Locally manufactured wheelchairs in Tanzania – are users satisfied?
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Abstract

Background: The government of Tanzania created opportunity for the production of wheelchairs that would be appropriate to the local needs and environment.

Objectives: The study assessed the extent to which the wheelchairs met the activity and participation needs of the users, as well as the users’ level of satisfaction with the provision, repair and maintenance of these wheelchairs.

Methods: A descriptive cross-sectional analytical design was utilized to collect data through the administration of a questionnaire among 75 adult wheelchair users.

Results: Participants had used wheelchairs for an average period of 9.3 years. Most participants (61%) had sustained spinal cord injuries, and used three-wheeler chairs (76%). More than 90% reported that their wheelchairs positively influenced their activity and participation needs, and 85% were satisfied with their ability to carry out daily activities. Participants expressed satisfaction with the durability of the wheelchairs (89%), and the professional services received (71%), but not with follow-up services (77%). There was difference in satisfaction with features of 3-wheeler and 4-wheeler rigid chairs (p=0.030).

Conclusion: The wheelchairs positively impacted participants’ activity and participation needs. Participants were satisfied with the features of the wheelchairs but not with follow-up services. The concerns of dissatisfied users should be addressed.
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Introduction

The primary objective of this preliminary study was to determine the extent of satisfaction among users of wheelchairs that were locally manufactured in Tanzania, a low income country with an estimated population of over 49 million people in 2013. About 8% of the population experiences some type of activity limitations, though it is generally agreed that the information is unreliable and incomplete. A review of literature suggests that non-specific disabilities were the most frequently reported health conditions, with neurology, communicable diseases, and road traffic trauma as the most frequent of the specific conditions examined. The country has however shown its national and international commitments to the rights of people with disabilities through the adoption of the national Policy on disability which focuses on improving the life situation and service delivery to people with disabilities, and the signing and ratification of the United Nations Convention on the rights of persons with disabilities. Aldersey and Turn-
bull1 expressed the need for the government to be socially accountable in turning the aspirations in these commitments into concrete actions. One of the recommended tools to monitor social accountability systems is through service delivery reports.

With increasing numbers of persons with physical disabilities as a result of different contributory factors, the government of Tanzania acknowledged the non-suitability of donated wheelchairs by creating opportunity for the fabrication and production of wheelchairs that would be appropriate to the local needs and environment. The training programme for technologists involved in manufacturing the wheelchairs was located at the Tanzania Training Centre for Orthopaedic Technologists (TATCOT), and the training aligns with the guidelines recommended by the World Health Organisation (WHO) and the International Society for Prosthetics and Orthotics (ISPO). The centre is recognised for providing the largest transregional training of its kind in Africa. Since 2003, three main types of wheelchairs have been developed and are commonly used. These are the “3-wheeler” with a detachable rear wheel, and reportedly easier to maneuver in all kinds of terrains; the foldable “4-wheeler”; and the non-foldable “4-wheeler”. However, wheelchair users in Tanzania still experience various challenges relating to mobility and community participation. Although libraries in some higher education institutions in the country provide services to people in wheelchairs, the services are not inclusive or universal, raising concerns about the appropriateness of the wheelchairs. One of the resolutions of the Bangalore Wheelchair Consensus Conference related to the appropriateness of a wheelchair - “A wheelchair is appropriate when it is safe, durable and maintainable, meets the individual’s needs and environmental conditions, provides proper fit and postural support based on sound biomechanical principles and can be accessed and sustained at the most economical and affordable price”. Like other developing countries with limited resources, there is little information about the level of satisfaction among users of various assistive devices. Therefore this preliminary study set out to determine the extent to which locally manufactured wheelchairs met the activity and participation needs of users, and the users’ satisfaction with the features and services associated with the provision, repair and maintenance of the wheelchairs.

Methods
A descriptive, quantitative cross-sectional analytical design was utilized for this study, in which a questionnaire was administered to users of wheelchairs that were locally manufactured in Tanzania.

Setting
Three regions of Tanzania were selected for this study due to the differences exhibited in rural and urban settings, and landscapes. These were Kilimanjaro, Arusha and Dar es Salaam. According to the register of the Kilimanjaro Association of Spinal Cord Injured (KASI), there were many people using locally manufactured wheelchairs in these regions, and they received services from local wheelchair workshops. The register reflected approximately 250 users of locally manufactured wheelchairs.

Study population and sampling
All users registered with KASI (approximately...
250) made up the sampling frame for this preliminary study. The inclusion criteria were participants aged between 18 and 65 years old, had been using the wheelchair for at least three months, and had been living in the area of abode for at least six months. Users with cognitive impairment were excluded as they were considered as unable to provide accurate responses. Cognitive impairment was assessed by asking participants questions about their background and checking the accuracy of their responses. Unfortunately, this was not followed with a clinical evaluation due to limited resources. Data collection took place in 2012 and 2013, at a time of reports of floods in Dar es Salaam and drought in Arusha. This led to the relocation of the residents of these areas, including people with disabilities using wheelchairs. It was therefore not possible to access all the KASI registered wheelchair users and invite them to take part in the study. With the stated constraints and being a preliminary study, a sample of convenience was recruited.

**Instruments**

One questionnaire, divided into four parts (A-D), was used for data gathering. The first part (A) was a self-developed questionnaire seeking demographic information, history of disability and wheelchair use, description of landscape in area of abode, and overall satisfaction with the wheelchair. The second part (B) was an outcome measure, namely the Functioning Everyday in a Wheelchair (FEW) instrument. The FEW rated the user's perspective of participation in ten environment and activity based situations. The responses to the statements used a six-point rating scale from "completely agree" to "completely disagree". Part C of the questionnaire was the Quebec User Evaluation of Satisfaction with Assistive Technology (QUEST 2.0) instrument consisting of 12 questions related to satisfaction with wheelchair features and satisfaction with service provision. The instrument used a five point rating scale, from "not satisfied at all" to "very satisfied". Part D was made up of six additional questions as proposed by Samuelsson and Wressle. The questions examined the influence of the wheelchair on activity and participation in working, leading an active leisure life, shopping, general mobility, and participating in sports. The responses were in a five point rating scale, from "not applicable" to "positively". The participants were then allowed to orally describe their personal experiences in using their wheelchairs. The questionnaire was translated into Swahili (the common language in Tanzania) through the forward and backward process.

**Data collection**

The study was approved by the Faculty of Health Sciences Human Research Ethics Committee of the University of Cape Town (HREC REF: 477/2012). Permission was also obtained from the Ethical Committee of the Kilimanjaro Christian Medical College of Tumaini University. A pilot study was first conducted with five wheelchair users to test participants’ abilities to comprehend the questions in the instruments administered. No changes were made to the instruments. After obtaining informed consent from the selected participants, the questionnaire was administered by trained research assistants in locations agreed to with each participant. For the FEW, participants were asked to respond to statements using a likert scale where “1” re-
resented “completely disagree”, to “6” represent-
ing “completely agree”. Similarly for the QUEST
2.0, participants were requested to respond to
statements using the likert scale where “1” re-
presented “not satisfied at all”, to “5” representing
“very satisfied”.

Data analysis
Data was analyzed with the Statistical Package
for the Social Sciences (SPSS®) software pro-
gram version 20.0 (SPSS Inc. 2006). Frequencies
and proportions were calculated for all categori-
cal responses in sections A (participant profile),
B (FEW), C (QUEST 2.0) and D (activity and
participation). Medians, inter-quartile ranges and
ranges were calculated for each item in Sections
B, C and D. The chi-square test was conducted
to determine if there were differences between
gender and place of residence (rural/urban), sat-
isfaction with functional needs, wheelchair fea-
tures, and activity and participation. As numerical
data was normally distributed, means and stan-
dard deviations were determined. In addition, the
oral narratives of the experiences of the partici-
pants, as captured by the research assistants, were
summarized.

Results
Seventy five users of the locally manufactured
wheelchairs participated in the study (Table 1).
The medical diagnoses of the participants were
spinal cord injuries (61%), cerebral palsy (8%),
traumatic injuries (7%), post poliomyelitis (5%),
lower limb amputations (3%), stroke (1%), spi-
na bifida (1%), and others including congenital
anomalies, muscular dystrophy, Tuberculosis of
the spine, and gibbus (13%). Prior to the use of
the wheelchairs, the modes of mobility for the
participants included crawling (n=11), being car-
rried (n=15), and using crutches (n=3). About a
quarter of the participants (n=19) started using
the wheelchairs when they were younger than
18 years old. The 3-wheeler chairs (n=57) were
used by most, with 33 of these users living in ru-
ral settings. This was followed by the 4-wheeler
fold-able (n=15), ten of whom lived in rural set-
tings. The 4-wheeler rigid chairs were used by 3
participants who all lived in urban settings. They
started using the chairs at a mean age of 25.1
years (SD=11.3 years), and had used them for a
duration of 9.3 years (SD=6.9 years). The aver-
age number of wheelchairs that had been used to
date was two (range 1.0-7.0).

Most participants agreed that their wheelchairs
met their functional needs (Table 2), except in
the case of transportation where an appreciable
number slightly agreed (n=31 mostly users of
4-wheeler rigid or 3-wheeler chairs). The majority
of participants were satisfied with all items relat-
ing to the features of their wheelchairs, except
with follow-up services (Table 3). There were no
significant differences between male and female
participants in wheelchair features (p=0.652) and
service delivery (p=0.767). Similarly, there were
no significant differences in the same parameters
between participants in urban (p=0.190) and ru-
ral areas (p=0.530) respectively. However, there
was a significant difference in the level of satis-
faction with wheelchair features between users of
3-wheeler and 4-wheeler rigid chairs (p=0.030).
For all aspects of activity and participation, ex-
cept in sports, the use of the wheelchair posi-
tively influenced more than 90% of participants
There were no significant differences between male and female participants (p=0.808), and between participants in urban and rural areas (p=0.560).

Oral comments of the participants indicated that they perceived the wheelchairs to have improved their quality of life as they considered the wheelchairs as part of their bodies. They indicated that using the wheelchairs gave them opportunity to carry out various activities, either as a housewife, an employee, or in participating in income generating activities. The 3-wheeler was considered to be easier to maneuver in all kinds of terrain than the 4-wheeler. However, users of the 3-wheeler in rural settings indicated that the user had to be physically strong to propel the wheelchair uphill or ride on rough roads. Users of the 4-wheeler reported that their wheelchairs were not very safe and stable when used outdoors, especially in rough or hilly terrains. Participants recommended that a foldable 3-wheeler should be developed as this would contribute to ease of transportation. Participants also recommended the design of a braking system in stopping or slowing down the wheelchair when in motion.

The participants reported some challenges in acquiring the locally manufactured wheelchairs. Firstly, the location of the wheelchair workshops was considered to be far from where most of the people with disabilities lived. Instances were reported when there was no opportunity to assess prospective wheelchair user before the wheelchair is supplied. Even when such opportunity existed, the delivery of the wheelchair could take more than two months. Secondly, the cost of the locally manufactured wheelchair was considered exorbitant. The cost of one of the wheelchairs ranged from two hundred to three hundred US dollars. Majority of the participants reported that they received their wheelchairs as donations from KASI and other similar organisations.

**Discussion**

Eggers et al defined the process of providing wheelchairs to clients as wheelchair service delivery, and it involved ensuring the appropriateness of selected wheelchair. Wheelchair appropriateness is the degree to which the wheelchair meets the user's functional and health needs. Users with inappropriate wheelchairs may experience adverse consequences to their physical functioning, safety, quality of life, and vocational and economic standing. Appreciating the commitment of the government to the rights of people with disabilities in provision of services, the primary objective of this preliminary study was to determine the extent of satisfaction among users of locally manufactured wheelchairs, as part of the process of monitoring social accountability.

Overall, the wheelchair users’ satisfaction was relatively high. As with other developing countries, participants were satisfied with wheelchair related aspects such as effectiveness of use, safety, and participation in activities, though a small proportion expressed satisfaction with service related aspects. This suggests that the locally manufactured wheelchairs were perceived to be appropriate in meeting the needs of the users. This may not necessarily indicate that the resolution at the Bangalore Wheelchair Consensus Conference has been achieved. For example, the outcome of this study has not given any indication that the locally manufactured wheelchairs provide “proper fit and postural support based on sound biomechanical principles”. Appreciating the pre wheelchair forms of mobility report-
ed by the participants, the locally manufactured wheelchair users identified issues relating to accessibility and affordability. The affordability of the wheelchair in a country where the average monthly income was about fifty US dollars also requires attention. While the National policy on disability acknowledges that people with disabilities require technical aids, including wheelchairs, to enhance their functional ability, no clear provision has been made to assist in the acquisition of the technical aids. Wheelchair workshops where faulty wheelchairs could be repaired, were often located very far away from where they were required. This possibly impacted the provision of services relating to the delivery of wheelchairs, the maintenance and repairs, as well as follow up services. With the commitment of the government to the rights of people with disabilities in provision of services, the concerns of unsatisfied users should be addressed. Follow up studies should focus on the quality of life of the users, and also identify if a correlation exists between the types of disabilities and the level of satisfaction of the wheelchair users.

**Limitations**
A major limitation in this study is in its research design, which was purely quantitative methodology. A mixed method research design would be more appropriate to evaluate the level of satisfaction of the wheelchair users. The number of wheelchair users participating in the study was limited by unexpected events in the country at the time (drought and floods) which had forced people to re-locate. This substantially affected the sample size as many potential participants could not be located. The sample size was therefore not sufficient to make generalizations about the population of locally-made wheelchair users in Tanzania. The findings should therefore be interpreted cautiously.
Conclusion
This study provides some preliminary evidence on the levels of satisfaction among a small sample of people with disabilities who made use of wheelchairs that were locally manufactured in Tanzania. The users seem to be most satisfied with the functioning of the 3-wheeler chairs. Further exploration is needed to provide a more comprehensive picture. However, the findings of this study indicated that while people with disabilities expressed general satisfaction with the use of locally made wheelchairs, there are areas of dissatisfaction that require attention.
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