d.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E376D</td>
<td>S$_2'$</td>
<td>363</td>
<td>n.d.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V379S</td>
<td>S$_2'$</td>
<td>36.4</td>
<td>330</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V380T</td>
<td>S$_2'$</td>
<td>462</td>
<td>800</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VV/ST</td>
<td>S$_2'$</td>
<td>177</td>
<td>1100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D453E</td>
<td>S$_2'$</td>
<td>288</td>
<td>n.d.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TEVD</td>
<td>S$_2'$</td>
<td>2236</td>
<td>6600</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TEVVD</td>
<td>S$_2'$</td>
<td>1160</td>
<td>760</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S$_2'$F</td>
<td>S$_2'$ and S$_2$</td>
<td>5300</td>
<td>16.1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

n.d. not determined.
4.3.3 Domain selectivity of keto-ACE and its derivatives

Under the conditions described, keto-ACE displayed a 30-fold higher affinity for the C-domain ($K_i = 0.05 \mu M$) than for the N-domain ($K_i = 1.5 \mu M$, table 4.3). Two novel C-domain-selective keto-ACE derivatives have been developed in our group, kAW and kAF, containing a Trp and Phe residue in the P2’ position, respectively. Due to solubility problems with kAF, a $K_i$ value for the N-domain was not obtainable, however no inhibition was observed up to 500 $\mu M$ (table 4.3). These inhibitors both demonstrated high domain selectivity under the conditions tested (table 4.3), with kAW and kAF having approximately 1200- and >600-fold higher affinity for the C-domain, respectively (figure 4.4). However, it should be noted that the potency of these inhibitors for the C-domain is approximately 10-fold lower than that obtained for RXPA380 and keto-ACE. The inhibition of the active-site mutants will be discussed in detail under the various sub-sites later in this chapter.
Table 4.3. **Kinetic parameters for the inhibition of ACE activity by keto-ACE, kAW and kAF.** Inhibition constants for wild-type tACE and N-domain, as well as tACE active-site mutants containing corresponding N-domain residue substitutions, using the fluorogenic peptide Abz-FRK(Dnp)P-OH, were determined using the Dixon method (Dixon, 1953).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Construct</th>
<th>Pocket</th>
<th>keto-ACE $K_i$ (µM)</th>
<th>kAW $K_i$ (µM)</th>
<th>kAF $K_i$ (µM)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>tACE (C-domain)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>0.68</td>
<td>0.83</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N-domain</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>854</td>
<td>&gt;500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F391Y</td>
<td>S₂</td>
<td>0.43</td>
<td>4.8</td>
<td>3.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E403R</td>
<td>S₂</td>
<td>n.d.</td>
<td>0.24</td>
<td>n.d.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S516N</td>
<td>S₁</td>
<td>0.012</td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>n.d.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V518T</td>
<td>S₁</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>9.7</td>
<td>18.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T282S</td>
<td>S₂’</td>
<td>n.d.</td>
<td>0.92</td>
<td>n.d.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E376D</td>
<td>S₂’</td>
<td>n.d.</td>
<td>2.3</td>
<td>2.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V379S</td>
<td>S₂’</td>
<td>n.d.</td>
<td>0.064</td>
<td>0.81</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V380T</td>
<td>S₂’</td>
<td>n.d.</td>
<td>2.7</td>
<td>1.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VV/ST</td>
<td>S₂’</td>
<td>n.d.</td>
<td>0.87</td>
<td>n.d.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D453E</td>
<td>S₂’</td>
<td>n.d.</td>
<td>0.62</td>
<td>n.d.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

n.d. not determined.
4.3.4 Domain selectivity of lisinopril and lis-W

Lisinopril is a potent ACE inhibitor, demonstrating modest domain selectivity (tACE $K_i = 1.2$ nM, N-domain $K_i = 4.8$ nM; table 4.4). Inhibition by the $S$-enantiomer of lis-W displayed $K_i$'s of 6.6 nM and 1700 nM for tACE and N-domain, respectively (table 4.4, figure 4.5). The inhibition of the active-site mutants will be discussed in detail under the various sub-sites later in this chapter.

Resolution of the tACE–lis-W ($S$-enantiomer) crystal structure revealed lis-W is bound in a very similar conformation to lisinopril within the active-site of tACE, but with a slight deviation in the position of the P$_1$' lysyl amine (Watermeyer, 2008) (see figure 4.9). Interestingly, the P$_2$' Trp moiety is in a different orientation than that observed in the RXPA380 and kAW structures. This difference has been attributed to strong entropically favourable hydrophobic interactions between the P$_1$' Lys and the tACE V380 residue.
Moreover, this new orientation allows the formation of a hydrogen bond interaction between the indole N and D415, a residue that is conserved between domains. In the kAW structure, this N is shown to form hydrogen bond interactions with water molecules within this pocket (Watermeyer et al., 2008; Watermeyer, 2008).

Table 4.4. **Kinetic parameters for the inhibition of ACE by lisinopril and lis-W.** Inhibition constants for wild-type tACE and N-domain, as well as tACE active-site mutants containing corresponding N-domain residue substitutions, using the fluorogenic peptide Abz-FRK(Dnp)P-OH, were determined using the Dixon method (Dixon, 1953).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Construct</th>
<th>Pocket</th>
<th>lisinopril ( K_i ) (nM)</th>
<th>lis-W ( K_i ) (nM)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>tACE (C-domain)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>6.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N-domain</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>4.8</td>
<td>1700</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F391Y</td>
<td>S(_2)</td>
<td>n.d.</td>
<td>9.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V518T</td>
<td>S(_1)</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>8.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E162D</td>
<td>S(_1')</td>
<td>0.83</td>
<td>8.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D377Q</td>
<td>S(_1')</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>17.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ED/DQ</td>
<td>S(_1')</td>
<td>0.89</td>
<td>26.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T282S</td>
<td>S(_2')</td>
<td>n.d.</td>
<td>10.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E376D</td>
<td>S(_2')</td>
<td>n.d.</td>
<td>24.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V379S</td>
<td>S(_2')</td>
<td>n.d.</td>
<td>2.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V380T</td>
<td>S(_2')</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>1.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VV/ST</td>
<td>S(_2')</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>9.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D453E</td>
<td>S(_2')</td>
<td>n.d.</td>
<td>15.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TEVD</td>
<td>S(_2')</td>
<td>n.d.</td>
<td>75.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TEVVD</td>
<td>S(_2')</td>
<td>n.d.</td>
<td>104.6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

n.d. not determined.
4.3.5 Roles of tACE active-site residues in the selectivity of C-domain-selective inhibitors

In order to gain a better understanding of the roles of the various active-site residues in inhibitor binding, we tested these selective inhibitors against the mutants generated.

4.3.5.1 Roles of S2 residues

The highest shift in $K_i$ observed for the single mutations when tested with RXPA380 was a 34-fold increase from that of tACE ($K_i = 69$ nM) for F391Y ($K_i = 2326$ nM; table 4.2, figure 4.3). This mutant protein also demonstrated a considerable decrease in affinity for keto-ACE and its derivatives, relative to that of tACE (table 4.3, figure 4.3). The E403R mutant displayed no change in affinity for the inhibitors tested, kAW or RXPA380, compared to that of wild-type tACE (tables 4.2 and 4.3, figures 4.3 and 4.4).
The tACE-RXPA380 crystal structure (PDB code 2oc2) revealed a hydrophobic interaction between the inhibitor P₂ Phe and F391 of tACE in the S₂ pocket (Corradi et al., 2007). This interaction seems to be responsible for positioning the inhibitor optimally within the active-site, and would be lost in the N-domain where this residue is replaced by Y369 (figure 4.6A). Moreover, the hydroxyl group of this Tyr may result in steric hindrance with the inhibitor Phe, which could result in RXPA380 adopting a different orientation within the N-domain active-site (figure 4.7). Therefore, based on the X-ray crystal structure and mutational and kinetic analysis, it is likely that F391 makes a critical contribution to the C-domain specificity of RXPA380.

The structures of tACE in complex with kAW (PDB code 3bkl) and kAF (3bkk) revealed hydrophobic contacts between the inhibitor P₂ group and F391 (figure 4.6B and C, respectively) (Watermeyer et al., 2008). The hydroxyl group introduced into this pocket by the N-domain Y369 would result in steric hindrance with the binding of keto-ACE (or its derivatives) containing a benzoyl moiety in this position, reducing the affinity with which these inhibitors would bind.
Figure 4.6. Stick representation of inhibitors A) RXPA380 (PDB code 2oc2) (Corradi et al., 2007), B) kAW (3bkl) (Watermeyer et al., 2008) and C) kAF (3bkk) (Watermeyer et al., 2008) within the tACE active-site, aligned with the N-domain (2c6n). Particular residues differing between tACE and the N-domain are shown in yellow and purple sticks, respectively. The active-site zinc ion is represented by a grey sphere, and two of the two zinc-chelating residues (H383 and H387) are shown in black lines. All distances are given in Å. Images were created using MacPyMOL 0.99 (DeLano Scientific, Palo Alto, CA, U.S.A).
4.3.5.2 Roles of \( S_1 \) residues

For keto-ACE, the V518T mutation \( (K_i = 1.3 \, \mu M) \) caused a marked decrease (26-fold) in affinity, resulting in a \( K_i \) equivalent to that of the N-domain \( (K_i = 1.5 \, \mu M; \text{table 4.3}) \). Notable decreases in affinity were also observed for \( kAW \) and \( kAF \) (table 4.3, figure 4.4), while a slight decrease (4-fold) and no change in affinity were displayed for RXPA380 (table 4.2, figure 4.3) and lis-W (table 4.4, figure 4.5), respectively. The S516N mutant displayed no change in affinity for \( kAW \) and the phosphinic inhibitor RXPA380, while a slight increase in affinity was observed with keto-ACE (tables 4.2 and 4.3, figures 4.4 and 4.5).

Substitution of Val with a Thr in the V518T mutant protein is unlikely to cause any steric hindrance within this pocket; however, the N-domain T496 would decrease the
hydrophobicity of this pocket, which could result in less favourable binding of keto-ACE and its derivatives (figures 4.6B, 4.6C and 4.8) (Watermeyer et al., 2008).

Figure 4.8. **Sphere representation of kAW (green) and N-domain T496 (yellow).** The N-domain-lisinopril structure (PDB code 2c6n) was aligned to the tACE-kAW co-crystal structure (3bkl). It is clear from this diagram that there may be unfavourable interactions within this pocket (S1) due to the increased hydrophilicity caused by the Val to Thr substitution (V518T). This could result in the inhibitor binding with a markedly lower affinity, as evidenced by the change in \( K_i \)'s (table 4.3).

### 4.3.5.3 Roles of S1' residues in lisinopril and lis-W binding

Interestingly, the S1' mutations E162D, D377Q and the double mutant ED/DQ (see table 2.1) had no effect on the minor C-selectivity of lisinopril (table 4.4, figure 4.5). The E162D construct also showed no difference for the highly C-selective lis-W, however the D377Q and ED/DQ mutations caused slight increases in \( K_i \)'s (2.7- and 4-fold, respectively; table 4.4, figure 4.5).

Although the resolution of the N-domain-lisinopril structure is limited, it does imply a slightly different backbone confirmation for the P1' lysyl side-chain compared to that within the tACE
complex (Natesh et al., 2003; Corradi et al., 2006). It has been suggested that the mild C-domain selectivity demonstrated by this inhibitor could be attributed to the electrostatic interaction between the lysyl amine and D162, which is assumed to be lost in the N-domain where the distance between these two groups is 6.5 Å (Corradi et al., 2006). However, no shift in affinity was observed with the E162D mutant (table 4.4, figure 4.9). This observation could be explained in two ways. Firstly, scaffolding residues surrounding E162 in tACE (and Asp in the E162D mutant) may hold this residue in a conformation or position that facilitates ideal electrostatic interaction with the inhibitor, while residues supporting D140 in the N-domain do not enable such interactions. Secondly, this N-domain Asp residue (in E162D) is still located on the tACE backbone which does display small differences in conformation in this region when aligned to the N-domain backbone. Therefore the proximity of this Asp in tACE E162D to the lysyl amine in this protein may still be close enough for the occurrence of favourable electrostatic interactions. Hence, E162 may contribute towards lisinopril’s C-selectivity, however crystallographic data of E162D would provide conclusive evidence in this regard. A similar result was obtained for lis-W, however the selectivity of this inhibitor is probably more reliant on the P$_2'$ Trp (discussed below).

![Figure 4.9](image)  

**Figure 4.9.** Stick representation of lisinopril (green, PDB code 1o86) and lis-W (orange) (Watermeyer, 2008) within the active-site of tACE, aligned with the N-domain (2c6n). Residues differing between tACE and the N-domain are shown in yellow and purple sticks, respectively. The active-site zinc ion is represented by a grey sphere, and two of the three zinc-chelating residues (H383 and H387) are shown in black lines. Distances between the inhibitor S$_1$’ amide and V380T are given in Å.
D377 in tACE has been shown to establish favourable water-mediated interactions with lisinopril’s P1’ lysine (figure 4.9) (Natesh et al., 2003; Corradi et al., 2006). The presence of these water molecules in the N-domain-lisinopril structure was not apparent owing to the lower resolution of the structure obtained (Corradi et al., 2006), therefore it is not clear whether this residue plays a role in the slight C-selectivity of lisinopril, but because of the lack of any shift in $K_i$ for this mutant (D377Q; table 4.4) it is likely that it plays a negligible role. The minor shift observed for this mutant with lis-W from that of tACE (table 4.4), may be due to the effect of the P2’ Trp. The presence of this hydrophobic moiety may occlude water molecules needed for this interaction, thereby decreasing the binding affinity to this mutant (figure 4.9).

### 4.3.5.4 Roles of S2’ residues in binding of inhibitors containing a P2’ Trp or Phe

For most of the single S2’ mutants (and double mutant VV/ST), only slight decreases in affinity were observed, if any. The highest shifts in affinity for RXPA380 and the keto-ACE derivative inhibitors within this pocket were displayed by V380T and E376D (tables 4.2 and 4.3, figures 4.3 and 4.4). Lis-W also displayed a decrease in $K_i$ for the E376D mutant, however a slight increase in affinity was observed for V380T with this inhibitor, a result similar to that obtained for its parent molecule, lisinopril (table 4.4, figure 4.5). The V379S mutation caused an unexpected decrease in $K_i$ from that of the C-domain for all of the C-selective inhibitors containing a P2’ Trp: RXPA380 (table 4.2, figure 4.3), kAW (table 4.3, figure 4.4) and lis-W (table 4.4, figure 4.5). Moreover, an N-domain mutant S357V (kindly provided by R. G. Douglas), which is a soluble N-domain construct containing the corresponding tACE V379 residue in position 357, displayed a $K_i$ of 320 μM for RXPA380 (result not shown), demonstrating a decrease in affinity for this inhibitor compared to that of the N-domain. These data confirm the unexpected observation seen with the tACE V379S mutant, that a Ser in this position favours the binding of a Trp moiety.

The decrease in affinity demonstrated by V380T with RXPA380 is probably due to the decreased hydrophobicity resulting from the Val to Thr conversion (Figure 4.6). Similar changes in affinity were observed for kAW and kAF. The relatively minor shifts displayed by mutations within this pocket are probably due to the excess space available between the inhibitor and the S2’ residues. For example, in the tACE-RXPA380 structure (Corradi et al.,
2007), E376 and D453 are 5.9 and 5.5 Å from the RXPA380 Trp, respectively (figure 4.6A). It can therefore be suggested that the design of compounds with more extensive non-polar or negatively charged P_{2}' substituents could potentially lead to considerably increased domain-specific inhibition due to favourable hydrophobic or electrostatic interactions with the tACE S_{2}' pocket. Furthermore, it is important to note that the architecture of the S_{1}' and S_{2}' pockets together essentially form a continuous chamber within the active-site. This therefore allows for even more latitude for positioning of P_{1}' and P_{2}' moieties.

For lisinopril and lis-W, V380T caused 4- and 6-fold increases in affinity, respectively (table 4.4). A closer look at the alignment of the crystal structures of these two inhibitors in complex with tACE, with the crystal structure of the N-domain (Natesh et al., 2003; Corradi et al., 2006; Watermeyer, 2008) revealed that the N-domain Thr was 4.7 and 4.5 Å from the inhibitors’ P_{1}' lysyl amine groups, for lisinopril and lis-W respectively (figure 4.9). Being within 5 Å, these side-chains would therefore be able to form favourable water-mediated interactions, which could account for this increase in affinity.

Interestingly, the V379S mutation caused an increase in affinity for all of the inhibitors containing a Trp in the P_{2}' position - a result that was contrary to that expected with a P_{2}' decrease in hydrophobicity. Although this result is not readily explained by the crystal structures (Corradi et al., 2006; Corradi et al., 2007; Natesh et al., 2003; Watermeyer et al., 2008), it may be a consequence of a water-mediated hydrogen bond interaction between the Ser and indole N of the P_{2}' Trp, facilitated by rotation about the RXPA380 \chi_1 and \chi_2 bonds of the P_{2}' substituent (figure 4.10). Support for this theory is provided by the fact that no change in affinity is observed for the V379S mutant with the C-domain-selective inhibitor kAF, containing a Phe in this position and therefore lacking a hydrogen-bond acceptor. This idea is further emphasised by the decrease in affinity displayed by the N-domain S357V mutant for RXPA380 (K_i = 320 \mu M). This N-domain construct lacks a potential hydrogen-bond donor group in this position as a result of the tACE Val substitution.

To further understand the results obtained with the single S_{2}' mutants (see table 2.1), two multiple S_{2}' mutant proteins were generated: one containing an N-domain S_{2}' pocket (TEVVD), where all the unique C-domain residues were substituted by their corresponding
N-domain counterparts, and a similar protein lacking the V379S mutation (TEVD). For RXPA380, the TEVD mutant demonstrated a 32-fold decrease in affinity from tACE, compared to the 16-fold decrease displayed by the full N-domain S2' pocket construct, TEVVD (table 4.2, figures 4.4 and 4.6). Hence, the introduction of a Ser in the V379 position to the TEVD construct (TEVVD) caused a smaller change in affinity from tACE than that of TEVD, which is not surprising given the result of the single V379S mutation. Therefore, the presence of a Val in position 379 of tACE (or 357 in the N-domain) seems to decrease the affinity for RXPA380, while the presence of a Ser in this position causes the opposite effect.

Figure 4.10. **Stick representation of RXPA380 within the active-site of tACE (green cartoon).** The zinc ion is represented as a purple sphere, and residues V379 and E376 are indicated. In the V379S mutant, rearrangement of protein and inhibitor side-chains within the S2’ pocket (indicated by the red and blue arrows) could allow for the formation of water-mediated interactions to occur between the indole N of the inhibitor Trp and the Ser residue. This effect could result in an increased affinity for RXPA380 by this mutant compared to tACE. This image was created in Discovery Studio version 1.6 (Accelrys, Cambridge, UK).

This effect was not displayed by lis-W. A marked increase in $K_i$ was observed for both the TEVD and TEVVD constructs, the more considerable shift being demonstrated by the full S2’ mutant, TEVVD (16-fold from tACE versus 11-fold for that of TEVD) (table 4.4, figure 4.5). Therefore, it is interesting that the V379S mutation alone causes an increase in affinity for lis-
W, as observed with RXPA380, while combined with the N-domain-like S₂’ pocket it facilitates a decrease in binding. This could perhaps be explained by a combined effect of the complete N-domain-like S₂’ pocket and the different positioning of the P₃’ Trp of lis-W compared to that of RXPA380, evident from the two crystal structures, not allowing the rearrangement of residues to facilitate the formation of a water-mediated hydrogen bond interaction (discussed above).

4.3.5.5 Effect of a combined N-domain S₂’ pocket and F391Y mutation on RXPA380 binding

The tACE protein S₂’F, containing N-domain S₂’ pocket residues (as for mutant TEVVD) as well as the S₂ F391Y mutation (see table 2.1), was used to investigate the combined contribution of these two sub-sites to domain selectivity. S₂’F demonstrated an approximately 80-fold decrease in affinity for RXPA380 over tACE, the largest shift observed among all the mutants (table 4.2, figure 4.6A).

The N-domain $K_i$ for RXPA380 was however approximately 10 times higher than that of the S₂’F construct. This suggests that residues within the other pockets of the active-site might also contribute to the overall selectivity of this inhibitor, for example V518. Furthermore, there may be additional indirect factors that play a role in the marked specificity of RXPA380, such as scaffolding residues affecting the conformation or positioning of conserved residues important for selective binding of inhibitors.

Interestingly, this phenomenon has been demonstrated with cyclic nucleotide phosphodiesterases (PDEs) 4 and 7 (Wang et al., 2005). PDEs contain conserved catalytic domains involved in the hydrolysis of cyclic adenosine monophosphate (cAMP) and cyclic guanosine monophosphate (cGMP) (Antoni, 2000; Carvajal et al., 2000), and although PDE4 and 7 both show a preference for the hydrolysis of cAMP, PDE4-selective inhibitors are not able to block PDE7 hydrolysis (Gardner et al., 2000; Hetman et al., 2000). To elucidate this selectivity, Wang et al. mutated key residues within PDE7 and analysed their effect on binding of rolipram, a PDE4-specific inhibitor (Wang et al., 2005). They found that only the combined effect of multiple residues caused a shift in rolipram-selectivity of PDE7 to that of wild-type PDE4. Most interestingly however, this combination included substitution of a
scaffolding residue (Y329 in PDE4, S373 in PDE7) interacting with an amino acid conserved between these enzymes (N369/413 in PDE4/7). The PDE4-rolipram crystal structure revealed that Y329 stabilises the Q369 side-chain via a hydrogen bond interaction (figure 4.11) (Huai et al., 2003). Substitution of this Tyr with S373 in PDE7 results in the hydrogen bond interaction occurring with S377, displayed in the PDE7A1-IBMX structure (PDE7 in complex with a non-selective PDE inhibitor), thus changing the positioning of the Gln side-chain and probably abolishing the hydrogen bond interaction with rolipram (figure 4.12) (Wang et al., 2005). To investigate the potential for this phenomenon contributing to ACE domain selectivity, a detailed analysis of scaffolding residues supporting invariant residues known to be important for inhibitor binding would be required. This would need to be followed by mutational and kinetic studies of constructs containing a combination of active-site substitutions, as well as scaffolding residues identified to potentially play a role in inhibitor selectivity.

Figure 4.11. Stick representation of rolipril (yellow) within the active-site of PDE4 (PDB code 1oyn) aligned with PDE7A1 (1zkl) (taken from (Wang et al., 2005)). PDE4 residues binding rolipril are shown in cyan (blue labels) and corresponding PDE7 residues are represented in green (red labels). Hydrogen bond interactions are indicated by dotted lines.
4.3.6 Roles of tACE active-site residues in binding of the N-domain-selective inhibitor RXP407

RXP407 displays a 538-fold selectivity for the N-domain (figure 4.12). To explore the molecular basis for this specificity, selected single and multiple tACE mutants were tested to analyse the effect of mutations on the affinity of RXP407. The single mutations had little effect on their affinity for this inhibitor compared to wild-type tACE, with the biggest increase (8.5-fold) displayed by V379S (table 4.2, figure 4.12). The V379S conversion would increase the hydrophilicity of the $S_2'$ pocket. This could lead to more favourable binding to the carboxyamide moiety on RXP407’s $P_2'$ Ala, believed to play a critical role in RXP407’s N-selectivity.

The multiple mutants however, displayed more marked shifts in $K_i$ from that of tACE. The TEVVD construct demonstrated a slight decrease in $K_i$ of approximately 4-fold from that of the C-domain, still 146-fold higher than that of the N-domain (figure 4.12). Interestingly however, this mutant protein combined with the F391Y mutation (which alone only showed a 2-fold shift from tACE), presented with a 175-fold increase in affinity compared to tACE, resulting in a $K_i$ value only 3-fold higher than that of the N-domain (figure 4.12). This marked change in $K_i$ observed for the multiple $S_2'$F mutant confirms the overall importance of the active-site residues in RXP407 selectivity, and emphasises the co-operative effects of combined active-site residues. Furthermore, factors affecting selectivity may not be limited to the active-site residues interacting directly with the inhibitor (discussed in section 4.3.5.5).
Figure 4.12. Log scale comparison of the relative binding affinities of tACE active-site mutants for the N-selective RXP407 with that of wild-type N-domain. Values below the dashed line represent an increase in affinity relative to that of tACE, towards a more N-domain-like $K_i$.

### 4.4. Summary

The two single mutations that caused the biggest increase in tACE $K_i$ for RXPA380 and the keto-ACE inhibitors were F391Y and V518T, respectively. Most of the point mutations within the S$_2'$ pocket caused a slight decrease in affinity for these inhibitors, however V379S caused an unexpected increase in affinity relative to wild-type tACE. The combined effect of multiple substitutions within the S$_2'$ and S$_2$ pockets demonstrated the most notable shift in $K_i$ for all of the inhibitors tested (RXPA380, RXP407 and lis-W). Furthermore, the combined effect of the S$_2'$ pocket alone (TEVVD) displayed an additive effect on the change in affinity, while interestingly, inclusion of the F391Y mutation in this construct resulted in a more cooperative effect on the binding of both the phosphinic inhibitors, where the change in $K_i$ is greater than the sum of the individual changes for the single mutants concerned.
This confirms the overall importance of these residues in the C- and N-specificity of ACE inhibitors, and highlights the fact that domain selectivity is the result of a combination of residues and possibly other factors too, and therefore cannot be attributed to a single amino acid. However, we have shown that residues within the S₂ (F391), S₁ (V518) and S₂’ (V379, E367) play a major role in the C-selectivity of ACE.
Conclusions

This study describes an investigation into understanding the molecular determinants of ACE domain selectivity involving a combination of mutational and kinetic approaches. A series of tACE mutants was generated containing specific single and multiple C-domain active-site replacements. These constructs were subsequently used to characterise domain-specific interactions using two fluorogenic peptides, Abz-LFK(Dnp)-OH (C-specific) and Abz-SDK(Dnp)P-OH (N-specific), and a panel of domain-selective inhibitors.

The domain specificity of both Abz-LFK(Dnp)-OH and Abz-SDK(Dnp)P-OH has been attributed to the high catalytic efficiency with which the C-domain and N-domain of ACE cleave these peptides, respectively (Araujo et al., 2000; Bersanetti et al., 2004). This was demonstrated here with the C-selective substrate, but the \( k_{cat} \) for the N-domain with Abz-SDK(Dnp)P-OH was only 4-fold higher than that of its C-domain counterpart. The low catalytic rate observed in this study is possibly due to the use of the soluble single N-domain, as opposed to the full-length protein with an inactivated C-domain (Araujo et al., 2000). This result suggests that the marked N-specificity observed by Araujo et al. for Abz-SDK(Dnp)P-OH could be due to interactions between the two ACE domains of the full-length protein, which would be absent in single soluble domains.

Modest decreases (3-fold) in the catalytic efficiency of Abz-LFK(Dnp)-OH cleavage were displayed by the two substitutions D377Q and V380T. As these substitutions do not directly affect any of the residues stabilising the transition state (being more than 10 Å away), it is likely that they might introduce unfavourable interactions with the substrate P1’ Phe, which could change the orientation of Abz-LFK(Dnp)-OH within the active-site, resulting in unfavourable interaction with these stabilising residues, thereby reducing the efficiency with which the substrate is cleaved.

None of the mutants tested resulted in acquisition of N-domain-like catalytic efficiencies for either Abz-LFK(Dnp)-OH or Abz-SDK(Dnp)P-OH. This suggests that other residues within the active-site, and perhaps indirect factors such as substrate access and interaction with residues elsewhere in the enzyme, such as the lid region, might contribute to domain
specificity. Unfortunately, due to limited substrate availability, the multiple mutants were not tested with the domain-selective fluorogenic substrates. This phenomenon has, however, been observed with a C-domain construct containing an N-domain-like lid, which displayed a marked shift in $k_{cat}$ for Abz-LFK(Dnp)-OH toward that of the N-domain.

The panel of inhibitors used to characterise the tACE active-site mutants consisted of the highly potent, C- and N-selective phosphinic peptide inhibitors RXPA380 and RXP407 (Dive et al., 1999; Georgiadis et al., 2003); the modestly C-selective keto-ACE and its C-selective derivatives kAW and kAF (Weare et al., 1981; Redelinghuys et al., 2006); and the slightly C-selective lisinopril along with its analogue lis-W, containing a P$_2$' Trp moiety for improved C-specificity (Nchinda et al., 2006a).

Interestingly, the S$_2$ F391 residue proved to be critical for the high C-specificity of RXPA380, with the F391Y mutant displaying a 34-fold decrease in affinity for this inhibitor compared to wild-type tACE. This effect is probably due to the loss of an important aromatic interaction between F391 and the P$_2$ Phe, as well as some potential steric hindrance resulting from the introduction of a Tyr at this position.

In the S$_1$ pocket, V518 seems to play a crucial role in the 30-fold specificity of keto-ACE, as evidenced by the acquisition of an N-domain-like $K_i$ for this mutant. Both kAW and kAF also demonstrated marked decreases in affinity for this mutant. This substitution causes a reduction in the hydrophobicity of the S$_1$ pocket, which could lead to less favourable interactions and therefore decreased binding of these inhibitors.

E162 is an S$_1$' residue believed to play an important role in the C-selectivity of lisinopril and lis-W due to its favourable electrostatic interaction with the P$_1$' Lys, which seems to be lacking in the N-domain structure. Surprisingly, substitution of E162 with the N-domain Asp, did not cause a change in affinity for either of these inhibitors. This could be due to the scaffolding and/or backbone residues of the C-domain, which may orient the Asp in E162D to maintain favourable interactions with the P$_1$' side-chain, while the N-domain residues may not cause the same effect.
For most of the single S₂' mutants, only slight decreases in affinity were observed for the inhibitors tested, the most notable of these displayed by V380T and E376D for RXPA380, kAW and kAF. Lis-W also showed a decrease in affinity for the latter mutant, however an increase was observed for V380T. These modest decreases are probably due to the space available within this large pocket, and the increase in affinity displayed by V380T for lis-W is probably due to an interaction with the P₁' moiety rather than interactions within the S₂' pocket.

Intriguingly, V379S, which abrogates hydrophobic interactions with the P₂' group, caused an unexpected decrease in $K_i$ for all of the inhibitors containing a P₂' Trp substituent. This result was supported by a corresponding N-domain mutant (S357V), which displayed an increase in affinity for RXPA380 compared to that of the wild-type N-domain. Furthermore, the full tACE S₂’ mutant (TEVVD) displayed less of a decrease in affinity for RXPA380 compared to the similar mutant lacking this V379S substitution (TEVD). Therefore the presence of Val in this position (379 in tACE, 357 in N-domain) seems to decrease the affinity of RXPA380, while exchange of this residue for Ser has the opposite effect. This result could be explained by rotation about the $\chi_1$ and $\chi_2$ bonds of the inhibitor P₂’ Trp, enabling the formation of a water-mediated hydrogen bond interaction between the inhibitor-Trp indole N and the hydroxyl of the Ser residue.

Of the single mutant constructs tested with the N-specific RXP407, V379S displayed the highest increase in affinity (8.5-fold). This effect is probably due to the increase in hydrophilicity brought about by the presence of the Ser substituent, resulting in more favourable interactions with the amidated C-terminus of the inhibitor.

The multiple S₂’ mutant constructs, TEVD and TEVVD, displayed an additive effect on the change in affinity for the inhibitors tested: RXPA380, RXP407 and lis-W. Interestingly, when the TEVVD construct was combined with the S₂ F391Y substitution (S₂'F), the resulting construct demonstrated a marked decrease and increase in affinity for RXPA380 and RXP407, respectively, indicating a strong co-operative effect on domain specificity. The inhibition constants for the N-domain were, however, 10- and 3-fold higher and lower for RXPA380 and RXP407, respectively, suggesting that there may be additional effects from other active-site
residues (such as V518). Moreover, indirect factors such as scaffolding residues supporting invariant residues critical to inhibitor binding could play a role in conferring domain specificity.

We have identified a number of elements within the active-site of tACE that clearly play a critical role in the C-domain-specificity of this enzyme, namely F391 (S₂), V518 (S₁), E376 (S₂’) and V380 (S₂’). We have also shown that multiple residues in the S₂’ pocket have an additive effect on affinity for domain-specific inhibitors, while these residues combined with residues in other pockets, such as F391, display a strong co-operative effect on domain-specificity.

Based on this work, a number of suggestions can be made for the development of improved domain-specific ACE inhibitors. Firstly, the space available in the S₂’ pocket could be more extensively exploited using moieties that are larger and more rigid than Trp. This could allow stronger and more favourable interactions with unique C-domain S₂’ residues, resulting in a higher affinity for this domain. Furthermore, it is important to note that the continuous chamber formed by the S₁’ and S₂’ sub-site pockets allows even more latitude for the architecture of P₁’ and P₂’ side-chains. Finally, hydrophobic contacts between the inhibitor P₂ and P₁ substituents and C-domain F391 and V518, respectively, seem to markedly contribute towards the C-domain specificity of the inhibitors tested in this study, particularly in combination with interactions in the C-domain S₂’ pocket.

Further elucidation of the molecular basis for the complex domain selectivity of ACE could involve the generation of more multiple mutants incorporating active-site residue substitutions, such as V518T (S₁ pocket) into the S₂’F, in tACE as well as sACE, and analysing the combined effect of these constructs on specificity. Moreover, these multiple mutants could be combined with substitutions of variant scaffolding residues stabilising conserved side-chains important for the binding of C-domain-specific inhibitors. Another approach one could employ would be isothermal titration calorimetry, to directly assess the changes in binding affinities and thermodynamic parameters of inhibitors with these mutant proteins.
Conclusions

This work provides critical and important insight into the molecular determinants of ACE domain specificity. It therefore paves the way for rational design of more efficient domain-specific inhibitors, which could ultimately lead to the development of more efficient therapies for the treatment of cardiovascular disease.
Appendix I

All methods in this appendix were adapted from those in Ausubel et al. (1992), unless otherwise stated.

**Cloning and Mutagenesis**

**Restriction enzyme digests**

Digest reaction mixtures (2 µL 10 x restriction buffer, 1-3 µL 1 µg.µL⁻¹ plasmid DNA and 5 units of each restriction enzyme, volumes made up to 20 µL with sterile dH₂O) were incubated at 37°C for 1 hour. Mini-preparation DNA (20 µL) screening digests were stopped with 2.5 µL restriction enzyme STOP buffer (8 parts 6 x loading buffer (0.25 % bromophenol blue, 0.25 % xylene cyanol, 30 % glycerol) and 1 part 0.5 M EDTA, pH 8.0).

**Agarose gel electrophoresis**

Samples containing 2 µL 6 x loading buffer and 20 µL digest reaction mixtures, or uncut DNA (1-2 µL of 1 µg.µL⁻¹), as well as 8 µL lambda DNA digested with EcoRI + HindIII (40 µL 553 µg.mL⁻¹ lambda DNA, 16 µL 10 x restriction buffer, 50 units EcoRI, 50 units HindIII, volumes made up to 160 µL with sterile dH₂O), were loaded onto a 6 x 10 cm agarose gel (0.8 % agarose in 1 x Tris-borate/EDTA (TBE) buffer (0.045 M Tris-borate, 0.001 M EDTA) with 0.3 µg.mL⁻¹ ethidium bromide). Electrophoresis was performed at 70 V for approximately 1 hour in 1 x TBE buffer with 0.3 µg.mL⁻¹ ethidium bromide. DNA bands were visualised using a UV light source, and photographed directly.

**Preparation of E. coli JM109 competent cells using RbCl**

This method was adapted from that reported in Hanahan (1983). Five mL of Luria-Bertani (LB) broth (10 g.L⁻¹ tryptone, 5 g.L⁻¹, 172 mM NaCl) was inoculated with a colony of JM109 cells and shaken at 37°C overnight. This starter culture was inoculated into 50 mL LB broth and shaken at 37°C until the cell growth had reached logarithmic phase (OD₅₃₀=0.35). The culture was then chilled on ice for 15 minutes, and centrifuged at 4000 x g for 5 min at 4°C. The pellet was resuspended in 21 mL ice-cold TF buffer 1 (100 mM RbCl, 50 mM MnCl₂, 30 mM KOAc, 10 mM CaCl₂, 15% glycerol) and incubated on ice for 90 min. Cells were
harvested by centrifugation at 4000 \times 10^3 \text{ g} \text{ for } 5 \text{ min at } 4\text{°C}, \text{ and the pellet was resuspended in } 3 \text{ mL TF buffer 2 (10 mM Morpholinepropanesulphonic acid pH 7.0, 10 mM RbCl, 75 mM CaCl}_2, 15\% \text{ glycerol). 200 } \mu \text{L aliquots were frozen in liquid N and stored at } –80\text{°C.}

**Coding sequence of 3’ end of pcDNA-tACEA36NJ with new stop codon**

\[
\begin{align*}
1801 & \quad \text{CTCCGCACGGAGAACGAGCTGCATGGGGAGAAGCTGGGCTGGCCGCAGTATAACTGGACG} \\
601 & \quad \text{L  R  T  E  N  E  L  H  G  E  K  L  G  W  P  Q  Y  N  W  T} \\
1861 & \quad \text{CCGAATTCCCTAG} \\
621 & \quad \text{P  N  S  *}
\end{align*}
\]

**Original primers used for T282S and V380T mutations**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Mutant</th>
<th>Primer</th>
<th>RE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>T282S</td>
<td>For 5’-CTACTGGGAACATGGGCGCAGAGTTGTTCAACATCTATGAC-3’</td>
<td>BmrI</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Rev 5’-GTCATAGATGTTGGACCAGCTGCGCCCACATGTCTCCAGTAG-3’</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V380T</td>
<td>For 5’-GTGAACCTGAGGACCTGAGCCGCCCAC-3’</td>
<td>HpaI</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Rev 5’-GTGGGGCGTCCACGAGGTTCCTCCAGTTAAC-3’</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure A1. **Original primers used for T282S and V380T mutations.** Primers produced no positive clones. Complementary mutagenic primers (For, forward; Rev, reverse) were designed containing the mutation of interest, as well as a silent mutation introducing a unique restriction endonuclease site (RE) to facilitate screening. Residues in bold indicate the codon to be mutated, unique restrictions sites are underlined.
Appendix II

Substrate kinetics

Preparation of synthetic substrates

5.7 mM HHL working solution
19.4 mg HHL was added to 1.67 mL 0.28 M NaOH and heated to dissolve the solid. 832 µL 0.5 M HEPES (pH 7.5), 2.498 mL 1 M NaCl and 2.998 mL dH2O was added to this solution.

1 mM Z-FHL working solution
A 20 mM stock solution of Z-FHL was made up by dissolving 220 mg Z-FHL in 2 mL 0.28 M NaOH, and making up to 20 mL with dH2O. This solution was stored in 1 mL aliquots at -20°C.

Working solutions of Z-FHL were made up by first adding 15 mL dH2O to 4 mL 5 x phosphate buffer (0.5 M KPO4 buffer, pH 8.3, 1.5 M NaCl), then adding 20 µL 10 mM ZnSO4 to this buffer, followed by 1 mL 20 mM Z-FHL.

Standard curve for fluorogenic peptide
The change in fluorescence from baseline levels (before addition of ACE) to total hydrolysis of a range of substrate concentrations (0-2.5 nmoles) was measured at λex = 320 nm and λem = 420 nm. A standard curve was generated by plotting this change in fluorescence versus nmoles of substrate hydrolysed. The slope of the linear regression curve fitted to this plot was determined using GraphPad Prism 4.01.
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