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ABSTRACT

Background: The need to exclude occult diaphragmatic injury in left thoracoabdominal (TA) stab wounds continues to be controversial. Current trauma guidelines recommend either routine diagnostic thoracoscopy or laparoscopy and repair of an injury if found.

Objective: To determine if asymptomatic patients with left sided (TA) stab wounds can be safely treated with careful clinical and chest X-ray follow up to ascertain healing of occult injuries.

Methods: A parallel-group, prospective, randomized control study was conducted at the Trauma Centre at the Groote Schuur Hospital from 01 September 2009 through to 01 November 2014. All patients with asymptomatic left TA stab wounds included in the trial were randomized into two groups. Group A underwent diagnostic laparoscopy to exclude a diaphragm injury, and Group B underwent clinical and radiological follow up.

Results: Twenty seven patients were randomized to Group A (n=27) and thirty one to Group B (n=31). All patients were young males with a median age of 26 years (range from 18 to 48). The incidence of occult diaphragm injury in group A was 29%. Occult injuries found at laparoscopy were all repaired. All patients in group 2 had normal chest X-rays and no symptoms at their last visit. The mean follow up time was 24 months (range of 0 to 58). There was no morbidity nor mortality associated with the conservative management of occult diaphragmatic injuries. The mean hospital stay for the patients in Group A was 5 days (SD 1.3), compared to a mean hospital stay of 2.9 days (SD, 1.5) p < 0.001, in Group B.

Conclusions: Clinical and radiological follow up is feasible and appears to be safe in patients with occult diaphragm injuries after left TA stab wounds.
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CHAPTER 1

INTRODUCTION AND LITERATURE REVIEW

1. INTRODUCTION

1.1 Anatomy of the diaphragm

1.1.1 Surgical Embryology of the Diaphragm

The embryological development of the musculotendinous diaphragm is complicated and still not fully understood. The musculotendinous diaphragm separates the thoracic and abdominal cavities and is formed by the following four embryologic entities:

- septum transversum
- pleuroperitoneal membranes
- mediastinum (dorsal mesentery of the esophagus)
- body wall muscles

These different components are not delineated precisely in the diaphragm, and additional detailed embryologic investigations of the role of the individual contributors, as mentioned above, are necessary.

1.1.2 Anatomy of the Thoracoabdominal Zone

The diaphragm is a dome-shaped, musculotendinous structure representing the bottom of the pleural cavity and the roof of the abdominal cavity. It is composed of the muscular and tendinous parts. The thoracoabdominal zone is defined as an area with the following boundaries:
1. The fourth intercostal space (anteriorly)
2. The sixth intercostal space (laterally)
3. The eighth intercostal space (posteriorly)
4. The costal margin (inferiorly)

The thorax region’s boundaries are the nipple line, costal margins and scapulae and are similar to the area described above.

The liver and spleen lie below this area and are protected by the ribs. The location of the diaphragm is variable as it depends on the extent of inhalation and exhalation, age and sex, momentary posture, extent to which the intestines are filled and general build of a particular person. Processes requiring volume in the pleural or peritoneal cavity may also cause considerable change in the shape and position of the diaphragm. At rest, the right dome is at the level of the fourth intercostal space, the left dome is 1 to 2 centimetres lower. After maximal inhalation, the right dome of the diaphragm is at the level of the cartilage-bone transition of the sixth rib, and the left dome is approximately at the level of the next lower intercostal space. Hence a penetrating wound in the TA area could potentially injure the diaphragm or any thoracic viscera, in addition to the abdominal organs.

These multiple possibilities make initial diagnostic assessment in such cases challenging.

1.2 Sharp-force Trauma in South Africa

South Africa is a middle income country with one of the highest rates of violence in the world. The researcher have experienced that exact mortality rates are difficult to come by as
South Africa is a country where not all deaths are registered and misclassification of deaths often occurs.

The most recent national injury mortality surveillance system (NIMSS) report (10th Annual Report) reported on fatal injuries in South Africa for the period 1 January to 31 December 2008, 6083 non-natural deaths occurred in Cape Town out of a total of 10085 in the Western Cape and 36795 in South Africa. In 2004, the leading external cause of death in South Africa was sharp force injury (13.6%) followed by firearms (10.8%). Sharp objects are the main cause of homicides in Cape Town, East London and Port Elizabeth, whereas the main cause of homicide in Pretoria, Johannesburg and Durban is due to firearms.

From these statistics it is clear that sharp force injury is a common injury occurring in Cape Town corresponding to what is experienced at the Trauma Centre of Groote Schuur Hospital.

2. LITERATURE REVIEW

2.1 Overview of the Management of Thoracoabdominal Stab Wounds

The first diaphragmatic hernia described in Western literature was in 1541 by Sennertus. In 1579 Ambrose Pare, a French surgeon, reported the first traumatic diaphragmatic hernia. The patient, a French artillery captain survived the hernia but died 8 months later from complications of a clamped, gangrenous hernia through a small diaphragmatic defect.

Riolfi performed the first successful repair of a traumatic diaphragmatic hernia in a patient with omental prolapse in 1886. In 1888 Naumann repaired a hernia of the stomach into the left chest that was caused by trauma.
Until the late 19th century penetrating abdominal trauma was managed conservatively, with rest, wound dressings, bloodletting and opium, with resultant high mortality rates. In the early part of the 20th century around the time of World War I and the gradual improvement of general anaesthetic techniques, operative management became the accepted standard for penetrating wounds to the abdomen. It is now clear that not all penetrating abdominal wounds require surgery. Studies started appearing in the early 1960’s suggesting that mandatory exploratory laparotomies are unnecessary in treating penetrating wounds to the abdomen. Since the late 1980’s selective non-operative management of abdominal stab wounds has become the standard of care around the world.

The problem with selective non-operative management of TA stab wounds is that patients with isolated diaphragm injuries do not develop any peritoneal signs and may then develop a late diaphragm hernia if an injury to the diaphragm is missed. To overcome this it was initially proposed by Stylianos et al. that all left side TA stab wounds should receive a laparotomy to rule out a diaphragm injury. During the mid 1990’s, when videoscopy was introduced and popularised in other areas of surgery, numerous studies confirmed that laparoscopy and thoracoscopy are very sensitive and specific in detecting diaphragm injury. Even today with modern multidetector CT (MDCT) and magnetic resonance imaging, direct vision of the diaphragm with laparoscopy and thoracoscopy remain the gold standard of diagnosing a diaphragm injury. However, controversy persists whether videoscopic assessment or clinical observation for asymptomatic patients is the best treatment.

We hypothesized that careful clinical and Chest X-ray (CXR) follow up of patients with potential occult diaphragm injuries is sufficient to prove healing. This systematic review was
conducted in order to interrogate the available literature and particularly to answer the following questions.

1. The incidence of diaphragm injuries
2. The incidence of a delayed diaphragm hernia
3. Complications of a delayed diaphragm hernia
4. Natural course of diaphragmatic wounds
5. Financial implications of routine laparoscopy to diagnose injury of the diaphragm
6. Incidence of diaphragm injury in trauma patients with asymptomatic left thoracoabdominal stab wounds

2.2 Methods

2.2.1 Search Strategy

A computerized search of the National Library of Medicine and the National Institutes of Health MEDLINE database was undertaken using the Entrez PubMed (www.pubmed.gov) interface. The primary search strategy was developed to retrieve English language articles focusing on occult diaphragm injuries on penetrating TA trauma starting from 1980 and continuing to 2014; articles related to paediatric trauma, blunt trauma, review articles, letters to the editor, editorials, other items of general commentary and case reports were excluded from the search. The systematic review search strategy is diagrammatically presented in table 2.1
Table 2.1 Systematic Review Search Strategy

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Search Text</th>
<th>Citations</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1 Trauma</td>
<td>769103</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 Diaphragm</td>
<td>38664</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 Penetrating</td>
<td>30824</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4 Trauma and diaphragm</td>
<td>3663</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5 Penetrating and Diaphragm and Trauma</td>
<td>347</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

2.2.2 Selection criteria

Studies included in the systematic review pertaining to the management of penetrating TA trauma in adult populations were selected regardless of origin, hospital setting, or study design. In addition animal studies related to the natural healing of diaphragm injuries were included.

2.2.3 Data Collection and Extraction

Data was collected and recorded on a data collection form (See Appendix 1). Extracted data included authors, year of publication, study design, country of study, hospital setting, number of subjects and outcome.

2.3 Results

2.3.1 Articles for inclusion

Figure 2.1 illustrates the results of the systematic review. Using the search strategy as explained above in 2.6.1, 347 titles and abstracts were found related to the management of penetrating TA trauma in adult populations. Two hundred and sixty two were rejected based on exclusion criteria. Fifty three articles were excluded because they were not English. The
remaining thirty two titles and abstracts were retrieved for full-text review. To these, thirty two titles, fifteen were cross-referenced to ensure a thorough review. From this, seven titles and abstracts were excluded, one because it was not accessible and six were excluded. In total forty full-text articles were extracted for the systematic review.

2.3.2 Qualitative Overview of Articles in Systematic Review

As mentioned previously this systematic review was conducted in order to interrogate the available literature and particularly to answer the following questions.
1. The incidence of diaphragm injuries

2. The incidence of a delayed diaphragm hernia

3. Complications of a delayed diaphragm hernia

4. Natural course of diaphragmatic wounds

5. Financial implications of routine laparoscopy to diagnose injury of the diaphragm

6. Incidence of Diaphragm Injury in Trauma Patients with Asymptomatic Left TA Stab Wounds

2.3.3 The Incidence of Diaphragm Injuries

TA trauma is a term that can include a wide variety of causative trauma, while data is lacking in the literature and very often inconclusive. Dajee, et. al., 15 (1981) estimated that the incidence of diaphragm injuries in patients with TA trauma is more than 5 per cent. The true incidence of diaphragm injuries in patients with TA trauma is not known. Most studies investigate a subgroup of patients and the exact incidence of diaphragm injuries in all patients with penetrating TA trauma is not known. If one looks at all patients presenting to a trauma unit with TA trauma, only one study could be found, which mention diaphragm injury in this group of patients. Rubikas, et al., 16 reported on the incidence investigating two groups of patients. Looking at forensic data in a group of patients with penetrating TA trauma, diaphragm injury occurred in thirty one out of one thousand two hundred and ten patients which represent an incidence of 2.1 per cent. They also looked at one thousand seven hundred and forty nine patients with penetrating thoracoabdominal trauma on their trauma database which revealed sixty nine diaphragm injuries or an incidence of 3.9 per cent.
From this limited data it is apparent that the true incidence of diaphragm injuries, following trauma, is not known but is likely to be less than what is currently believed.

2.3.4 Incidence of a Delayed Diaphragm Hernia

Again there are very few studies investigating specifically delayed post traumatic diaphragm hernias. There seems to be uncertainty of what exactly constitutes the definition of a delayed hernia and the time elapsed before it can be called a delayed hernia.

Only 2 articles could be found that specifically addresses this question. The incidence of delayed diaphragm hernia based on published data by Feliciano, et.al. 1988 appears to be extremely rare. In this retrospective review, the author stated that during a 9 year period, during which 450-510 patients with penetrating chest trauma were seen, sixteen patients presented with delayed diaphragm hernias, which varied in time of presentation from hours to years. The sixteen patients equates to an incidence of 2.7% over 9 years or 0.3% per year. Unfortunately the problem with this data is that it does not state exactly how many of these patients with penetrating chest trauma stab wounds were specifically to the left TA region.

If one reviews a more select group of patients with left TA stab wounds, the incidence of delayed diaphragm hernia appears to increase. The only research describing delayed diaphragm hernia, in this select group of patients was done by Leppaniemi, et al., 18. Their data showed an incidence of 4% of a total of fifty patients in a retrospective analysis done on a group of patients that were followed up for 10 years. The time to delayed presentation varied from 2 to 23 months.
Other studies investigating delayed diaphragm hernias were mostly case series or case reports and it was not possible to ascertain an incidence in the study population. From the literature it can be concluded that the true incidence of diaphragm injury of all patients with left TA stab wounds is unknown.

The current best evidence studying long term follow up, although retrospective, show delayed presentation of diaphragm injuries in patients with stab wounds to the left diaphragm tend to occur within the first two years of follow up, however the level of evidence is poor.

2.3.5 Complications of a Delayed Diaphragm Hernia

Madden et al.,\(^2\) did a retrospective, single centre review looking at selected cases of delayed presentation of diaphragmatic injuries due to stab wounds. This sample included 28 patients, mean age of 32 years, with a mortality rate of 36%. Eighty five per cent, or six of the seven patients shown to have gangrenous viscera, died. Reber et. al.,\(^{19}\) reported the treatment of 10 patients with late presentations of traumatic diaphragmatic hernias, eight patients sustained blunt trauma, and two were victims of penetrating injuries. Hernias were present on the left side and right side in eight and two patients, respectively. The time lapse between trauma and clinical presentation of delayed hernias ranged from 20 days to 28 years. All of these patients underwent laparotomies, and concomitant thoracotomies were necessary in two cases. Only one patient died (10% mortality), and three (30 %) sustained postoperative complications.

Degiannis et al.\(^{20}\) analysed patients with delayed presentation of diaphragm hernia. Four patients (25%) died, but three of these patient sustained initial gunshot wounds.
Analysing data published after 2000 \textsuperscript{18,20}, a zero mortality rate can be seen. Both studies consisted of small numbers which probably represents an increased awareness of diaphragm injury and the low mortality could be attributed to better and improved trauma resuscitation and intensive care treatment.

In conclusion the high mortality initially reported in the 1970’s and 1980’s seems to be decreasing with modern management of trauma patients. This is probably due to a high index of suspicion, clinical awareness of the complications and modern state of the art intensive care facilities although, this is difficult to assess because of low patient numbers and poor level of documented evidence for this rare complication of diaphragm injuries.

2.3.6 Natural course of diaphragmatic wounds

It would seem that the natural history of diaphragm injuries has not been adequately studied in humans. Five animal studies have been published since 2001: all studying whether diaphragm injuries heal spontaneously \textsuperscript{21-25}.

Perlingeiro et al., \textsuperscript{23} studied the course of diaphragmatic injuries in rats. The diaphragmatic tear was produced by a videolaparoscopy trocar under general anesthesia. Animals were observed for six months, and complete diaphragmatic healing was observed in approximately 90\% of the animals, while diaphragmatic hernias were present in 10\%. 
A similar phenomenon was observed by Gamblin et al., who studied 48 rats in an experimental model of diaphragmatic injuries produced by a 16-gauge needle puncture or 2.7-mm aortic punch. Half the animals in each group were euthanized at one month and the other half at 10 months after the operative procedure. In only one animal, in the aortic punch group, a small hepatic herniation through the diaphragm (8%) was observed at 10 months. All other animals demonstrated no signs of herniation.

Shatney CH et al., did a study on 8 pigs with 1.5 to 2 centimetre lacerations to the diaphragm. After 6 weeks 15 out of 16 (93%) of the lacerations had healed.

Zierold et. al., studied seven pigs euthanized twelve weeks after a 3 centimetre diaphragm injury. All the diaphragm injuries healed if no herniation of omentum or abdominal visera, occurred during the healing process. Therefore, the pig diaphragm will heal if the edges are opposed during the healing period. A similar conclusion was made by Marray et. al., who approximated the edges of the diaphragm injury in a pig model with a laparoscopic stapling device. Of course, these studies do not pertain specifically to humans. The size of the diaphragmatic wound is a very important factor that cannot be controlled for in human trauma cases.

In conclusion, at least in animals, the reported data show that the diaphragm can heal without hernia development.

2.3.7 Financial implications of routine laparoscopy to diagnose injury of the diaphragm
According to The Eastern Association for the Surgery of Trauma (EAST) guidelines it recommends that all asymptomatic left sided TA stab wounds undergo a diagnostic laparoscopy to exclude or diagnose an occult diaphragm injury.

Demetriades et al., described an algorithm for treating haemodynamically stable patients sustaining TA wounds without peritoneal signs. In this protocol, videolaparoscopy is indicated if the wound is located on the left TA area. These researchers accepted the risks associated if right diaphragmatic tears were not sutured, but active searching for left diaphragmatic lesions was routinely indicated. This approach has significant cost implication particularly in developing countries. A cost analysis done by Leppaniemi et al. comparing laparoscopy with observation based strategies in all asymptomatic patients, with epigastric or TA penetrating trauma, showed adding laparoscopy to the diagnostic algorithm was significantly more expensive and increased hospital costs by approximately 1,000 EUR (R13000) per patient.

As deducted by the research team if one takes into account that the incidence of delayed diaphragm hernia in an asymptomatic population is 4 per cent the cost to stop one delayed hernia from occurring would be 25,000 EUR. If converted to rand and inflation factored in at 5 percent per year, this would equate to R599741.00 at exchange rates in December 2014. This cost is not sustainable in a developing world health system.

2.3.8 Incidence of Diaphragm Injury in Trauma Patients with Asymptomatic Left Thoracoabdominal Stab Wounds
The incidence of diaphragmatic injuries depends mainly on the location of the wound and the mechanism of trauma. Among patients with penetrating TA trauma, who routinely undergo videolaparoscopy or videothoracoscopy, the incidence of diaphragmatic injuries ranges from 9% to 64% \(^2, 18, 13, 28-44\). These studies looked at a wide variety of patients and are difficult to compare. The included patients were, symptomatic or asymptomatic, had stab or gunshot wounds and had trauma to the left and/or right thoracoabdominal area. Table 2.3 represents an updated summary of all the studies investigating the incidence of diaphragm injury in patients with TA penetrating trauma.
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Table 2.3 Incidence of diaphragm injury in penetrating trauma

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Citation</th>
<th>Study Design</th>
<th>Patient numbers</th>
<th>Incidence of Diaphragm injury</th>
<th>Outcomes examined</th>
<th>Conclusions</th>
<th>Limitations</th>
<th>Level of evidence</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Jackson et al. 1976 (39)</td>
<td>Prospective</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>18%</td>
<td>Effectiveness of thoracoscopy in diagnosis</td>
<td>Thoracoscopy safe and effective</td>
<td>Small number Site not well stated</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Madden et al. 1989 (2)</td>
<td>Retrospective</td>
<td>95</td>
<td>19%</td>
<td>Occult diaphragmatic injury</td>
<td>Laparotomy should be considered for all thoracoabdominal stab wounds</td>
<td>Right and left sided Not Asymptomatic</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stylianos et al. 1992 (13)</td>
<td>Prospective</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>60%</td>
<td>Incidence of diaphragm injury at laparotomy</td>
<td>Laparotomy should be considered for all anterior thoracoabdominal stab wounds</td>
<td>Not asymptomatic Only anterior stabs Right and left sided</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ivatory et al. 1992 (43)</td>
<td>Retrospective</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>20%</td>
<td>Laparoscopy in diagnosis</td>
<td>Laparoscopy safe and effective</td>
<td>Stab and GSW wounds Right and left sided</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ochsner et al. 1993 (33)</td>
<td>Prospective</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>64%</td>
<td>Effectiveness Thoracoscopy in diagnosis</td>
<td>Thoracoscopy safe and effective</td>
<td>Not asymptomatic Right and left sided GSW and Stab wounds</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Uribe et al. 1994 (34)</td>
<td>Prospective</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>32%</td>
<td>Effectiveness Thoracoscopy in diagnosis</td>
<td>Thoracoscopy safe and effective</td>
<td>Not asymptomatic Right and left sided GSW and Stab wounds</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nel et al. 1994 (38)</td>
<td>Prospective</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>40%</td>
<td>Effectiveness Thoracoscopy in</td>
<td>Thoracoscopy safe and effective</td>
<td>Anterior stab wounds only</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study Authors</th>
<th>Study Design</th>
<th>Study Size</th>
<th>Incidence</th>
<th>Effectiveness of the Procedure</th>
<th>Procedure Description</th>
<th>Procedure Results</th>
<th>Procedure Type</th>
<th>Wound Location and Site</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ertekin et al. 1998</td>
<td>Prospective</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>50%</td>
<td>Laparoscopy in diagnosis and treatment</td>
<td>Laparoscopy safe and effective</td>
<td>Right and left sided GSW and Stab wounds</td>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(41)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Murray et al. 1998</td>
<td>Prospective</td>
<td>110</td>
<td>24%</td>
<td>Incidence of Occult diaphragm injuries</td>
<td>Incidence of injury high</td>
<td>Laparoscopy should be considered for all left sided thoracoabdominal stab wounds</td>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(32)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Martinez et al. 2001</td>
<td>Prospective</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>67%</td>
<td>Effectiveness Thoracoscopic in diagnosis</td>
<td>Thoracoscopy safe and effective</td>
<td>Right and left sided GSW and Stab wounds</td>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(40)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Morales et al. 2001</td>
<td>Longitudinal cohort study</td>
<td>82</td>
<td>63%</td>
<td>Effectiveness digital exploration in diagnosis</td>
<td>Digital exploration is a reliable method to diagnose injuries</td>
<td></td>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(42)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Freeman et al. 2001</td>
<td>Retrospective</td>
<td>171</td>
<td>35%</td>
<td>Effectiveness Thoracoscopic in diagnosis</td>
<td>Thoracoscopy safe</td>
<td>Right and left sided GSW and Stab wounds</td>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(29)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>McQuay et.al 2003</td>
<td>Retrospective</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>27%</td>
<td>Effectiveness of diagnostic laparoscopy to diagnose diaphragm injury</td>
<td>Laparoscopy is safe and effective</td>
<td>Right and left sided GSW and Stab wounds Only anterior wounds</td>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(30)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Leppaniemi et al. 2003</td>
<td>Retrospective</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>9%</td>
<td>Incidence of occult diaphragm injuries</td>
<td>Laparoscopy should be considered for all thoracoabdominal stab wounds</td>
<td>Right and left sided Anterior stab wounds only</td>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(18)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Friese et al.</td>
<td>Prospective</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>23%</td>
<td>Effectiveness laparotomy in</td>
<td>Laparoscopy is safe and effective</td>
<td>Right and left sided One GSW patient</td>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Study Design</th>
<th>Sample Size</th>
<th>Diagnoses</th>
<th>Study Details</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2005 (31)</td>
<td>Case series</td>
<td>Diagnosis</td>
<td>Thoracoscopy safe and effective</td>
<td>Right and left sided GSW and Stab wounds</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Paci et al. 2006 (35)</td>
<td>Prospective</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>38%</td>
<td>Effectiveness Thoracoscopy in diagnosis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Powell et al. 2008 (28)</td>
<td>Retrospective</td>
<td>108</td>
<td>20%</td>
<td>Effectiveness Thoracoscopy in diagnosis and treatment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Incidence of injury high Laparoscopy should be considered for all left sided thoracoabdominal stab wounds</td>
<td>Right and Left sided Stab and GSW</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Opasanon et al. 2009 (36)</td>
<td>Prospective</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>17%</td>
<td>Role of laparoscopy in management</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Laparoscopy is safe and effective</td>
<td>Right and left sided Site not stated</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bagheri et al. 2009 (46)</td>
<td>Prospective</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>16%</td>
<td>Effectiveness Thoracoscopy in diagnosis and treatment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thoracoscopy safe and effective</td>
<td>Right and left sided</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clarke et al. 2009 (37)</td>
<td>Retrospective</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>38%</td>
<td>Spectrum of diaphragm injury</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High index of suspicion for diaphragm injury Laparoscopy should be done for all left thoracoabdominal stabs</td>
<td>Small number Subgroup of retrospective database Site not well stated</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mjoli et al. 2014 (44)</td>
<td>Prospective</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>40%</td>
<td>Incidence of occult diaphragm injury and the feasibility of laparoscopic repair</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Incidence occult diaphragm injury high and laparoscopy safe in repair and effective in diagnosis</td>
<td>GSW and stab wounds</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 2.4 Incidence of diaphragm injury in patients with stab wounds

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Citation</th>
<th>Patient number</th>
<th>Incidence of Diaphragm injury overall</th>
<th>Left and right sided injuries</th>
<th>Left sided injuries</th>
<th>Comments</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sylianos et al. 1992 (13)</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>64%</td>
<td>64%</td>
<td>Not asymptomatic</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ochsner et al. 1993 (33)</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>64%</td>
<td>63%</td>
<td>18%</td>
<td>Not asymptomatic</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nel et al. 1994 (38)</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>40%</td>
<td>40%</td>
<td></td>
<td>Anterior stab wounds only</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Uribe et al. 1994 (34)</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>32%</td>
<td>37%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Murray et al. 1998 (32)</td>
<td>110</td>
<td>24%</td>
<td>26%</td>
<td></td>
<td>Best data, Occult left TA stab wounds</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Morales et al. 2001 (42)</td>
<td>82</td>
<td>63%</td>
<td>63%</td>
<td>Best data, Occult left TA stab wounds</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Freeman et al. 2001 (29)</td>
<td>86</td>
<td>35%</td>
<td>23%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Leppaniemi et al. 2003 (18)</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>9%</td>
<td>9%</td>
<td>6%</td>
<td>Anterior stab wounds only</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>McQuay et al. 2003 (30)</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>27.5%</td>
<td>18%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Friese et al. 2005 (45)</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>23%</td>
<td>18%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Powell et al. 2008 (28)</td>
<td>108</td>
<td>20%</td>
<td>23%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clarke et al. 2009 (37)</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>38%</td>
<td>38%</td>
<td></td>
<td>Occult left TA stab wounds, TA region not well defined</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bagheri et al. 2009 (46)</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>16%</td>
<td>16%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mjoli et al. 2014 (44)</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>40%</td>
<td>38%</td>
<td>Best data, Occult left TA stab wounds</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Studies which excluded gunshot wounds and only include stab wound patients who were also symptomatic or asymptomatic and left and/or right TA injuries showed an incidence of diaphragm injury of 9% to 63%\(^\text{18, 13, 28-34, 37, 34, 44, 46}\). This is represented in Table 2.4.

If one scrutinizes specifically asymptomatic patients with TA stab wounds, left and/or right, the incidence of diaphragm injury varies between 9% and 37%\(^\text{18, 28-30, 34, 45, 47}\). This is represented in Table 2.4.

If the criteria is further narrowed down to occult left TA stab wounds, the incidence of diaphragm injury as diagnosed by laparotomy, laparoscopy or thoracoscopy is 28%, 38% and 63% in the 3 studies in the literature published on the subject\(^\text{32, 42, 45}\). These 3 papers are the only studies in the literature that report on the incidence of left sided occult diaphragm injuries after TA stab wounds comparable to the study undertaken by the research team. This is represented in Table 2.5.
Table 2.5 Incidence of Occult Diaphragm Injury in Left Thoracoabdominal Stab Wounds

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Citation</th>
<th>Study design</th>
<th>Sample size</th>
<th>Left sided stab wounds</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Murray et al. 1998 (32)</td>
<td>Prospective descriptive study</td>
<td>110</td>
<td>26%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Morales et al. 2001 (42)</td>
<td>Longitudinal cohort study</td>
<td>82</td>
<td>63%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mjoli et al. 2014 (44)</td>
<td>Prospective consecutive case series</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>38%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

2.4 Summary of Review of Literature

The true incidence of diaphragm injuries in patients with penetrating TA trauma is variable and cannot be specifically determined. Likewise, the true incidence of delayed diaphragm hernia in patients with stab wounds to the left TA region is unknown, but from limited data it seems to be less than 5%. Mortality from delayed diaphragm hernia due to left TA stab wounds is reported to be high but the numbers in all case series are small and there seems to be an improvement in survival in reports published after 1990, probably mainly because of better intensive care facilities and treatment. The incidence of occult diaphragm injury due to left-sided TA stab wounds is between 28% and 63%. However less than 5% of patients present with delayed diaphragm hernia. This makes one wonder what happens to the other 23% to 58% of patients with injuries. The most likely answer is that they heal spontaneously as demonstrated in animal studies. To save one life, if one assumes that the mortality associated is as high as 25% one would need to spend R2 400 000 on hospitalisation which has to be questioned in the resource limited developing world.
2.5 Aims of the Study

Based on the above mentioned literature review, the non-operative management of patients with abdominal stab wounds, without obvious clinical or radiological signs of organ injury requiring surgical repair, has become a widely used therapeutic strategy. The standard initial approach to haemodynamically unstable patients sustaining TA injuries is surgery (laparotomy and/or thoracotomy).

Laparotomy is preferred for injuries exhibiting clear signs of peritonitis. Operative treatment is also used in stable patients in whom a cardiac, major vascular or esophageal injury is detected on diagnostic imaging. These injuries are usually detected during a comprehensive diagnostic work-up, which depends on the suspected injury and may involve focused assessment with sonography for trauma (FAST), computed axial tomography (CT), angiography, and/or endoscopy. The most challenging diagnostic issue in this situation concerns asymptomatic patients. The diaphragm is an organ that is difficult to evaluate, because of low sensitivity and specificity of non-invasive diagnostic imaging. Therefore, without operative intervention, missing diaphragm injuries may have significant implications for long term morbidity and mortality. Unfortunately the natural history of diaphragm injuries is not well known. In a recent review by Parreira et. Al. the author stated that some questions in the management of asymptomatic patients sustaining TA wounds remain unanswered:

1. Should these patients be systematically submitted to operative procedures such as laparoscopy or thoracoscopy in order to diagnose a possible diaphragmatic injury?
2. Should the diaphragmatic injury always be sutured?
3. Can these patients be safely initially observed, undergoing operative procedures only if a diaphragmatic hernia develops?

4. How high are the morbidity and mortality risks for delayed repair of diaphragmatic hernias?

This study will aim to address points number 1 to 3. The safety of clinical observation of occult diaphragm injuries in a group of patients presenting to the Groote Schuur Hospital Trauma Centre with left sided TA stab wounds will be evaluated when an expectant non-operative management course is pursued. To evaluate this hypothesis a prospective randomized control trial was undertaken.
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2.2 Mini abstract

It is controversial whether all asymptomatic left thoracoabdominal stab wound patients with potential occult diaphragm injury should undergo routine diagnostic videoscopy. We show that it is safe to treat these patients with close clinical observation and chest X-ray follow up for a six week period while healing occurs.

2.3 Structured abstract

ABSTRACT

Background: The need to exclude occult diaphragmatic injury in left thoracoabdominal (TA) stab wounds continues to be controversial. Current trauma guidelines recommend either routine diagnostic thoracoscopy or laparoscopy and repair of an injury if found.

Objective: To determine if asymptomatic patients with left sided (TA) stab wounds can be safely treated with careful clinical and chest X-ray follow up to ascertain healing of occult injuries.

Methods: A parallel-group, prospective, randomized control study was conducted at the Trauma Centre at the Groote Schuur Hospital from 01 September 2009 through to 01 November 2014. All patients with asymptomatic left TA stab wounds included in the trial
were randomized into two groups. Group A underwent diagnostic laparoscopy to exclude a diaphragm injury, and Group B underwent clinical and radiological follow up.

**Results:** Twenty seven patients were randomized to Group A (n=27) and thirty one to Group B (n=31). All patients were young males with a median age of 26 years (range from 18 to 48). The incidence of occult diaphragm injury in group A was 29%. Occult injuries found at laparoscopy were all repaired. All patients in group 2 had normal chest X-rays and no symptoms at their last visit. The mean follow up time was 24 months (range of 0 to 58). There was no morbidity nor mortality associated with the conservative management of occult diaphragmatic injuries. The mean hospital stay for the patients in Group A was 5 days (SD 1.3), compared to a mean hospital stay of 2.9 days (SD, 1.5) p < 0.001, in Group B.

**Conclusions:** Clinical and radiological follow up is feasible and appears to be safe in patients with occult diaphragm injuries after left TA stab wounds. (ClinicalTrials.gov Identifier: NCT01044550)
2.4 MAIN PAPER

INTRODUCTION

Until the late 19th century penetrating abdominal trauma was managed conservatively 1. In the early part of the 20th century around the time of World War I operative management became the accepted standard for penetrating wounds to the abdomen. Studies started appearing in the early 1960’s suggesting that mandatory exploratory laparotomies are unnecessary in treating penetrating wounds to the abdomen 2. Since the late 1980’s selective non-operative management of abdominal stab wounds has become the standard of care around the world 3.

The problem with selective non-operative management of TA stab wounds is that patients with isolated diaphragm injuries do not develop any peritoneal signs and may then develop a late diaphragm hernia if an injury to the diaphragm is missed. To overcome this it was initially proposed by Stylianos et al. 4 that all left side TA stab wounds should receive a laparotomy to rule out a diaphragm injury. During the mid 1990’s, when videoscopy was introduced and popularised in other areas of surgery, numerous studies confirmed that laparoscopy and thoracoscopy are very sensitive and specific in detecting diaphragm injury 5-9. Even today with modern multidetector CT (MDCT) imaging, direct vision of the diaphragm with laparoscopy and thoracoscopy remain the gold standard of diagnosing a diaphragm injury 10.

Current Eastern Association for the Surgery of Trauma (EAST) guidelines recommends that all patients with left sided penetrating thoracoabdominal trauma should undergo routine
diagnostic laparoscopy under general anaesthesia to exclude a diaphragm injury. This management is not always feasible in a resource limited environment.

The purpose of this study was to establish the incidence of diaphragm injury in our local trauma population and to establish if close initial observation and subsequent follow up is a safe and feasible practice for this group of patients.

METHODS

Study design

The study was a single centre parallel-group study with equal randomization conducted at the Trauma Centre at the Groote Schuur Hospital from 01 September 2009 through to 01 November 2014. All patients aged between 18 and 60 years diagnosed with asymptomatic left TA stab wounds included in the trial were divided into two computer randomized groups.

Exclusion criteria included patients with obvious signs of diaphragm injury (either on imaging or clinically), hemodynamically unstable patients, previous penetrating injury to the left TA area, patients requiring early surgical exploration for injuries other than diaphragm injuries and positive pregnancy test. The study was approved by the Faculty of Health Sciences Research Ethics Committee of the University of Cape Town and registered at ClinicalTrials.gov NCT01044550.

Management protocol

According to the trial protocol all patients with left TA stab wounds and suspected occult diaphragm injury were subjected to a 24 hour period of serial abdominal observations to
identify patients who required laparotomy for associated intra-abdominal injury. Patients with a pneumo- and/or hemothorax were managed with a chest drain. During the observation period patients were kept on nil per os and maintained on intravenous fluids. Analgesia alone with no antibiotics was administered. The patients were clinically reassessed every 6 hours. Patients who did not develop abdominal symptoms or signs of an acute abdomen after 24 hours were considered eligible for the trial. If they were willing to participate and after informed consent was taken, randomization was done as described below, into a treatment (Group A) and follow up group (Group B).

Group A underwent a diagnostic laparoscopy, with repair to injured diaphragm when present. This was done to ascertain the local incidence of diaphragm injury in this subset of trauma patients. Group B underwent no treatment except suturing of wounds and drainage of a hemo- / pneumothorax, if present. Participants in Group A were requested to attend a follow up clinic in 2 weeks. Participants in Group B were requested to attend a follow up clinic at 2 week, 4 week, 3 month, 6 month, 12 month and yearly intervals. During follow up a detailed history was taken and a chest X-ray performed. Patients were considered lost to follow up if they did not attend a follow up clinic appointment for six months. If they did not attend a follow up clinic an attempt was made to contact them telephonically if contact numbers were available. If this was not possible, a letter requesting return to hospital was posted by registered mail to their last known addresses.

**Technique of Laparoscopy**

Under general anaesthesia, pneumo-peritoneum with CO$_2$ was introduced through an umbilical port placed according to the open Hassan technique. The intra-abdominal pressure
was monitored and maintained at < 15mmHg. A camera was introduced through a 10mm port placed at the umbilicus. To allow for better manipulation and improved exposure, a second 5mm port was introduced, unless the injury was anterior and vision adequate, in which case, the second port placement was omitted. If an injury was found, a third port was introduced to allow for laparoscopic suturing with a braided non-absorbable suture material. Consultants in the department or registrars supervised by consultants performed the procedures.

**Randomization Process**

A computerized random number generator was used to generate a random number table with the numbers 1 and 2. These numbers was placed in a sealed envelope. If a patient was considered eligible to participate in the trial, informed consent was taken and the envelope was opened to reveal the group into which the patient fell.

**Endpoints of study**

The primary endpoint was the demonstration of a diaphragmatic laceration at laparotomy or any evidence of diaphragmatic hernia during follow up. Secondary endpoints were length of hospital stay and complications.

**Statistical methods**

Continues variables were compared with the use of the t test. Chi-square analysis and the Fisher exact test were used for the analysis of the categorical variables where appropriate. Confidence intervals were based on the normal approximation to the binomial distribution.
Values of less than 0.05 were considered to be significant. Statistical calculations were done using Statistica 12.

Sample size

Using a two-sided binomial test, a sample size of 100 achieves 83% power to detect a difference of 0.15 with a significance level of 0.035. These results assume that the population proportion under the null hypothesis is 0.5\textsuperscript{12-15}.

RESULTS

We identified 64 potentially eligible patients; of which, 62 met all eligibility criteria. Five patients were excluded, 2 patients did not meet the inclusion criteria and 3 declined to participate by refusing consent. The final sample group therefore consisted of 59 patients. After providing informed consent, they were subsequently randomized to 28 in group A and 31 in group B. We discontinued enrolment before reaching our sample size goal of 100 patients due to slow patient accrual. There were no protocol violations and one patient was excluded after randomization in Group A due to failed laparoscopy and laparotomy because of extensive adhesions (frozen abdomen) after a previous laparotomy. Therefore, 27 patients were analysed in Group A and 31 in group B. The enrolment and outcomes are presented in Figure 1 according to the Consort 2010 flow diagram\textsuperscript{16}.
Figure 1 Enrolment and outcomes.
LAPAROSCOPY (TO DETECT OCCULT DIAPHRAGM INJURY) VERSUS CLINICAL AND RADIOLOGICAL FOLLOW UP TO DETECT DIAPHRAGM INJURY AND HERNIATION, IN PATIENTS WITH ASYMPTOMATIC LEFT THORACOABDOMINAL STAB WOUNDS

A RANDOMIZED CONTROLLED STUDY Malherbe GF

Patient Characteristics

The 59 patients selected for the study were all stable on arrival. The baseline characteristics of the 2 groups were comparable in respect of age, haemoglobin level, mean arterial pressure, respiratory rate and revised trauma score. This is represented in Table 2

Table 2 Summary of the patient characteristics

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Laparoscopy Mean (SD)</th>
<th>Follow up Mean (SD)</th>
<th>P</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(n=27)</td>
<td>(n=31)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>27.1 (6.2)</td>
<td>27.7 (6.8)</td>
<td>0.73</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean Arterial Pressure</td>
<td>94.7 (14.9)</td>
<td>91.4 (13.4)</td>
<td>0.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Haemoglobin</td>
<td>12 (2)</td>
<td>12.7 (2.2)</td>
<td>0.25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Respiratory rate</td>
<td>17.8 (3.0)</td>
<td>18.4 (4.0)</td>
<td>0.60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Revised trauma score</td>
<td>7.84</td>
<td>7.84</td>
<td>1.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Area of injury

The site of injury was recorded on a visual torso map and divided into lateral, anterior and posterior stab wounds. The lateral region is between the anterior and posterior axillary lines, the anterior territory is anterior to the anterior axillary line and the posterior territory is posterior to the posterior axillary line. Some patients presented with multiple stabs. The findings are represented in figure 2a and figure 2b.
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Group A</th>
<th>Lateral</th>
<th>Anterior</th>
<th>Posterior</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>14</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Number of confirmed diaphragm injuries per region

|       | 3 | 2 | 4 |

Figure 2a Site of stab wounds for Group A

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Group B</th>
<th>Lateral</th>
<th>Anterior</th>
<th>Posterior</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>31</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 2b Site of stab wounds for Group B

Laparoscopy group (n = 27)

The stab wounds penetrated the chest at various TA locations with no specific level associated with an increased likelihood of diaphragm injury. After all the patients were subjected to initial laparoscopy under general anaesthesia, 2 patients were converted to laparotomy and 1 patient to thoracotomy. The decision to convert was left to the operating surgeon, but conversion was done because of difficulty in visualising or repairing the
diaphragm. Three patients were converted to an open procedure, all of whom had a diaphragm injury. Eight (29%) out of 27 patients had a diaphragm laceration. Seventeen (62%) patients had an associated haemo-/ pneumothorax managed with pleural drainage.

**Follow up group (n = 31)**

The mean follow up of patients allocated to Group B were 24 (range, 0 – 58, SD 21.4) months. Twelve (38%) patients had a pleural drain inserted for a haemo-/ pneumothorax. At the last follow up, all chest X-rays were normal and all patients had a normal clinical examination with no persistent abdominal or thoracic symptoms. Five patients (16%) had no follow up and four (12%) patients had limited follow up ranging from 1 to 9 months before they were lost to follow up.

**Morbidity and Mortality**

No patients died as a result of injuries sustained. Three patients had post-operative complications in Group A. The complications were superficial surgical site sepsis, pneumonia and prolonged post-operative paralytic ileus. The patient with surgical site sepsis was managed with suture removal and antibiotics. The patient who developed pneumonia was a patient converted to laparotomy and was managed with antibiotics, physiotherapy and mobilization. The patient with the prolonged ileus was managed conservatively, and the ileus resolved four days post-operatively. These complications are all grade II complications according to the Clavien-Dindo classification of surgical complications 17. There was no morbidity in Group B.
Hospital Stay

There was a statistically significant difference in hospital stay between the two groups. The mean hospital stay in the patients who underwent laparoscopy was 5 days (SD 1.3), compared to a mean hospital stay of 2.9 days (SD, 1.5) p < 0.001

DISCUSSION

Current EAST guidelines strongly recommends diagnostic laparoscopy in all patients with penetrating trauma to the left TA area who have no other indications for laparotomy to ascertain and repair diaphragm injuries. The main aim of repairing diaphragm injuries is to prevent delayed diaphragm herniation which is reported to have a high associated mortality rate. Most studies quote this high mortality from a study done by Madden et al. who reported a mortality rate of 36% in patients presenting with delayed herniation of abdominal contents into the chest. It is twenty five years since the article by Madden et al. was published and with modern Intensive Care practises, this high mortality rate is not observed any more. It is no longer standard practise at the Groote Schuur Hospital since an article published by Shaw et al., to do diagnostic laparoscopy on patients with left TA stab wounds. In this study it was found that persistent left upper quadrant tenderness, omental herniation through the stab wound and left shoulder tip pain were signs of occult diaphragm injury and only these patients currently undergo routine diagnostic laparoscopy.

From animal data it is known that diaphragm injuries heal spontaneously, in 6 to 12 weeks, but it is difficult to extrapolate this data directly to humans. It must be true that a large percentage of diaphragm injuries heal, because studies found an occult diaphragm
injury rate in the left diaphragm stab wounds of 26 to 63 percent \textsuperscript{5,25,26} and a rate of delayed diaphragm herniation of 2.7 and 4 percent \textsuperscript{27, 28}. This difference in results can only be true if some of these injuries heal. We are of the opinion that if a hernia has not occurred within the first six weeks post injury, which is the time it takes the diaphragm to heal in animals, it is unlikely to occur because the diaphragm would have naturally healed.

From the evidence available, mortality of delayed diaphragm injury is over estimated and the incidence of delayed herniation is low, therefore many patients will undergo unnecessary surgery to find and repair diaphragm injuries that would never have resulted in a diaphragm hernia. This has significant cost implications in a resource limited environment as patients that undergo surgery have a significantly longer hospital stay 2.9 vs 5 days \( p<0.001 \) as well as the added procedural cost.

We are of the opinion that patients who present with a delayed diaphragm hernia most likely had a missed initial diaphragm injury that occurred within the first six weeks post injury. The initial injury presented late and if these patients were subjected to close follow up for six weeks after the injury these injuries would have been detected earlier. We can therefore claim that herniation is an early event and strangulation / complication is a delayed event because in patients who present with late complications, there are always signs of a chronic hernia at surgery. Established adhesions between the hernia sac and the diaphragm are a common finding at surgery pointing towards a chronic process. We undertook this study to firstly establish the incidence of occult diaphragm injury, in our patient population, and to follow up a randomised control group of patients which should have a similar incidence of occult diaphragm injuries. The patients were followed up to establish the incidence of
delayed diaphragm herniation in a truly asymptomatic group of patients and if a hernia was detected to establish the morbidity of early intervention. The incidence of diaphragm injury was 29% and it can therefore be assumed that the incidence of a diaphragm injury in Group B would be similar because the two groups were comparable in terms of patient characteristics. During the follow up period no delayed diaphragm hernias occurred, neither did any patient report any significant symptoms.

The limitation of this study was the number of patients lost to follow up, especially in Group B. Five patients (16%) had no follow up and four (12%) patients had limited follow up ranging from 1 to 9 months before they were lost to follow up. According to Leukhardt et al. lower income, higher poverty rates, and lower education were significantly associated with failure to follow up. The patients included in this study meet the above criteria and that this would account for the high rate in failure to follow up despite every effort made to make contact with the patients who failed to attend follow up appointments. The number of patients lost to follow up does negatively impact on the study conclusions.

CONCLUSION

In summary, the incidence of occult diaphragm injury in left sided TA stab wounds is high. In a highly selected group of asymptomatic patients who have undergone a period of abdominal observation it is safe to discharge these patients provided that they are followed up with clinical examination and a chest X-ray six weeks after discharge. They should be made
well aware that should any abdominal symptoms develop, even if years later, to report to a health facility stating that they sustained a left TA stab in the past.
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Literature review journal article data extraction form

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Data Extraction Form</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1 Authors</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 Publication year</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 Country</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4 Study design</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5 Population</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6 Hospital</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7 Period of study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8 Sample size</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9 Management analysis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10 Outcomes Examined</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11 Quality of reference</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Randomization table left thoracoabdominal stab wound study

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Laparoscopy</th>
<th></th>
<th>Follow up</th>
<th></th>
<th>Laparoscopy</th>
<th></th>
<th>Follow up</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td>51</td>
<td></td>
<td>52</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>53</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
<td>54</td>
<td></td>
<td>55</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>56</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>57</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>58</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>59</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>60</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>61</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>62</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>63</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>64</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>65</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>66</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>67</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>68</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>69</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>70</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>71</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>72</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>73</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>74</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>75</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>76</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>77</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>78</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>79</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>80</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>81</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>82</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>83</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>32</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>84</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>33</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>85</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>34</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>86</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>87</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>36</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>88</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>37</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>89</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>38</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>90</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>39</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>91</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>92</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>41</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>93</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>42</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>94</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>43</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>95</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>44</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>96</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>97</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>46</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>98</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>47</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>99</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>48</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>100</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

APPENDIX 2
Randomization table
APPENDIX 3

Prospective data collection form

Data collection form for left thoracoabdominal stab wound study

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Folder number</th>
<th>Variable</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>DOB d.m.y.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age Years</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sex M = 1; F = 2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Primary language E = 1; A = 2; X = 3; O = 4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Residential area Variable</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Marital status M = 1; S/D = 2; CH = 3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Race B = 1; W = 2; C = 3; A = 4; O = 5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Date seen in trauma d.m.y.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Date of Admission d.m.y.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Date of Discharge d.m.y.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total hospital stay Days</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HR : Variable</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Associated injury H = 1; P = 2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ICD inserted Y = 1; N = 2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Previous stab to Left TA region Y = 1; N = 2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Injuries to other organ systems A = 1; H = 2; O = 3; N = 4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Please indicate stab wound position on picture :</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Date of surgery d.m.y.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Surgeon N = 1; N2 = 2; E = 3; O = 4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anesthetist Variable</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Start time</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>End time</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Surgical findings I = 1; N = 2; O = 3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
**Diaphragm repaired**
- ☐ Laparoscopically
- ☐ Other

**Surgical procedure**
- ☐ Laparoscopy
- ☐ Thoracoscopy
- ☐ Thoracotomy
- ☐ Laparotomy

**Hospital Outcome**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Complication</th>
<th>Total # of hospital days</th>
<th>Variable</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Morbidity</td>
<td>☐ Yes ☐ No</td>
<td>Y = 1; N = 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mortality</td>
<td>☐ Yes ☐ No</td>
<td>Y = 1; N = 2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
**APPENDIX 4**

Clinic data collection form

**Clinic visit data collection form for left thoracoabdominal stab wound study**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date of visit</th>
<th>dd / mm / yy</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>2 weeks</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Symptoms</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chest pain</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Abdominal pain</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dyspnoea</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nil</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C = 1; A = 2; D = 3; D = 4; O = 5; N = 6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chest X ray</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Normal</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Irregular hemi diaphragm left</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N = 1; I = 2; O = 3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Complications</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Y = 1; N = 2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>6 weeks</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Symptoms</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chest pain</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Abdominal pain</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dyspnoea</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nil</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C = 1; A = 2; D = 3; D = 4; O = 5; N = 6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chest X ray</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Normal</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Irregular hemi diaphragm left</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N = 1; I = 2; O = 3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Complications</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Y = 1; N = 2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>3 months</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Symptoms</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chest pain</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Abdominal pain</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dyspnoea</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nil</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C = 1; A = 2; D = 3; D = 4; O = 5; N = 6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chest X ray</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Normal</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Irregular hemi diaphragm left</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N = 1; I = 2; O = 3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Complications</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Y = 1; N = 2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>6 months</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Symptoms</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chest pain</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Abdominal pain</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dyspnoea</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nil</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C = 1; A = 2; D = 3; D = 4; O = 5; N = 6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chest X ray</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Normal</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Irregular hemi diaphragm left</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N = 1; I = 2; O = 3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Complications</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Y = 1; N = 2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>1 year</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Symptoms</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chest pain</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Abdominal pain</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dyspnoea</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nil</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C = 1; A = 2; D = 3; D = 4; O = 5; N = 6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chest X ray</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Normal</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Irregular hemi diaphragm left</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N = 1; I = 2; O = 3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Complications</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>☐</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Y = 1; N = 2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>2 years</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Date of visit</td>
<td>dd / mm / yy</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
A RANDOMIZED CONTROLLED STUDY

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Symptoms</th>
<th>Chest pain</th>
<th>Abdominal pain</th>
<th>Dyspnoea</th>
<th>Other</th>
<th>Nil</th>
<th>C = 1; A = 2; D = 3; D = 4; O = 5; N = 6</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Chest X ray</td>
<td>Normal</td>
<td>Irregular hemi diaphragm left</td>
<td>Other</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>N = 1; I = 2; O = 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Complications</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Y = 1; N = 2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
APPENDIX 5

Consent form

CONSENT TO PARTICIPATE IN RESEARCH

You have been asked to participate in a research study.

You may contact Dr GF Malherbe at 082 9562451 at any time if you have questions about the research or if you are injured as a result of the research.

You may contact the Secretariat of the Ethics Committee of the Faculty of Health Sciences, UCT at telephone number 021 4066492 if you have questions about your rights as a research subject.

Your participation in this research is voluntary, and you will not be penalized or lose benefits if you refuse to participate or decide to terminate participation. However we do urge you not to terminate your participation after the research has begun.

If you agree to participate, you will be given a signed copy of this document as well as the participant information sheet, which is a written summary of the research.

The research study, including the above information has been verbally described to me. I have had the opportunity to ask questions about the research and I understand what my involvement in the study means. I understand that I can withdraw from the study at any time and my treatment will not be affected. I voluntarily agree to participate.

The research study, including the above information has been verbally described to me. I understand what my involvement in the study means and I voluntarily agree to participate.

_____________________  __________________
Signature of Participant   Date

_____________________  __________________
Signature of Witness   Date
(Where applicable)

_____________________  __________________
Signature of Translator   Date
(Where applicable)
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A RANDOMIZED CONTROLLED STUDY

Malherbe GF

TOESTEMMING TOT DEELNAME AAN NAVORSING

U is versoek om aan 'n navorsingstudie deel te neem.

U kan Dr GF Malherbe enige tyd kontak by 082 9652451 indien u vrae oor die navorsing het of as gevolg van die navorsing beseer is.

U kan die Sekretariaat van die Etiekkomitee van die Fakulteit Gesondheidswetenskappe, UK by telefoonnommer 021 4066492 kontak indien u enige vrae het oor u regte as 'n proefpersoon.

U deelname aan hierdie navorsing is vrywillig, en u sal nie gepenaliseer word of voordele verbeur as u weier om deel te neem of besluit om deelname te staak nie.

As u instem om deel te neem, sal 'n ondertekende kopie van hierdie dokument sowel as die deelnemerinligtingsblad, wat 'n geskrewe opsomming van die navorsing is, aan u gegee word.

Die navorsingstudie, insluitend die bogenoemde inligting is verbaal aan my beskryf. Ek begryp wat my betrokkenheid by die studie beteken en ek stem vrywillig in om deel te neem.

Handtekening van deelnemer

Datum

Handtekening van getuie

(Waar van toepassing)

Datum

Handtekening van Vertaler

(Waar van toepassing)

Datum
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Imvume yokuthabatha inxaxheba kuphando

Uyacelwa ukuba uthabathe inxaxheba kwizifundo zophando.

Unganxibelelana no Dr. G F Malherbe ku 082 956 2451 nangaliphi ixesha xa unemibuzo ngophando, okanye wenzakele ngenxa yophando.

Ungaqhakamshelana noo-nobhala bekomiti yenzululwazi ngeenqobo emikhwa esesikweni, zesebe lemundo, UCT kule nombolo 021 406 6492 ukuba unemibuzo ngamalungelo akho ophando.

Uyazithandela ukuthabatha inxaxheba koluphando, yaye awuyikohlwaywa okanye uphulukane namalungelo xa usala okanye uyeka ungagqibanga, kodwa siyacela ukuba ungayeki ukuthatha inxaxheba xa uphando seluqalile.

Ukuba uyavuma, uyaqunikwa ikopi enyathelisiweyo, nomxwebhu olunenkcazelo ebhalwe ushwankathelo lophando.


Sayina Mthathi-nxaxheba          Umhla

Sayina Ngqina                      Umhla

Sayina Toliki                     Umhla
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Information document

[INFORMATION DOCUMENT]

Study title: A randomized prospective study comparing non operative management with laparoscopic treatment in patients with diaphragm injury following left thoracoabdominal stab wounds.

Introduction: Dr G.F. Malherbe, an MMed Surgery student, is doing research on the clinical outcome of occult diaphragm injuries in patients with left sided thoracoabdominal stab wounds. Research is just the process to find the answer to a question. In this study we want to compare the clinical outcome of patients who undergoes a laparoscopy versus non operative management in patients with left thoracoabdominal stab wounds.

Invitation to participate: We are asking/inviting you to participate in the research study.

What is involved in the study: This study will be done by means of randomizing two study groups of 50 patients each to either undergo a laparoscopy to diagnose and treat potential diaphragm injury or undergo no treatment other than wound treatment and follow up. All information will be held confidential and the protocol will be approved by the Ethics Committee of UCT.

Risks: Treatment will be in line with what is currently recommended in the literature.

Benefits: The patient/caregiver will receive no incentives to be in this study. Trauma is a massive burden in the South Africa. This research is essential for trauma care in South Africa.

The subject will be given pertinent information on the study while involved in the project and after the results are available.

Participation is voluntary, and refusal to participate will involve no penalty or loss of benefits to which the subject is otherwise entitled; the subject may discontinue participation at any time without penalty or loss of benefits to which the subject is otherwise entitled.

Confidentiality: Efforts will be made to keep personal information confidential. Absolute confidentiality cannot be guaranteed. Personal information may be disclosed if required by law. Organizations that may inspect and/or copy your research records for quality assurance and data analysis include reputable groups such as the Ethics Committee.

If results are published, this may lead to individual/cohort identification.

Contact details of researcher: Dr G.F Malherbe
Address: P.O. Box 44541, Claremont, 7735
E-mail: marvel @doctors.org.uk
Tel : 082 9562451

Contact details of REC Secretariat and Chair: Mr Xolile Fula
Old main Building E 53-44.1
Groote Schuur
Faculty of Health Sciences
Observatory
7925
Tel: 021 4066492
Fax: 021 4066411
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Departmental approval of study
Faculty of Health Sciences Human Research Ethics Committee approval

UNIVERSITY OF CAPE TOWN

Health Sciences Faculty
Research Ethics Committee
Room E52-24 Groote Schuur Hospital Old Main Building
Observatory 7715
Telephone: (021) 406 6338  
Facsimile: (021) 406 6411
E-mail: hrecrec@uct.ac.za
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REC REF: 492/2008

Dr GF Malherbe
Surgery
Trauma Centre

Dear Dr Malherbe,

PROJECT TITLE: LAPAROSCOPY (TO DETECT OCCULT DIAPHRAGM INJURY) VERSUS CLINICAL AND RADIOLOGICAL FOLLOW UP TO DETECT DIAPHRAGM INJURY AND HERNIATION, IN PATIENTS WITH ASYMPTOMATIC LEFT THORACOABDOMINAL STAB WOUNDS: A PROSPECTIVE RANDOMIZED CONTROLLED STUDY

Thank you for your letter to the Research Ethics Committee dated 02 April 2009.

Study is approved for one year until 19 April 2010.

Please submit an annual progress report if the study continues beyond the approval period. Alternatively, please submit a brief summary of your findings so that we can close our file.

Please note that the ongoing ethical conduct of the study remains the responsibility of the principal investigator.

Please quote the REC, REF in all your correspondence.

Yours sincerely,

PROFESSOR M BLOCKMAN
CHAIRPERSON, HSE HUMAN ETHICS
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Methods: Clearly describe your selection of the observational or experimental subjects (human or nonhuman). Identify the methods, apparatus (manufacturer's name and address in parentheses) and procedures in sufficient detail to allow other workers to reproduce the results. Give the references to established methods, including statistical analyses. Provide references and brief descriptions of methods that have been published but are not well known. Describe new or substantially modified methods, give reasons for using them, and evaluate their limitations.
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References - The authors are responsible for the accuracy of the references. Place the references (double-spaced) at the end of the manuscript. Cite the references numerically in the text in the order of appearance. Cite unpublished data, such as papers submitted but not yet accepted for publication or personal communications, in parentheses in the text. If there are more than three authors, name only the first three authors and then use "et al". Refer to the List of Journals Indexed in Index Medicus for abbreviations of journal names, or access the list at http://www.nlm.nih.gov/tsd/serials/terms_cond.html. Sample references are given below.
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Electronic Pre-print article
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Tables - Each table, complete with title and footnotes, should be on a separate page, and should be constructed using the table function within a Word type document in order to prevent displacement of the data during print production. Submit tables separately from the manuscript text file.

Like figures, tables should be cited consecutively in the text and numbered in that order. Each must include the table title, appropriate column heads, and explanatory legends (including definitions of any abbreviations used). Tables should not be embedded within the body of the manuscript. They should be self-explanatory and should supplement, rather than duplicate, the material in the text. At the discretion of the editor, long tables may be reclassified as Supplemental Digital Content (online-only).

Illustrations or Figures
A) Creating Digital Artwork
1. Learn about the publication requirements for Digital Artwork: http://links.lww.com/ES/A42
2. Create, Scan and Save your artwork and compare your final figure to the Digital Artwork Guideline Checklist (below).
3. Upload each figure to Editorial Manager in conjunction with your manuscript text and tables.
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B) Digital Artwork Guideline Checklist
Here are the basics to have in place before submitting your digital artwork:

- Artwork should be saved as TIFF, EPS, or MS Office (DOC, PPT, XLS) files. High resolution PDF files are also acceptable.
- Crop out any white or black space surrounding the image.
- Diagrams, drawings, graphs, and other line art must be vector or saved at a resolution of at least 1200 dpi. If created in an MS Office program, send the native (DOC, PPT, XLS) file.
- Photographs, radiographs and other halftone images must be saved at a resolution of at least 300 dpi.
- Photographs and radiographs with text must be saved as postscript or at a resolution of at least 600 dpi.
- Each figure must be saved and submitted as a separate file. Figures should not be embedded in the manuscript text file.

Remember:

- Cite figures consecutively in your manuscript.
- Number figures in the figure legend in the order in which they are discussed.
- Upload figures consecutively to the Editorial Manager web site and enter figure numbers consecutively in the Description field when uploading the files.
- Do not send original drawings or roentgenograms.
- Figures should be professionally drawn and photographed; freehand or typewritten lettering is unacceptable. Again, convert figures to electronic TIFF or EPS format for submission. Photomicrographs must have internal scale markers. Symbols, arrows, or letters used in the figures should contrast with the background.
- If photographs of persons are used, the subjects must not be identifiable, or their pictures must be accompanied by written permission to use the photograph.
- If a figure has been previously published, acknowledge the original source and submit written permission from the copyright holder to reproduce the material. Permission is required, regardless of authorship or publisher except for documents in the public domain.
- At the discretion of the editor, figures may be reclassified as Supplemental Digital Content (online-only).

Color figures: The journal accepts for publication color figures that will enhance an article. Authors who submit color figures will receive an estimate of the cost for color reproduction. If they decide not to pay for color reproduction, they can request that the figures be converted to black and white at no charge.

Supplemental Digital Content (SDC) - Authors may submit SDC via Editorial Manager to LWW journals that enhance their article’s text to be considered for online posting. SDC may include standard media such as text documents, graphs, audio, video, etc. On the Attach Files page of the submission process, please select Supplemental Audio, Video, or Data for your uploaded file as the Submission Item. If an article with SDC is accepted, our production staff will create a URL with the
SDC file. The URL will be placed in the call-out within the article. SDC files are not copy-edited by LWW staff, they will be presented digitally as submitted. For a list of all available file types and detailed instructions, please visit http://links.lww.com/A142.

**SDC Call-outs**
Supplemental Digital Content must be cited consecutively in the text of the submitted manuscript. Citations should include the type of material submitted (Audio, Figure, Table, etc.), be clearly labeled as "Supplemental Digital Content," include the sequential list number, and provide a description of the supplemental content. All descriptive text should be included in the call-out as it will not appear elsewhere in the article.

Example:
We performed many tests on the degrees of flexibility in the elbow (see Video, Supplemental Digital Content 1, which demonstrates elbow flexibility) and found our results inconclusive.

**List of Supplemental Digital Content**
A listing of Supplemental Digital Content must be submitted at the end of the manuscript file. Include the SDC number and file type of the Supplemental Digital Content. This text will be removed by our production staff and not be published.

Example:
Supplemental Digital Content 1.wmv

**SDC File Requirements**
All acceptable file types are permissible up to 10 MBs. For audio or video files greater than 10 MBs, authors should first query the journal office for approval. For a list of all available file types and detailed instructions, please visit http://links.lww.com/A142.

**Legends for illustrations**
Legends must be submitted for all figures. They should be brief and specific, and they should appear on a separate manuscript page after the references. Use scale markers in the image for electron micrographs, and indicate the type of stain used.

**ETHICAL AND HUMANE CONSIDERATIONS**
The *Annals of Surgery* is dedicated to the humane and ethical conduct of all studies involving living subjects. All clinical trials involving human subjects must be registered, retroactively if necessary, to be considered for publication. (Please see the information regarding mandatory clinical trial registration).

In studies with human subjects, indicate in the Methods section whether the procedures, including obtaining informed consent, were conducted in accord with the ethical standards of the Committee on Human Experimentation of the institution in which the experiments were done or in accord with the ethical standards of the Helsinki Declaration of 1975.
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