Liver biopsy – the current view?
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Abstract
Liver biopsy needs careful consideration for both focal and diffuse disease, particularly in surgically resectable lesions and with core biopsies. Increasing perceptions of the risk of needle-track seeding are added to the chance of post-procedure haemorrhage. In high-risk patients, plugged biopsy or transjugular liver biopsy may reduce the chance of adverse outcomes.

Introduction
Medical teams dealing with liver disease have been performing biopsies on the human liver for over 120 years, in the hope that the benefits of the histological (and, more recently, cytological) information derived from tissue obtained, outweigh the risk of the procedure. The biopsy is performed either for focal lesions or diffuse disease, and may produce cells for cytology, or cores of tissue for histology.

Since the introduction of the fine-needle, its comparative safety, coupled most importantly with excellent cytohistologists, have greatly encouraged the use of fine needle aspiration biopsies (FNABs). These are performed largely for focal lesions. There is some disagreement at exactly what level a needle is considered ‘fine’; cutoffs range from an outer diameter of less than 1 mm (i.e. less than 19 gauge), to 22 gauge or less, using the conventional Stubs needle gauge (Fig. 1). The term ‘Chibs needle’, sometimes used interchangeably with fine-needle, is also confusing, being applied to needles as large as 18 gauge. At the same time improvements in the core biopsy needles and alternatives has added to the options.

Clotting screens and clinical history, including known allergy (as well as to local anaesthetic) are required. In general, an International Normalised Ratio (INR) of less than 1.5 and platelets over 50 000/microl are needed for core biopsy; if not, correction of deficiencies plus possibly use of a safer technique are indicated. In these and other high-risk patients with ascites, or portal hypertension, options include plugged liver biopsy or transjugular liver biopsy (TJLB).

Post-procedure observation periods are subject to local policy guidelines, and usually vary from an hour for an uncomplicated FNAB, to overnight with regular observations for potentially complicated core biopsies. Sedation may be required, in which case observation after biopsy needs to be extended, and driving should be temporarily prohibited.

The biopsy
The variety of liver biopsy technique options include image-guided or non-guided, FNABs, suction or cutting core, differing needle gauges, plugged, automated, semi-automated, disposable and transjugular biopsies. The percutaneous approach is usually epigastric or intercostal, but the jugular or femoral veins and biliary drainage tracks provide alternate routes.

Cores can be obtained either by the older suction-type, e.g. Menghini needles, or the more recent cutting-core automated or semi-automated needles. These may be single units, or part of gun-type system with disposable needles.

The pathologist’s requirements of the specimen depend on whether diffuse disease or focal lesions are biopsied. The ideal tissue core for diffuse disease should be 25 mm by 1.4 mm, with at least 12 complete portal tracts (CPTs). For focal lesions, far less tissue is required.

Image-guidance
Ultrasound is most useful for both diffuse and focal disease guidance, as it provides real-time feedback on the position of the needle tip, but CT, MRI, fluoroscopy and nuclear medicine have all been used.

Focal lesions must naturally be visible ultrasonically to allow guidance. Generally either free-hand or biopsy-guide attachments are used, largely based on personal preference. Some operators find that the needle biopsy-guide interferes with the needle as corrective angulation is undertaken; others find that the guide speeds up needle tip placement. Those using the freehand technique vary in their preference for side-entry or central beam needle placement (Fig. 2).

The needle tip may stand out like a beacon, or be very difficult to see, partly depending on patient factors. Commonly used manoeuvres to improve needle tip visibility include oscillating the needle tip in rapid, low-amplitude strokes, withdrawing the stilette to allow gas to enter the needle shaft, thereby enhancing ultrasound visibility, or angling, rotating or relocating the ultrasound probe. Roughened or milled needle shafts can also assist, as can special needles with built-in tip transducers. However, there is concern that the rough shafts may encourage tumour seeding.

The aspiration for FNAB is performed while oscillating and slightly redirecting the needle tip into the target. The core biopsy needles have individual specific instructions, making sure that the firing of the needle...
Fig. 4. Steps in performing plugged liver biopsy:
- a. Metal sheath and stilette advance into liver/lesion
- b. Inner stilette removed
- c. Cutting core biopsy taken
- d. Track plugged during stepwise withdrawal of metal sheath.

Plugged biopsy (Figs 3, 4)
The use of a pre-placed metal sheath through which a biopsy is coaxially performed is particularly important. Commercial sets are available (Fig. 3). Plastic sheaths tend to be less controllable and more easily displaced by deep breaths or kinking.

TJLB (Fig. 5)
Two subtypes of TJLB needle are available; the older modified Ross transapical needle, relying on a suction technique, or the more modern, but more expensive, semi-automated cutting-type needle. The specimens from the latter are preferred by pathologists, although the length may not be ideal.

In either case, right (or occasionally left) internal jugular vein access in the head-down position (to promote venous distension) allows placement of a 9 F Teflon transapical catheter into the hepatic vein, usually right or middle. Passing through the right atrium is facilitated by use of a straight guidewire and a headhunter catheter, as is entry into the right hepatic vein. Thereafter either biopsy system can be used. The angle of entry into the right hepatic vein may prevent passage of the needle through the TJLB catheter, but use of gentle turning, deep breathing, advancement or traction, guidewire placement and needle bending usually permit passage.

The biopsy is usually taken in the central hepatic vein, approximately 5 cm from the IVC; either by a short sharp jab with the suction type needle, or by firing the cutting-needle mechanism. With the suc-
Liver biopsy effectiveness

Accepting that FNAB results are highly dependent on the cytology service, excellent results are reported, especially for malignancy. Assuming the target has been sampled, the accuracy for malignancy is close to 100%. Core biopsies may perform somewhat better for benign lesions. Following a negative FNAB by a core biopsy should boost overall accuracy beyond 78%. 

Specimen adequacy can hamper the histology of diffuse disease, particularly with suction TRLB which often gives rise to fragmented specimens in cirrhosis. A comparison between plugged and TRLBs suggests better biopsy specimens with plugged biopsies, but possibly more bleeding.

Complications

The better understood complication is haemorrhage, with overall resultant mortality ranging between 1 in 1000 and 1 in 10 000. The risk also increases in patients such as children, and those with coagulopathy, ascites, and HIV (largely related to low platelet counts). The average mortality for core biopsy is approximately 1 in 500; mortality from FNABs for all organs is of the order of 1 in 3000 or less. TRLB and plugged biopsies may carry higher risks of bleeding, but their main role is in high-risk patients.

The major debate currently is the risk of needle-track seeding. Moving from a fairly relaxed view in the early nineties, recent articles are increasingly raising concerns not only for FNABs and core biopsies, but also radiofrequency ablation, percutaneous ethanol injection and drainage catheters as well. Seedling risk applies to both hepatocellular carcinoma and colonic metastases. Figures ranging from 0.6% to as high as 5.1% have been quoted, compared with 0.006% a decade before. A recent study reported a survival disadvantage in those patients with preoperative biopsy of suspected colorectal liver metastases; the biopsied group had a 32.5% 4-year survival compared to 46.7% in the unbiopsied group. This was, however, not a prospectively designed trial to address this specific issue, and comprised a heterogeneous group of patients. There is an argument that for focal lesions, the diagnosis is seldom altered by the biopsy. However, there may be circumstances where biopsy is vital to optimise therapy. A key component to the planning is to clear the desirability of the biopsy with the person who will be managing the patient, with this risk in mind.

Concern has been expressed that the roughened or milled surfaces of needles (with the intent of enhancing echogenicity) can drag tumour cells out along the biopsy track. Measures aimed at reducing needle track seeding include delaying needle removal, aspiration on withdrawal and radiofrequency ablation of the needle track, or using a coaxial system. A further critical aspect is to avoid direct puncture of a focal lesion; a cuff of normal liver must be interposed to reduce seeding risk. Anecdotical reports of "toothpaste-like" extrusions of tumour at surgery where the tumour has been directly punctured further raise concerns for peritoneal spread.

Other major morbidity includes pain; a particularly painful biopsy should raise suspicion of a subcapsular haematoma.

Conclusion

If the information likely to be obtained from liver biopsy is balanced against the possible complications, the careful use of a variety of techniques can be helpful in further management of patients with liver problems, with a low risk of serious compromise. After confirmation with the relevant clinician, starting with a FNAB for focal lesions, then core biopsy for later is advised. Tumour seeding definitely occurs, but may be overestimated.